

6

RESOLUTION 31396

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2013 and requesting that the Department of Planning & Development and the Seattle Planning Commission review and make recommendations about the amendments.

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle adopted a Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 117221 in 1994; and

WHEREAS, the City of Seattle last amended the Comprehensive Plan through Ordinance 123854 in April 2012; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted procedures for amending the Comprehensive Plan in Resolution 31117, consistent with the requirements for amendment prescribed by the Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A; and

WHEREAS, various parties proposed amendments for consideration during the 2012-2013 annual amendment process; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Planning & Development and the Seattle Planning Commission have provided recommendations on which proposed amendments should be considered for adoption in 2013; and

WHEREAS, the Council's Planning, Land Use & Sustainability Committee held a public hearing on July 11, 2012 to take testimony on the amendments proposed for consideration; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE THAT:

Section 1. Amendments to be considered in 2013. The following proposed amendments should be further developed for review and consideration by the Executive and Council as possible amendments to the Comprehensive Plan in 2013. The full texts of the proposals are contained in Clerk File 312444.

1. Climate action. Develop amendments to the Land Use and Transportation Elements to help reduce the production of greenhouse gases.

1 2. Urban design. Develop amendments to the Land Use and Urban Village Elements to
2 improve the design of public spaces and connections among them.

3 3. Healthy food. Develop amendments to the Economic Development, Land Use,
4 Transportation and Urban Village Elements to support the production and distribution of healthy
5 food.

6 4. Broadview – Bitter Lake – Haller Lake Neighborhood Plan. Amend the Future Land
7 Use Map and goals and policies for this neighborhood plan.

8 5. Rainier Beach Neighborhood Plan. Amend the Future Land Use Map and goals and
9 policies for this neighborhood plan.

10 6. Transit Communities. Develop amendments reflecting a new Transit Communities
11 policy intended to make neighborhoods complete, compact, connected communities that are
12 well-served by transit.

13 7. Container Port Element. Amend this element to clarify its purposes.

14 8. Recreational boating industry. Amend the Economic Development Element to
15 recognize the importance of the recreational boating industry and include marinas, boat yards
16 and boat sales as water-dependent and related businesses.

17 9. Spectator sports facilities in industrial zones. Amend the Land Use element to prohibit
18 the development of spectator sports facilities in industrial zones if they would significantly
19 restrict or disrupt existing industrial uses.

20 10. Funding neighborhood organizations to conduct neighborhood planning. Amend the
21 Neighborhood Planning Element to state that the City is receptive to funding neighborhood
22 organizations to conduct neighborhood planning processes.

23 11. Pedestrian grade separations in urban centers. Amend the Transportation Element to
24 discourage pedestrian grade separations in urban centers.

25 **Section 2. Request for review and recommendations.** Consistent with the procedures
26 for considering Comprehensive Plan amendments stated in Resolution 31117, the Council

1 requests DPD to review the amendments listed in Section 1 of this resolution, conduct public
2 reviews as appropriate, and present its analyses and the Mayor's recommendations to the City
3 Council by December 10, 2012.

4
5
6 Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2012, and
7 signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day
8 of _____, 2012.

9 _____
10 President _____ of the City Council

11
12 Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2012.

13
14 _____
15 Monica Martinez Simmons, City Clerk

16
17 (Seal)

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Peter Harris / 684-8368	n.a.

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION identifying proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to be considered for possible adoption in 2013 and requesting that the Department of Planning & Development and the Seattle Planning Commission review and make recommendations about the amendments.

Summary of the Legislation:

This resolution identifies 14 amendments to the Comprehensive Plan, or concepts for amendments, that the Council will consider for possible adoption in 2013. It asks the Department of Planning & Development and the Planning Commission to develop or analyze the amendments and asks the Mayor to make his recommendations by December 10, 2012.

Background:

Every year the City Council considers amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan. Each spring the Council invites proposed amendments from the public and from City departments. In the summer the Council decides which of these proposed amendments it will consider for adoption the next year.

Please check one of the following:

This legislation does not have any financial implications.

This legislation has financial implications.

Other Implications:

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**

Since the purpose of the Comprehensive Plan is to shape the city in the long term, the amendments themselves may have long-term implications. One purpose of the reviews by the Department of Planning & Development and the Planning Commission called for in the resolution is to determine these implications.



b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?

None.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

Yes. The resolution asks the Department of Planning & Development and the Planning Commission to do substantial work. The Department and the Commission are well aware of this responsibility.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives

None.

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Not directly.

h) Other Issues: