

Section 3

Green Sheets and Statements of Legislative Intent Approved by the Budget Committee

This section includes a copy of each of the Green Sheets and Statements of Legislative Intent approved by the Budget Committee:

- Some Green Sheets impose budget provisos, which, as described in Section 1(b) of the ordinance introduced as C.B. 116997, restrict expenditure allowances shown in Attachment A to the ordinance introduced as C.B. 116997. Some Green Sheets modify revenue estimates in the 2011-2012 Proposed Budget, as described in Section 1(f) of the ordinance introduced as C.B. 116997. Some Green Sheets modify the 2011-2016 Proposed Capital Improvement Program (CIP), as described in Section 2 of the ordinance introduced as C.B. 116997. In case of conflicting actions approved by the Budget Committee contained in this C.F., the action taken later controls.
- Statements of Legislative Intent (SLIs) state the Council's intent but do not modify the proposed budget, revenue estimates, position modifications, or CIP. The City Council anticipates adopting SLIs by resolution in early 2011. In the case of conflict between the version of a SLI in this C.F. and the version approved by resolution, the latter controls.

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Errata

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Kieu-Anh King; Bob Morgan

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$117,948</u>	<u>\$27,298</u>
Net Balance Effect	(\$117,948)	(\$27,298)
Other Funds		
Park and Recreation Fund		
Revenues	(\$75,000)	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>(\$115,000)</u>	<u>(\$40,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$40,000	\$40,000
Transportation Operating Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Water Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Drainage and Wastewater Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>(\$38,736)</u>	<u>(\$216,153)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$38,736	\$216,153
Solid Waste Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0

* CIP Amendment

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>(\$64,560)</u>	<u>(\$64,338)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$64,560	\$64,338
Finance and Administrative Services Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Information Technology Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Total Other Funds	\$143,296	\$320,491
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$25,348	\$293,193

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would adopt budget errata submitted by the City Budget Office, as well as two corrections discovered after receipt of the errata. The net General Subfund effect of the errata is a decrease in GSF balance by \$117,948 in 2011, and \$27,298 in 2012.

The errata are non-substantive corrections of errors as well as some revisions due to changed circumstances after submittal of the budget, such as the withdrawal of BizKids from the Queen Anne Community Center.

The errata are contained 1) in the transactions at the end of this green sheet, and 2) in the list of non-transaction errata below.

The following is a summary of the errata in the transactions:

1. An increase in Department of Neighborhoods budget due to correction of centralized DOIT and FAS charges: \$92,047 in 2011 and \$98,209 in 2012;
2. Changed 2011 fire hydrant costs by \$25,901 due to an update of the hydrant counts, and changed estimated 2012 fire hydrant costs by (\$70,911) consistent with water rate assumptions for 2012;
3. Decreases in SPU tax obligation costs reflecting final estimates: (\$38,736) in 2011 and (\$216,153) in 2012 for Drainage and Wastewater, and (\$64,560) in 2011 and (\$64,338) in 2012 for the Solid Waste utility;
4. A reduction in Parks and Recreation Fund revenues and appropriations and abrogation of a position due to the withdrawal of BizKids from consideration for use of the Queen Anne Community Center: (\$75,000) in 2011 only;
5. Removal of a double appropriation for debt service for the Department of Parks and Recreation: (\$40,000) for both 2011 and 2012;
6. Transfer of budget for publication of adopted City legislation from Finance General to the Legislative department (net zero dollar impact); and
7. Four additional changes to positions, without dollar impacts, as follows:
 - a. Complete the intended abrogation of a DoIT position mistakenly reduced to part-time;

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
1	1	A	1

- b. Add three positions inadvertently omitted from the budget for enforcement of the expansion of paid parking areas;
- c. Replace a position in FAS with a position transferred from CBO; and
- d. Correct the abrogation of a Water Utility position in January 2011, that was intended to end mid-year 2011.

Non-transaction errata:

This green sheet would approve the following errata that require no dollar or position transactions:

1. Correct the Water Resources BCL purpose statement to accurately reflect the work as follows:

The purpose of the Water Utility Water Resources Budget Control Level, a Capital Improvement Program funded by water revenues, is to ~~((repair and upgrade water transmission pipelines and promote residential and commercial water conservation))~~ manage untreated water to meet anticipated demands at our supply-reliability standard and instream flow requirement, and promote residential and commercial water conservation.

2. Delete the "Voluntary Green Space Conservation" project, (Project ID K733163) from the Department of Parks and Recreation 2011- 2016 Proposed Capital Improvement Program. (This project is complete and no funds will be spent on this project in 2011.)
3. In Attachment A to the budget adoption ordinance, correct the fund and department associated with the UTGO Debt Service BCL (Summit code: DEBTUTGO) as follows: The correct fund is "UTGO Bond Interest and Redemption Fund," and the correct department is "The Department of Finance and Administrative Services."

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Errata

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Increase appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Customer Service and Operations	I3200	00100	2011		\$97,268
2	Decrease appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Community Building	I3300	00100	2011		(\$6,020)
3	Increase appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Youth Violence Prevention	I4100	00100	2011		\$799
4	Increase Fire Hydrant Reserve to reflect updated hydrant count data for Seattle - Errata				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2011		\$25,901
5	Decrease appropriation to match final estimates of DWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2011		(\$38,736)
6	Decrease use of working capital to match final estimates of DWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2011	(\$38,736)	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
7	Decrease appropriation to match final estimates of SWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$64,560)
8	Decrease use of working capital to match final estimates of SWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2011	(\$64,560)	
9	Reduce appropriation due to BizKids withdrawal from QACC - Errata				DPR	Recreation Facilities and Programs	K310D	10200	2011		(\$75,000)
10	Reduce revenues due to BizKids withdrawal from QACC - Errata				DPR	Recreational Activity Fees	447300	10200	2011	(\$75,000)	
11	Transfer Recurring Reserve for Legal Advertisements to Legislative Department - Errata				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2011		(\$280,500)
12	Transfer Recurring Reserve for Legal Advertisements to Legislative Department - Errata				LEG	Legislative Department	G1100	00100	2011		\$280,500
13	Remove duplicate debt service appropriation - Errata				DPR	Facility and Structure Maintenance	K320A	10200	2011		(\$40,000)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
14	Adjust fund balance for duplicate debt service appropriation - Errata				DPR	Use of Fund Balance	379100	10200	2011	(\$40,000)	
15	Decrease Fire Hydrants Reserve consistent with proposed Water Fund budget - Errata				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2012		(\$70,911)
16	Decrease appropriation to match final estimates of DWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2012		(\$216,153)
17	Decrease use of working capital to match final estimates of DWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2012	(\$216,153)	
18	Decrease appropriation to match final estimates of SWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$64,338)
19	Decrease use of working capital to match final estimates of SWF tax obligations - Errata				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2012	(\$64,338)	
20	Increase appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Customer Service and Operations	13200	00100	2012		\$103,662

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
21	Decrease appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Community Building	13300	00100	2012		(\$6,268)
22	Increase appropriation due to DOIT & FAS centralized adjustments - Errata				DON	Youth Violence Prevention	14100	00100	2012		\$815
23	Transfer Recurring Reserve for Legal Advertisements to Legislative Department - Errata				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2012		(\$286,110)
24	Transfer Recurring Reserve for Legal Advertisements to Legislative Department - Errata				LEG	Legislative Department	G1100	00100	2012		\$286,110
25	Remove duplicate debt service appropriation - Errata				DPR	Facility and Structure Maintenance	K320A	10200	2012		(\$40,000)
26	Adjust fund balance for duplicate debt service appropriation - Errata				DPR	Use of Fund Balance	379100	10200	2012	(\$40,000)	
27	Abrogate position number 00017621, instead of reducing to part time - Errata	Info Technol Sysys Anlyst - PT	-1	-0.5	DOIT	Technology Infrastructure	D3300	50410	2011		\$0

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
28	Add paid parking expansion positions inadvertently omitted - Position No. SDOT110009, SDOT110010, and SDOT110011 - Errata	Parking Pay Stat Tech - FT	3	3	SDOT	Mobility-Operations	17003	10310	2011		\$0
29	Transfer unfunded position (# 00011227) from CBO to FAS - Errata	StratAdvsr2, Exempt - FT	1	1	FAS	Business Technology	A4520	50300	2011		\$0
30	Abrogate Position # 00021201 replaced by CBO position - Errata	Info Technol Prof C-BU - FT	-1	-1	FAS	Business Technology	A4520	50300	2011		\$0
31	Change abrogation effective date from 1/4/11 to 1/1/12 for position # 00011692 - Errata	Wtr Pipe CC-WDM II - FT	1	1	SPU	Other Operating	N400B-WU	43000	2011		\$0
32	Abrogate position # 00010309 due to BizKids withdrawal from QACC - Errata	Rec Cntr Coord - FT	-1	-1	DPR	Recreation Facilities and Programs	K310D	10200	2011		\$0
33	Abrogate position number 00017621, instead of reducing to part time - Errata	Info Technol Sysys Anlyst - PT	-1	-0.5	DOIT	Technology Infrastructure	D3300	50410	2012		\$0

Tab	Action	Option	Version
1	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
34	Add paid parking expansion positions inadvertently omitted - Position No. SDOT110009, SDOT110010, and SDOT110011 - Errata	Parking Pay Stat Tech - FT	3	3	SDOT	Mobility-Operations	17003	10310	2012		\$0
35	Transfer unfunded position (# 00011227) from CBO to FAS - Errata	StratAdvsr2,Exempt - FT	1	1	FAS	Business Technology	A4520	50300	2012		\$0
36	Abrogate Position # 00021201 replaced by CBO position - Errata	Info Technol Prof C-BU - FT	-1	-1	FAS	Business Technology	A4520	50300	2012		\$0

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
2	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Adjust General Subfund revenues to reflect revised economic forecasts and impact of Initiative 1107

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Ben Noble

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	(\$2,545,000)	(\$3,300,000)
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	(\$2,545,000)	(\$3,300,000)
Total Budget Balance Effect	(\$2,545,000)	(\$3,300,000)

Budget Action description:

This green sheet adjusts the 2011 and 2012 General Subfund revenues to reflect revised economic forecasts and the impact of Initiative 1107.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
2	1	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Adjust General Subfund revenues to reflect revised economic forecasts and impact of Initiative 1107

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Decrease sales tax revenues due to I-1107				GSF	Retail Sales Tax	413100	00100	2011	(\$1,700,000)	
2	Decrease sales tax revenues due to I-1107				GSF	Retail Sales Tax	413100	00100	2012	(\$1,700,000)	
3	Decrease property tax revenues to reflect revised forecasts				GSF	Property Tax	411100	00100	2011	(\$845,000)	
4	Decrease property tax revenues to reflect revised forecasts				GSF	Property Tax	411100	00100	2012	(\$1,600,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
2	2	A	1

Budget Action Title: Release of General Subfund reserved fund balances in the amounts of \$2,130,000 in 2011 and \$3,090,000 in 2012.

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Ben Noble

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Budget Action description:

The Mayor's proposed budget includes "reserves against balance" that effectively set aside funds to address uncertain future costs, including the financial terms of future labor agreements. Given that actual and estimated inflation is running lower than originally anticipated and that recent collective bargaining negotiations have produced favorable results, it is reasonable to reduce the resources set aside for 2011 and 2012. In particular, this green sheet authorizes that \$2,130,000 in General Subfund resources be made available for appropriation in 2011, and that \$3,090,000 be made available in 2012.

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Rescinded

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Clean-up of Sunset Positions Citywide: Abrogate one position at SDOT and six positions at SPD, and Extend the sunset dates of five positions at SPD.

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Kieu-Anh King

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Other Funds		
Transportation Operating Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet provides technical corrections to the Citywide Position List for 2011 related to twelve time-limited positions that are scheduled to sunset on or before December 31, 2010, and includes:

- a) The Abrogation of one position at the Seattle Department of Transportation,
- b) The Abrogation of six positions at the Seattle Police Department, and,
- c) The extension of the sunset date for five positions at the Seattle Police Department.

All changes have been agreed-to by SDOT and SPD and are related to the discontinuation (for Abrogated positions) or continuation (for Extended positions) of funds that have been supporting

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	2

each of the positions. This green sheet is budget neutral; the Proposed Budget already assumed the discontinuation/continuation of funds for each of these ten positions.

Detail.

This green sheet abrogates the following seven positions, reflecting the lack of available funds to support each position:

Sunset Positions - to be Abrogated.

DEPT	ORG	POSITION #	DESCR	FT / PT	Sunset Date	Status in 2011
SDOT	Street Use	10004397	StratAdvsr1,Exempt	FT	31-Dec-10	Abrogate - Grant/Pgm Funding Unavail.
SPD	Human Trafficking TaskForce GR	10004292	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Sep-09	Same as above.
SPD	Battelle Remediation & Recov	10004296	Pol Lieut	FT	31-Dec-09	Same as above.
SPD	No info	10004540	Actg Tech III-BU	PT	31-Aug-10	Same as above.
SPD	Sound Transit Unit	10002692	Transp Plnr,Assoc	FT	31-Dec-10	Same as above.
SPD	no info	10004661	StratAdvsr2,Exempt	FT	30-Jun-10	Same as above.
SPD	Transition Facility Detail	10002924	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Jun-10	Same as above.

This green sheet extends the Sunset Date of the following five positions, as detailed below, to reflect the continued availability of funds for each position:

Sunset Positions - Sunset Dates to be Extended.

DEPT	ORG	POSITION #	DESCR	FT / PT	OLD Sunset Date	New Sunset Date
SPD	Human Trafficking TaskForce GR	10003134	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Sep-09	30-Sep-11
SPD	SHA Contract	10002890	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
SPD	SHA Contract	00024644	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
SPD	SHA Contract	00025788	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
SPD	SHA Contract	00024037	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Clean-up of Sunset Positions Citywide: Abrogate one position at SDOT and six positions at SPD, and Extend the sunset dates of five positions at SPD.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004397)	StratAdvsr1, Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SDOT	ROW Management	17004	10310	2011		\$0
2	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004292)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
3	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004296)	Pol Lieut - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
4	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004540)	Actg Tech III-BU - PT	-1	-0.5	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
5	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002692)	Transp Plnr, Assoc - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
6	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004661)	StratAdvsr2, Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	2

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
7	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002924)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Special Victims	P7900	00100	2011		\$0

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	3

Budget Action Title: Clean-up of Sunset Positions Citywide: Abrogate one position at SDOT and six positions at SPD, and Extend the sunset dates of five positions at SPD, and rescind and replace Green Sheet 3-1-A-2.

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Kieu-Anh King

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/22/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Other Funds		
Transportation Operating Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet provides technical corrections to the Citywide Position List for 2011 related to twelve time-limited positions that are scheduled to sunset on or before December 31, 2010, and includes:

- a) The Abrogation of one position at the Seattle Department of Transportation,
- b) The Abrogation of six positions at the Seattle Police Department, and,
- c) The extension of the sunset date for five positions at the Seattle Police Department.

* Note: This action rescinds 3-1-A-2

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	3

All changes have been agreed-to by SDOT and SPD and are related to the discontinuation (for Abrogated positions) or continuation (for Extended positions) of funds that have been supporting each of the positions. This green sheet is budget neutral; the Proposed Budget already assumed the discontinuation/continuation of funds for each of these ten positions.

Detail.

This green sheet abrogates the following seven positions, reflecting the lack of available funds to support each position:

Sunset Positions - to be Abrogated.

DEPT	ORG	POSITION #	DESCR	FT / PT	Sunset Date	Status in 2011
SDOT	Street Use	10004397	StratAdvrs1,Exempt	FT	31-Dec-10	Abrogate - Grant/Pgm Funding Unavail.
SPD	Human Trafficking TaskForce GR	10004292	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Sep-09	Same as above.
SPD	Battelle Remediation & Recov	10004296	Pol Lieut	FT	31-Dec-09	Same as above.
SPD	No info	10004540	Actg Tech III-BU	PT	31-Aug-10	Same as above.
SPD	Sound Transit Unit	10002692	Transp Plnr,Assoc	FT	31-Dec-10	Same as above.
SPD	no info	10004661	StratAdvrs2,Exempt	FT	30-Jun-10	Same as above.
SPD	Transition Facility Detail	10002924	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Jun-10	Same as above.

This green sheet extends the Sunset Date of the following five positions, as detailed below, to reflect the continued availability of funds for each position:

Sunset Positions - Sunset Dates to be Extended.

