

6.

CITY OF SEATTLE
RESOLUTION 31458

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2014 and requesting that the Department of Planning and Development and the Seattle Planning Commission review and make recommendations about the proposed amendments.

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle adopted a Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 117221 in 1994; and

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle last amended the Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 124177 in May 2013; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted procedures for amending the Comprehensive Plan in Resolution 31117 in March 2009, consistent with the requirements for amendment prescribed by the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A; and

WHEREAS, in Resolution 31402 in August 2012, the City Council amended the criteria by which proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan are selected for analysis and possible adoption and repealed other criteria used in prior years; and

WHEREAS, various parties proposed amendments for consideration during the 2013-2014 annual amendment process; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning and Development (DPD) and the Seattle Planning Commission have provided recommendations on which proposed amendments should be further evaluated for possible adoption in 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Council's Planning, Land Use and Sustainability Committee held a public hearing on July 11, 2013 to take testimony on the amendments proposed for consideration; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE THAT:

Section 1. Amendments to be considered in 2014. The following proposed amendments should be further developed for review and consideration by the Executive and Council as possible amendments to the Comprehensive Plan in 2014. The full texts of the proposals are contained in Clerk File 313094.



1 1. University Community Urban Center Neighborhood Plan. Amend the Future Land Use
2 Map, infrastructure and facility data, and goals and policies for this neighborhood plan to reflect
3 the neighborhood's current vision about open space, economic development, diversity of housing
4 types, and building form.

5 2. University Community Urban Center boundary modification. Expand the boundary of
6 the University Community Urban Center to include the Blessed Sacrament Parish south of
7 Northeast 53rd Street and east of 8th Avenue Northeast.

8 3. University Community Urban Center boundary contraction. Modify the boundary of
9 the University Community Urban Center to exclude the area north of Northeast 47th Street and
10 west of a line midway between 9th Avenue Northeast and Roosevelt Avenue Northeast.

11 4. Central Area Neighborhood Plan. Amend the Future Land Use Map and goals and
12 policies for this neighborhood plan to update the neighborhood's goals and policies to reflect the
13 current aspirations of the neighborhood's residents and business owners, including potential
14 Future Land Use Map and zoning changes at the key nodes of 23rd at East Union Street, East
15 Cherry Street, and East Jackson Street.

16 5. Duwamish Manufacturing/Industrial Center & Stadium Transition Overlay District.
17 Amend the Comprehensive Plan based on results of two studies called for by a memorandum of
18 understanding between Seattle, King County, and ArenaCo. Recommended policies from these
19 studies could include greater restrictions on non-industrial uses in the Duwamish
20 Manufacturing/Industrial Center (MIC) and stronger restrictions on removal of land from the
21 MIC. The study focusing on the Stadium Transition Overlay District will provide greater clarity
22 on whether to continue to classify that area as an Overlay District or to maintain its policies in
23 another zoning category while retaining its existing policy direction and focus.

24 6. Ballard/Interbay. Amend the Future Land Use Map for an area west of 16th Avenue
25 West, east of the railroad tracks, and north of West Dravus Street to remove it from the
26 Ballard/Interbay MIC and change the designation from industrial to mixed-use commercial. The
27

1 consideration of this amendment will explore changing the zoning designation of the area to
2 industrial commercial, as well as changing the Future Land Use Map for the area and the
3 changing the designation of the area to mixed-use commercial.

4 7. Interbay Armory. Amend the Future Land Use Map for property south of West
5 Wheeler Street, west of 15th Avenue West, North of West Garfield Street, and east of the railroad
6 tracks to remove it from Ballard/Interbay MIC and change the designation from industrial to
7 mixed-use commercial. The consideration of this amendment will explore changing the zoning
8 designation of the area to industrial commercial, as well as changing the Future Land Use Map
9 for the area and the changing the designation of the area to mixed-use commercial.

10 **Section 2. Request for review and recommendations.** Consistent with the procedures
11 for considering Comprehensive Plan amendments stated in Resolution 31117, the Council
12 requests that DPD review the amendments listed in Section 1, conduct public reviews as
13 appropriate, and present its analyses and the Mayor's recommendations to the City Council by
14 December 10, 2013, rather than November 20, 2013, which is the date called for in Resolution
15 31117.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2013, and
signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day
of _____, 2013.

President _____ of the City Council

Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2013.

Monica Martinez Simmons, City Clerk

(Seal)



FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Rebecca Herzfeld / 684-8148	n.a.

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2014 and requesting that the Department of Planning and Development and the Seattle Planning Commission review and make recommendations about the proposed amendments.

Summary of the Legislation:

This resolution identifies nine proposed amendments, or concepts for amendments, to the Comprehensive Plan, that the Council will consider for possible adoption in 2014. It asks the Department of Planning and Development and the Seattle Planning Commission to analyze the proposed amendments and further develop them as needed, and asks the Mayor to make his recommendations by December 10, 2013.

Background:

Every year the City Council may consider amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan. Each spring the Council invites proposed amendments from the public and from City departments. In the summer the Council decides which of these proposed amendments it will consider for adoption the next year.

Please check one of the following:

- This legislation does not have any financial implications.**
(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

Other Implications:

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**
(If yes, explain them here.)

Since the purpose of the Comprehensive Plan is to shape the city in the long term, the amendments themselves may have long-term implications. One purpose of the review by the Department of Planning & Development called for in the resolution is to determine these implications.

- b) **What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?**



(Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs.)

None.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

(If so, please list the affected department(s), the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc), and indicate which staff members in the other department(s) are aware of the proposed legislation.)

Yes. The resolution asks the Department of Planning & Development to do substantial work. The Department is aware of this responsibility, and the work is included in the Department work plan.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? (Include any potential alternatives to the proposed legislation, such as reducing fee-supported activities, identifying outside funding sources for fee-supported activities, etc.)

None.

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

(If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned for the future?)

No.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

(For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.)

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

(If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.)

Not directly.

h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below: