

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Seattle City Light	Lynn Best 386-4586	Saroja Reddy 615-1232

Legislation Title:

AN ORDINANCE relating to the City Light Department; accepting various easements for overhead and underground electrical rights in King County, Washington; placing said easements under the jurisdiction of the City Light Department; and ratifying and confirming certain prior acts.

Summary of the Legislation:

This ordinance accepts various easements for overhead and underground electrical rights in King County. These easements are contained in short plats, lot boundary adjustments and unit lot subdivisions approved by the Department of Planning and Development and recorded in 2011, 2012, and 2013.

Background:

The Department of Planning and Development requires that applications for short plats, lot boundary adjustments, and unit lot subdivisions be reviewed by other City departments as a condition of its approval of such property divisions. Each lot needs to have adequate access for utilities, including electrical service. After review by the City Light Department, the Department of Planning and Development places City Light easements on final approved short plats, lot boundary adjustments and unit lot subdivisions.

X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

Other Implications:

a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?

No.

b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?

City Light cannot provide electrical service for customers building on the lots created by these subdivisions without these easements in place. The financial cost of not

implementing this legislation would be the forgone revenue of these customer accounts.

c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?

Yes, this legislation accepts real property interests acquired by the Department of Planning and Development in the land use permitting process.

d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?

None.

e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?

No.

f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?

No.

g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?

Yes, these easements become encumbrances on the lots created.

h) Other Issues:

None.

List attachments to the fiscal note below:

None.