

**FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS**

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Analyst/Phone:</b>
Law	Jana Jorgensen 206-684-7765	

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE related to the regulation of watercraft noise on Seattle waterways; amending by adding a section to 25.08.485 of the Seattle Municipal Code to authorize the Seattle Police Department to regulate noise from audio systems.

**Summary of the Legislation:**

During the summer months residents regularly call Seattle Police and other city agencies to file complaints about excessive noise from watercrafts operated on Seattle waterways. Seattle Harbor Patrol has been unable to properly respond to these complaints because the Seattle Municipal Code lacks an applicable statute under which to cite for these noise violations. A review of the watercraft regulations under SMC 25.08.485 highlighted the lack of an applicable section that regulates audio equipment on watercrafts.

**Background:**

This proposal expands the waterways noise ordinance to include noise from audio systems. As it currently reads SMC 25.08.485 only addresses noise from the operation of watercrafts, such as engine noise, and requires a noise meter reading before a citation may be issued. This proposal has been modeled after SMC 25.08.515, which addresses disturbance noise from motor vehicles, for uniformity and enforceability. Further, sound does not decay on water as it does on land so a greater distance than is provided under SMC 25.08.515 (seventy five feet) is needed for a reasonable measure of sound from a watercraft. A review of similar ordinances, specifically Kirkland's Public Disturbance Code 11.84A.070(5), was the source for the three hundred (300) feet distance. A clearly audible standard allows Seattle police to effectively and efficiently enforce this statute without the use of a noise meter.

Please check one of the following:

**This legislation does not have any financial implications.**

**This legislation has financial implications.**

**Appropriations:**

Fund Name and Number	Department	Budget Control Level*	2013 Appropriation	2014 Anticipated Appropriation
<b>TOTAL</b>				

\*See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

Appropriations Notes:

**Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:**

Fund Name and Number	Department	Revenue Source	2013 Revenue	2014 Revenue
<b>TOTAL</b>				

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

**Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:**

Position Title and Department	Position # for Existing Positions	Fund Name & #	PT/FT	2013 Positions	2013 FTE	2014 Positions*	2014 FTE*
<b>TOTAL</b>							

\* 2014 positions and FTE are total 2014 position changes resulting from this legislation, not incremental changes. Therefore, under 2014, please be sure to include any continuing positions from 2013.

Position Notes:

**Do positions sunset in the future?**

(If yes, identify sunset date)

**Spending/Cash Flow:**

Fund Name & #	Department	Budget Control Level*	2013 Expenditures	2014 Anticipated Expenditures
<b>TOTAL</b>				

\* See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

Spending/Cash Flow Notes:

**Other Implications:**

**a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**

Yes. Revenue may be generated if violators are cited and pay the fine.

**b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?**

The City will need to purchase additional noise meters for Seattle Harbor Patrol Officers to properly enforce SMC 25.08.485 as it currently exists prior to amendment. Each noise meter costs \$2,500. Each noise meter must be calibrated and certificated separate from purchase at the cost of \$750 annually. The noise meter needs to be calibrated every time prior to and after use and certificated as such which costs \$250. Additionally, Seattle Police Harbor Patrol Officers must be trained and certified to use the noise meter. There is one training course in the United States for this purpose and it held annually at Rutgers College in New Jersey. This course is three days and costs \$2000 per person. This course's certification is good for three years. Currently there are three City employees certified to use a noise meter. This information was provided courtesy of Bill Reddy, a certified noise technician with Finance and Administrative Services.

If Seattle Police Harbor Patrol Officers were not trained, one to two of these three employees would need to be permanently assigned to the Harbor Patrol unit and available 24 hours a day so that they could accompany officers on every noise complaint call.

**c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

The Seattle Police Department will be affected by this legislature as they will be required to enforce the ordinance. Seattle Police Harbor Patrol Lieutenant Marc Olson has been consulted on this legislation and is in favor its implementation.

**d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?**

One to two of the three employees trained and certified on a noise meter could be permanently assigned as a civilian counterpart to the Harbor Patrol unit so that they could accompany officers on every noise complaint call.

**e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

No

**f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

No

**g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**  
No

**h) Other Issues:**

**List attachments to the fiscal note below:**

No attachments.

DRAFT