Richard Greene LAW 2016 Traffic ORD D1a

SUMMARY and FISCAL NOTE*

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	Executive Contact/Phone:		
Law – Criminal	Richard Greene 684-8538			

1. BILL SUMMARY

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to the City's traffic code; amending Sections 11.14.660, 11.50.570, 11.56.025, 11.60.240, 11.60.370, 11.60.420, 11.82.180, 11.84.020, and 11.84.400 of the Seattle Municipal Code; adding Sections 11.14.083 and 11.56.050 to the Seattle Municipal Code; and repealing Sections 11.86.020 and 11.86.040 of the Seattle Municipal Code to conform the Seattle Municipal Code with changes in state law and make technical corrections.

Summary and background of the Legislation: This legislation changes Seattle's traffic code to reflect changes made to identical state statutes by the 2015 Legislature and to correct other inconsistencies between state traffic statutes and our traffic code and incorrect references. The legislation adds a definition of cargo extension, modifies the definition of trailer and specifies how cargo extensions are regulated. The legislation increases the maximum permissible weight of trucks and repeals provisions concerning labeling of vehicles with the maximum weight. The legislation also clarifies the use of automated traffic safety cameras to detect violations other than stoplight, railroad crossing and school speed zone violations, allows a judge to convert a mandatory jail sentence for a defendant convicted of Driving Under the Influence or Physical Control to a period of sobriety program monitoring and authorizes a judge sentencing such a defendant to make him or her reimburse the City for the costs of any emergency response involved in the incident.

2. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM						
This legislation creates, funds, or amends a CIP Project. (If box is checked, please attach a new (if creating a project) or marked-up (if amending) CIP Page to the Council Bill. Please include the spending plan as part of the attached CIP Page.)						
Project Name:	Project I.D.:	Project Location:	Start Date:	End Date:	Total Cost:	
	•			_		
3. SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS						

Please check one:

This legislation has direct financial implications. (If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the "Other Implications" section.)

^{*} Note that the Summary and Fiscal Note describes the version of the bill or resolution as introduced; final legislation including amendments may not be fully described.

Richard Greene LAW 2016 Traffic ORD

X This legislation does not have direct financial implications.

(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-i.)

Budget program(s) affected:					
	Genera	l Fund \$	Other \$		
Estimated \$ Appropriation change:	2016	2017	2016	2017	
	Revenue to General Fund		Revenue to Other Funds		
Estimated \$ Revenue change:	2016	2017	2016	2017	
	No. of Positions		Total FTE Change		
Positions affected:	2016	2017	2016	2017	
Other departments affected:					

3.a. Appropriations

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes appropriations.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Revenues/Reimbursements.)

Fund Name and number	Dept	Budget Control Level Name/#*	2016 Appropriation Change	2017 Estimated Appropriation Change
TOTAL				

^{*}See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

(This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not completely supported by revenue/reimbursements listed below, please identify the funding source (e.g. available fund balance) to cover this appropriation in the notes section. Also indicate if the legislation changes appropriations one-time, ongoing, or both.)

Appropriations Notes:

3.b. Revenues/Reimbursements

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes revenues or reimbursements.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Positions.)

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

Fund Name and Number	Dept	Revenue Source	2016 Revenue	2017 Estimated Revenue
TOTAL				

(This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget

Richard Greene LAW 2016 Traffic ORD D1a

actions, please provide details in the Notes section below. Do the revenue sources have match requirements? If so, what are they?)

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

3.c. Positions	

This legislation adds, changes, or deletes positions.

(If this box is checked, please complete this section. If this box is not checked, please proceed to Other Implications.)

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

Position # for Existing Positions	Position Title & Department*	Fund Name & #	Program & BCL	PT/FT	2016 Positions	2016 FTE	Does it sunset? (If yes, explain below in Position Notes)
TOTAL							

^{*} List each position separately

(This table should only reflect the actual number of positions created by this legislation. In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below.)

Position Notes:

4. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

- a) Does the legislation have indirect or long-term financial impacts to the City of Seattle that are not reflected in the above? No.
- b) Is there financial cost or other impacts of not implementing the legislation? Inasmuch as these traffic regulations are identical to state law, which would be enforced in Seattle regardless of the legislation, no cost or impact seems likely.
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department? This legislation would affect the Police Department, as officers enforce the traffic code. The changes to sentencing a defendant convicted of Driving Under the Influence or Physical Control would affect the City Attorney's office, which prosecutes these crimes, and Municipal Court, which adjudicates and sentences such a defendant.

Richard Greene LAW 2016 Traffic ORD

- d) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No.
- e) Does this legislation require landlords or sellers of real property to provide information regarding the property to a buyer or tenant? No.
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation? No.
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? No.
- h) Please describe any perceived implication for the principles of the Race and Social Justice Initiative. Does this legislation impact vulnerable or historically disadvantaged communities? None seems apparent.
- i) If this legislation includes a new initiative or a major programmatic expansion: What are the long-term and measurable goals of the program? Please describe how this legislation would help achieve the program's desired goals. This legislation does not include any new initiatives or major programmatic expansions.
- j) Other Issues:

List attachments/exhibits below: