

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Rebecca Herzfeld/684-8148	Not Applicable

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to land use and zoning, extending a deadline for compliance with locational restrictions in Section 23.42.058 on the production, processing, selling, or delivery of marijuana; and repealing Section 7 of Ordinance 124326.

Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation would extend to July 1, 2015 the deadline for complying with the regulations in Section 23.42.058 of the Seattle Land Use Code for lots, business establishments, and dwelling units where the production, processing, selling, or delivery of marijuana was being conducted prior to November 16, 2013.

Background:

Effective November 16, 2013, Ordinance 124326 established regulations for the production, processing, selling, or delivery of marijuana to the Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) in Section 23.42.058. The regulations established restrictions on the production, processing, selling, and delivery of marijuana in certain zones, added definitions related to marijuana, and placed size limits on indoor agricultural operations in industrial areas. It also set a deadline of January 1, 2015 for existing lots, business establishments, and dwelling units to comply with SMC 23.42.058.

The intent of the deadline was to provide time for implementation of medical marijuana legislation created by the Washington legislature in 2014, and to evaluate the initial implementation of Initiative 502, which set up a state licensing system for recreational marijuana. Since the legislature did not take action in 2014, and because there will not be adequate time to evaluate the implementation of the recreational marijuana system under Initiative 502, the legislation proposes a six-month extension of the original deadline.

X **This legislation does not have any financial implications.**

Other Implications:

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**
No.
- b) **What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?**

None.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

No.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

There are no alternatives that would accomplish the same objectives.

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

A public hearing is not required, but a hearing will be held in order to provide the public with an additional opportunity to comment.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

No.

h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below:

Not applicable.