

**FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS**

<b>Department:</b>	<b>Contact Person/Phone:</b>	<b>CBO Analyst/Phone:</b>
Law; Legislative	Richard Greene (Law) / 684-8538; Peter Harris (Legislative) / 684-8368	n.a.

**Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE relating to smoking in public places and places of employment; amending Chapter 10.64 of the Seattle Municipal Code to conform with state law.

**Summary of the Legislation:**

This ordinance brings the Municipal Code into conformity with changes in the provisions of the Revised Code of Washington regarding smoking in public and in places of employment, subject to a civil fine to be set by Municipal Court local rule in an amount consistent with the penalty for public consumption of alcohol.

**Background:**

(Include a brief description of the purpose and context of legislation and include record of previous legislation and funding history, if applicable.)

Please check one of the following:

  **x**   **This legislation does not have any financial implications.**

(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

**Other Implications:**

**a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?**

(If yes, explain them here.)

The legislation slightly expands the scope of the prohibitions against smoking in public.

**b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation?**

(Estimate the costs to the City of not implementing the legislation, including estimated costs to maintain or expand an existing facility or the cost avoidance due to replacement of an existing facility, potential conflicts with regulatory requirements, or other potential costs.)

None.

**c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?**

The Police Department and the Seattle-King County Department of Public Health are charged with enforcing these provisions of the Municipal Code.

**d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives?** (Include any potential alternatives to the proposed legislation, such as reducing fee-supported activities, identifying outside funding sources for fee-supported activities, etc.)

None.

**e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation?**

(If yes, what public hearing(s) have been held to date, and/or what public hearing(s) are planned for the future?)

No.

**f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation?**

(For example, legislation related to sale of surplus property, condemnation, or certain capital projects with private partners may require publication of notice. If you aren't sure, please check with your lawyer. If publication of notice is required, describe any steps taken to comply with that requirement.)

No.

**g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property?**

(If yes, and if a map or other visual representation of the property is not already included as an exhibit or attachment to the legislation itself, then you must include a map and/or other visual representation of the property and its location as an attachment to the fiscal note. Place a note on the map attached to the fiscal note that indicates the map is intended for illustrative or informational purposes only and is not intended to modify anything in the legislation.)

No.

**h) Other Issues:**

**List attachments to the fiscal note below:**