

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Tang/4-8804	N/A

Legislation Title: A Resolution selecting a Monitor pursuant to the Consent Decree entered by the United States District Court in United States v. City of Seattle, United States District Court, Western District of Washington, Case No. 2:12-cv- 01282-JLR.

Summary of the Legislation:

This resolution states the City Council’s requests that the City Attorney advise and represent to the Court and to the plaintiff, United States of America, in United States v. City of Seattle, United States District Court, Western District of Washington, Case No. 2:12-cv-01282-JLR, that pursuant to the Stipulation and Order for Modification and for Entry of Preliminary Approval of the Parties' Settlement Agreement and Stipulated Order of Resolution, entered on September 21, 2012, the City agrees to the selection of Merrick Bobb as Monitor.

Background:

On July 27, 2012, the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) and the City of Seattle entered into a Settlement Agreement and Memorandum of Understanding filed with the United States District Court: Western District of Washington, related to ensuring police services are delivered to the people of Seattle in a manner consistent with the Constitution and laws of the United States. The Settlement Agreement requires the Parties (the United States and the City of Seattle) to jointly select a Monitor by October 26, 2012, to oversee the implementation of the settlement agreement.

Please check one of the following:

- This legislation does not have any financial implications.**
(Please skip to “Other Implications” section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

The costs associated with funding the Monitor and other expenses associated with implementation of the DOJ settlement are included in the Mayor’s proposed budget for the 2013-2014 biennium.

- This legislation has financial implications.**
(If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (e.g., appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the “Other Implications” Section. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each title and question.)

Other Implications:

a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?

(If yes, explain them here.)

No.

b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?

(Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs.)

None

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

(If so, please list the affected department(s), the nature of the impact (financial, operational, etc), and indicate which staff members in the other department(s) are aware of the proposed legislation.)

Seattle Police Department.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? (Include any potential alternatives to the proposed legislation, such as reducing fee-supported activities, identifying outside funding sources for fee-supported activities, etc.)

None

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

(If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned for the future?)

No

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

(For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.)

No

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

(If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.)

No

h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below: