

## Amendments to Burgess Resolution

### First Amendment

Section 1.A.2., page 4

First and second lines should read:

Determine whether in-lieu fees should remain as an option for residential projects and, if so, determine a base in-lieu fee. ~~and, to the extent practicable, other policies that specifically encourage~~  
The experts shall explore the feasibility of an option that results in on-site construction of the Workforce Housing units (“on-site performance”) in each of the neighborhoods to which incentive zoning has been applied or to which incentive zoning is expected to be applied, including South Lake Union.

### Second Amendment

Section 1.C.4., page 6

Insert in line 13 after sentence ending with “... of Workforce Housing?”

How would any adjustment help to increase production of Workforce Housing? As one possible adjustment, the Experts shall explore the feasibility of an option that results in a requirement that a percentage of Workforce Housing be set aside for those earning 60% of AMI.

Should the AMI level(s) and/or in-lieu payment level(s) and/or set-aside(s) be structured to encourage larger units for families (such as 3-bedroom and 4-bedroom units) and, if so, how would that impact the economics of housing production?