

Statement of Legislative Intent Response: Interpretation and Translation

City Council 8/17/11



Background

- The City:
- Conducted a needs assessment and launched the Immigrant and Refugee Action Plan in 2007.
- Has developed tools and resources to strengthen engagement with immigrant and refugee communities.
- Established the Immigrant and Refugee Commission in 2008.
- Transferred responsibility for the Action Plan and Commission to SOCR in 2011.
- Has worked to better integrate immigrant and refugee efforts with RSJI.



Process for developing SLI response

- Interdepartmental team convened, co-chaired by RSJI Core Team members Michael Davis and Desiree Tabares.
- Sub-committees formed on each topic area.
- Best practices identified from across the country.
- Data analyzed.
- Focus groups held with immigrant and refugee community members and the Commission.
- Report drafted based on all this input.



A. Overall – findings

- Interpretation and translation is sometimes conducted in a perfunctory manner, as opposed to a means to an end.
- Is often uncoordinated and ad hoc.
- Early focus was too much on translation; interpretation can be more helpful.
- Efforts can sometimes increase isolation, as opposed to strengthening community connections.



A. Overall recommendations

- Improve use of existing tools and resources to support the Immigrant and Refugee and Race and Social Justice Initiatives.
- 2. Increase coordination between departments.
- 3. Build relationships between "welcoming" communities and immigrant and refugee communities
- 4. Integrate translation and interpretation with broader strategies for immigrant and refugee engagement.
- 5. Improve organization and infrastructure of Immigrant and Refugee and IOPE Interdepartmental Team.



B. Performance Measures – findings

- Best practices include more effective access to services, meaningful on-going engagement, and translated web sites.
- City spending on translation and interpretation was \$382k in 2010. HSD and SPU were responsible for more than two-thirds of this.
- Approximately 17% of Seattle residents are immigrants or refugees. Most common languages interpreted are Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese, Russian, Somali and Korean. It is difficult to get good data.



B. Performance Measures – recommendations

- 1. Train managers on IOPE and immigrant and refugee access to services.
- 2. Track community demographics.
- 3. Collect better demographic data on participants in City programs.





C. Employee Language Bank – findings

- Lacks coordination and support.
- Participant's language skills have not been assessed or monitored.
- Lack of clarity as to when and how employees can use ELB staff.
- Informal networks exist to make use of employee language skills.



C. Employee Language Bank – recommendations

- 1. Improve ELB coordination, quality and consistency.
- 2. Establish ELB policies.
- 3. Reward and recognize ELB volunteers.
- 4. Develop metrics to monitor and improve the effectiveness of the ELB.
- 5. Provide infrastructure tools to support the ELB.



D. Community Partnerships – findings

- Community based organizations (CBOs) prefer to engage on specific projects where interpretation is tied to broad, shared goals.
- Some departments have better ability to compensate CBOs on community engagement (some departments lack any funding).
- The City has achieved better outreach and engagement results when working in partnership with the community and CBOs.



D. Community Partnerships – recommendations

- 1. Continue to build partnerships with immigrant and refugee community based organizations and other institutions working with immigrant and refugee communities, including compensation of community based organizations.
- 2. Communicate interpretation and translation policies more broadly.
- 3. Utilize the expertise of the Immigrant and Refugee Commission.



E. City-wide Practices – findings

- Coordination, training and technical assistance for interpretation and translation is lacking.
- Larger departments have greater capacity.
- Policies need to be updated to provide greater flexibility.
- Interpretation and translation data is not currently tracked to determine effectiveness of efforts.





E. City-wide Practices – recommendations

- 1. Update the Translation and Interpretation Policy to allow greater flexibility.
- 2. Develop policies to increase hiring of multilingual staff.
- 3. Identify and use technology tools to help improve access.
- 4. Launch collaborative neighborhood-based approaches to increase access to services for immigrants and refugees.
- 5. Continue to integrate immigrant and refugee access to services with RSJI.



Next steps / moving forward:

We have already begun to:

- Integrate interpretation and translation efforts with Inclusive Outreach and Public Engagement and RSJI.
- Combine a number of interdepartmental teams into one.
- Strengthen use of existing tools and resources.
- Better utilize the expertise of the Immigrant and Refugee Commission.



Next steps / moving forward:

Many recommendations are exciting, but would require additional funding, such as:

- Additional staffing for coordination and technical assistance across departments.
- Additional staffing to develop policy and programs to support the economic and social development of immigrants and refugees.
- ✓ A community partnership fund to strengthen outreach and engagement with immigrant and refugee CBOs.



For more information:

Immigrant and refugee access to services http://inweb/rsji/landR.htm

Interpretation and translation policies and resources http://inweb/language/default.htm

Julie Nelson, SOCR Director, <u>Julie.Nelson@seattle.gov</u> 206-684-4500

Glenn Harris, RSJI Manager, <u>Glenn.Harris@seattle.gov</u> 206-684-4500