Council Bill No. 111606

Seattle.

AN ORDINANCE adopting Initiative 42,

enacting it as an ordinance of the City of

MDEXED

CF No:	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	1.16	
Date Introduced: 1-21-97	A SAMPA		
Date 1st Referred: JAN 2 1 1997	To: (committee)		
Data Re - Reterred:	To: (committee)		
Date Re - Referred:	To: (committee)		
Date of Final Passage: JAN 2 7 1997	Full Council Vote:		
Date Presented to Mayor: JAN 2 8 1997	Date Approved: FER - L 1997		
Date Returned to City Clerk: FEB - 5 1937	Date Published:	T.O. <u></u>	
Date Vetoed by Mayor:	Date Veto Published:		
Date Passed Over Veto:	Veto Sustained:		

<b>k</b>	Committee	Action:
Fuel Con	unul date 9-0	
This file is complete and	ready for presentation to Fu	II Council. C
Law Dept. Review	OMP City C	

THE DOCUMENT IN THIS FRAME IS LESS CLEAR THAN THIS NOTICE IS DUE TO THE QUALITY OF THE DOCUMENT.

The City of Seattle - Legislative Department

Council Bill/Ordinance spensored by: Donaldson

Councilmember

DIDEXED

	23000	ALCOHOLD STATE	200000	
I'Am	20 1 21	00	1071	on:
		I of offi	44.11	
Com		A ALBERT		للسلسان
NA NEW DESTRUCTION	MO 50 MIN (4)			
THE PARTY OF THE P		Section 1		TO SEE STATE OF
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	SECTION SECTION			TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

his file is complete and	ready for preser	itation to Full Council	. Committee:	(Initial/date)
	# # # # # # #			
Fruit Con	unul des	7,90		
7.00 00	1 1/A	79-0		

GEK/SLC: gh January 21, 1997 42-ORD.DOC (Ver. 1)

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

24

# ORDINANCE 118477

AN ORDINANCE adopting Initiative 42, enacting it as an ordinance of the City of Seattle.

- WHEREAS, citizens of the City of Seattle circulated petitions seeking the enactment of Initiative 42 into law; and
- WHEREAS, King County certified to the City of Seattle that Initiative 42 bore a sufficient number of validated signatures to qualify for transmittal to the City Council; and
- WHEREAS, the City Council received Initiative 42 on December 16, 1996; and
- WHEREAS, City Charter Article IV provides that the City Council may enact or reject such an initiative; and
- WHEREAS, the City Council has, in Resolution 29521, stated it agrees with the general principles reflected in Initiative 42; and
- WHEREAS, the City Council has, in Resolution 2952], directed the Department of Parks and Recreation to develop appropriate rules, policies, procedures, and guidelines to effectively implement Initiative 42; and
- WHEREAS, section 4 of Initiative 42 states the initiated ordinance is to take effect "as provided by Article IV, Section 1 of the City Charter" but neither that nor any other part of the City Charter provides for the effective date of an ordinance adopted by the City Council, whether or not initiated by petition, and so to ensure that the Initiative takes effect the City Council has added section 5 to this ordinance to provide the normal and customary 30-day effective date; Now Therefore

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. All lands and facilities held now or in the future by The City of Seattle for park and recreation purposes, whether designated as park, park boulevard, or open space, shall be preserved for

such use; and no such land or facility shall be sold, transferred, or changed from park use to another usage, unless the City shall first hold a public hearing regarding the necessity of such a tranaction and than enact an ordinance finding that the transaction is necessary because there is no reasonable and practical alternative and the City shall at the same time or before receive in exchange land or a facility of equivalent or better size, value, location and usefulness in the vicinity, serving the same community and the same park purposes.

Section 2. Within thirty days of the effective date of such an ordinance, any person may seek review in the Superior Court. The Superior Court shall set aside the proposed transaction if it is not necessary or the proposed substitution is not equivalent or better than the park exchanged. The Superior Court shall make its decision on the evidence as an issue of fact.

Section 3. Section 1 permits by duly enacted ordinance after a public hearing: a boundary adjustment of equivalents with an adjoining owner; or the transfer of a joint use agreement with Seattle School District No. 1 to another school site. Section 1 also permits by duly enacted ordinance after a public hearing and without providing replacement property: a transfer to the federal, state, or county governments for park and recreation uses; the reversion of right-of-way continuously owned by a City utility; the opening of an unimproved street for street use; a sub-surface or utility easement compatible with park use; and franchises or concessions that further the public use and enjoyment of a park.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect as provided by Article IV, Section 1 of the City Charter. However, if the City should sell, transfer, or change the use to a non-park use of any park property held on or after May 17, 1996 (including Bradner Playfield), the City shall replace it in kind with equivalent or better property or facilities in the same vicinity, serving the same community, unless

GEK/SLC: gh January 21, 1997 42-ORD.DOC (Ver. 1)

the City has already received as good or better land and facilities for park use in the same vicinity, serving the same community, in exchange for that transaction.

Section 5. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the 27 day of January, 1997, and signed by me in open 

Approved by me this 4 day of Felmung, 1997.

Filed by me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of

(Seal)

### City of Seattle

### TITLE-ONLY PUBLICATION

### ORDINANCE NO. 118473

### ORDINANCE 1. .. 118477

AN ORDINANCE adopting Initiative, enacting it as an ordinance of the ty of Seattle.

### ORDINANCE NO. 118478

AN ON INANCE appropriating maney to pay certain audited claims and referring the payment thereof.

estion ordered by JUDITH PIP-

# ATE OF WASHINGTON - KING COUNTY

, City Clerk

ORD BY TITLE

# **Affidavit of Publication**

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Scattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CTOT: 118473, 76-78

was published on

02/13/97

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is ount has been paid in full.

the sum of \$

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

02/13/97

Notary Public for the State of Washington, residing in Seattle

Affidavit of Publication