

Fire Station 9 Annex Options	Current Plan	Retain Annex		Annex Façade Preservation		
						
		Breezeway-1a	No breezeway - 1b	Façade Entry Portal-3	Façade false front-4	Offsite preservation
Operational Impacts	<p>40' drive apron</p> <p>Cistern on south side, good access and visibility</p>	<p>38' drive apron - loss of street parking on Linden</p> <p>Windowless sleep rooms Lockers compromised Bunk restrooms far from bunks</p> <p>Physical training in windowless basement, far from Restroom. Low ceiling will impact training regimen. Position of stair will add to response time</p> <p>Smaller officer's quarters</p> <p>Cistern under back parking, limited access and visibility</p>	<p>36' drive apron - loss of street parking on Linden</p> <p>Cistern under back parking, limited access and visibility</p>	<p>40' drive apron</p> <p>Entrance hidden by Annex façade Separated sleep room</p> <p>Physical training compromised by elevator</p> <p>Cistern under back parking, limited access and visibility</p>	<p>40' drive apron</p> <p>Separated sleep room</p> <p>Physical training compromised by elevator</p> <p>Cistern under back parking, limited access and visibility</p>	<p>40' drive apron</p> <p>Cistern on south side, good access and visibility</p>
Development Waivers	<p>Parking Quantity</p> <p>Linden Avenue curbcut</p> <p>Walls in setback - E and/or S</p> <p>Structure width and depth Width 91'-8" Depth 84'-4" 11'4" to north lot line 17' to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 22' at north neighbor</p> <p>Side Setback - North Flagpole height (45')</p> <p>Front setback - east Side setback - south</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Width 107'-1" Depth 80'-10" 8'9" to north lot line 3' to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 22' at north neighbor</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (grandfathered in?)</p> <p>More building in ECA buffer</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Width 103'-7" Depth 80'-2" 12'10" to north lot line 3' to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 27'-7" at north neighbor</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (grandfathered in?)</p> <p>More building in ECA buffer</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Width 96'-0" Depth 84'-2" 11'8" to north lot line 12'6" to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 22' at north neighbor</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Width 96'-0" Depth 80'-2" 11'8" to north lot line 12'6" to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 22' at north neighbor</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Width 91'-8" Depth 84'-4" 11'4" to north lot line 17' to south lot line</p> <p>Structure height 22' at north neighbor</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>
Appearance	<p>Modulated façade</p>	<p>Limited façade modulation due to alignment with Annex</p> <p>Less landscaping due to increased building size</p> <p>Closest to north neighbor</p>	<p>Limited façade modulation due to alignment with Annex</p> <p>Less landscaping due to increased building size</p> <p>Tallest at north neighbor</p>	<p>Limited façade modulation due to alignment with Annex</p> <p>Less landscaping due to increased building size</p>	<p>Limited façade modulation due to alignment with Annex</p> <p>Less landscaping due to increased building size</p> <p>Most challenging to look good</p>	<p>Modulated façade</p>
Cost	<p>8,804 SF</p> <p>\$3.571 M</p>	<p>8,890 SF</p> <p>\$4.572 M</p>	<p>10,435 SF</p> <p>\$4.796 M</p>	<p>9,240 SF</p> <p>\$4.631M</p>	<p>9,100 SF</p> <p>\$4.593 M</p>	<p>8,804 SF</p> <p>\$163,134 + \$3.571 M</p>
Funding		<p>Includes costs for re-design and re-permitting</p> <p>Increased size</p> <p>Seismic upgrade to Annex</p> <p>Unknown construction market inflation</p> <p>More impervious surface, larger more costly cistern</p> <p>\$1.001 M additional funds needed, Potential source: funding of smaller projects such as seismic retrofits (Fire Stations 24, 26 or 29.)</p>	<p>Includes costs for re-design and re-permitting</p> <p>Increased size</p> <p>Seismic upgrade to Annex</p> <p>Unknown construction market inflation</p> <p>More impervious surface, larger more costly cistern</p> <p>Most Expensive Option</p> <p>\$1.225 M additional funds needed, Potential source: funding of smaller projects such as seismic retrofits (Fire Stations 24, 26 or 29.)</p>	<p>Includes costs for re-design and re-permitting</p> <p>Increased size</p> <p>Complexity of moving façade</p> <p>Unknown construction market inflation</p> <p>More impervious surface, larger more costly cistern</p> <p>\$1.06 M additional funds needed, Potential source: funding of smaller projects such as seismic retrofits (Fire Stations 24, 26 or 29.)</p>	<p>Includes costs for re-design and re-permitting</p> <p>Increased size</p> <p>Complexity of moving façade</p> <p>Unknown construction market inflation</p> <p>More impervious surface, larger more costly cistern</p> <p>\$1.022 M additional funds needed, Potential source: funding of smaller projects such as seismic retrofits (Fire Stations 24, 26 or 29.)</p>	<p>Offsite installation costs</p> <p>Complexity of moving façade</p> <p>\$0.163 M additional funds needed</p>
Schedule	<p>Move In fall 2012</p>	<p>Move in spring 2014</p> <p>Redesign, re-permitting, Design Commission</p>	<p>Move in spring 2014</p> <p>Redesign, re-permitting, Design Commission</p>	<p>Move in spring 2014</p> <p>Redesign, re-permitting, Design Commission</p>	<p>Move in spring 2014</p> <p>Redesign, re-permitting, Design Commission</p>	<p>Move in fall 2012</p> <p>Opportunity for community participation to select location</p>