

**FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS**

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Analyst/Phone:</b>
Legislative	Martha Lester / 4-8149	NA

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE related to Restricted Parking Zones (RPZs); authorizing the Director of Transportation to consider requests from employers within RPZs for employee permits under certain conditions; and amending Sections 11.16.315 and 11.16.316 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

**Summary of the Legislation:**

This ordinance authorizes the Director of Transportation to consider requests from employers in Restricted Parking Zones (RPZs) for employee permits under certain condition, separate from the RPZ pilot program in Link light rail station areas in southeast Seattle.

**Background:**

RPZs have generally given parking priority to residents and their visitors, and have limited or prohibited parking by non-residents. In 2009, a pilot program started in Link light rail station areas in southeast Seattle, in which employees of businesses and institutions are eligible to receive RPZ permits. The City Council has decided to modify the RPZ regulations so that the Director of Transportation may consider requests from employers in other RPZs for employee permits under certain conditions.

  x   **This legislation does not have any financial implications.**

This ordinance does not have any direct financial implications. However, depending on the number of requests for RPZ permits submitted by employers to the Director of Transportation, the workload of staff in the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) to review the requests may increase to the point at which SDOT may request additional staff and/or budget authority to accommodate this work, and may recommend changes to RPZ permit fees to cover these costs.

**Other Implications:**

- a) **Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?** See discussion above.
- b) **What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?** None.
- c) **Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?** No.
- d) **What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?** None.
- e) **Is a public hearing required for this legislation?** No.
- f) **Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?** No.
- g) **Does this legislation affect a piece of property?** No.
- h) **Other Issues:**