DEPT	ORG	POSITION #	DESCR	FT / PT	OLD Sunset Date	New Sunset Date
SPD	Human Trafficking TaskForce GR	10003134	Pol Ofcr-Detective	FT	30-Sep-09	30-Sep-11
SPD	SHA Contract	10002890	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
SPD	SHA Contract	00024644	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
SPD	SHA Contract	00025788	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
3	1	A	3

SPD	SHA Contract	00024037	Pol Ofcr-Patrl	FT	31-Dec-10	30-Dec-12
-----	--------------	----------	----------------	----	-----------	-----------

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	3

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Clean-up of Sunset Positions Citywide: Abrogate one position at SDOT and six positions at SPD, and Extend the sunset dates of five positions at SPD, and rescind and replace Green Sheet 3-1-A-2.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004397)	StratAdvsr1,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SDOT	ROW Management	17004	10310	2011		\$0
2	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004292)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
3	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004296)	Pol Lieut - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
4	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004540)	Actg Tech III-BU - PT	-1	-0.5	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
5	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002692)	Transp Plnr,Assoc - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0
6	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004661)	StratAdvsr2,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2011		\$0

Tab	Action	Option	Version
3	1	A	3

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
7	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002924)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Special Victims	P7900	00100	2011		\$0
8	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004397)	StratAdvsr1,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SDOT	ROW Management	17004	10310	2012		\$0
9	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004292)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		\$0
10	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004296)	Pol Lieut - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		\$0
11	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004540)	Actg Tech III-BU - PT	-1	-0.5	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		\$0
12	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002692)	Transp Plnr,Assoc - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		\$0
13	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10004661)	StratAdvsr2,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		\$0
14	Abrogate Sunset Position to reflect lack of funding in 2011 (Pos. # 10002924)	Pol Ofcr-Detective - FT	-1	-1	SPD	Special Victims	P7900	00100	2012		\$0

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
3	1	A	3

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
4	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Management efficiency reductions for 2012

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Ben Noble

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$1,516,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$1,516,000
Other Funds		
Park and Recreation Fund		
Revenues	\$0	(\$200,000)
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$200,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Water Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$150,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$150,000
Drainage and Wastewater Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$200,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$200,000
Solid Waste Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>(\$150,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$150,000
Finance and Administrative Services Fund		

Tab	Action	Option	Version
4	1	A	1

Revenues	\$0	(\$275,000)
Expenditures	\$0	(\$500,000)
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$225,000
Information Technology Fund		
Revenues	\$0	(\$66,000)
Expenditures	\$0	(\$200,000)
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$134,000
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$859,000
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$2,375,000

Budget Action description:

This green sheet reduces 2012 funding for several of the City's larger departments (identified in the table below). Council's intent in these reductions is that each of the affected departments will reduce management-related costs in developing their 2012 budget proposals. These reductions should not necessarily be restricted to the ranks of executives, managers or strategic advisors. Other supervisory functions could be restructured and some non-labor savings aimed at reducing internal costs may also be possible.

Some reduction in service levels (either internal or external) may result from such reductions, but Council's interest would be in minimizing such service impacts. By setting these targets for 2012, Council is providing significant time for the departments to adjust to the reduced funding levels and to develop carefully-crafted proposals.

Department	2012 Dept. Budget (\$ '000)	2012 Reductions Targets (\$ '000)		Comments
		Total	GSF	
<i>FAS</i>	\$186,106	(\$500)	(\$275)	Relatively larger target recognizes opportunities to further restructure recent merger of DEA and FFD.
<i>DOIT</i>	\$49,095	(\$200)	(\$66)	This target is roughly proportional to those for other internal service functions.
<i>DPR</i>	\$143,253	(\$200)	(\$200)	This relatively lower target recognizes significant budget reductions already proposed.
<i>PER</i>	\$11,638	(\$125)	(\$125)	Lower target recognizes significant budget reductions already proposed.
<i>SCL</i>	\$1,148,071	\$0	\$0	Other Council actions have set efficiency targets for City Light.
<i>SDOT</i>	\$316,000	\$0	\$0	Spending reductions are already being imposed through cuts related to changes in the parking tax proposal. Council anticipates that a share of these reductions will be implemented through management efficiency.
<i>SPU</i>	\$858,458	(\$500)	\$0	This would complement additional workplace efficiency targets already set for SPU. Savings would improve overall financial performance of various utility funds.
<i>SPD</i>	\$255,007	(\$700)	(\$700)	This target represents roughly 1% of support and administrative functions, but management efficiencies could be implemented across the organization.
<i>SFD</i>	\$162,164	(\$150)	(\$150)	This target represents roughly 1% of support and administrative functions, but management efficiencies could be implemented across the organization.
Total		(\$2,375)	(\$1,516)	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
4	1	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Management efficiency reductions for 2012

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Management efficiency reduction				FAS	Use of (Contribution To) Fund Balance	379100	50300	2012	(\$225,000)	
2	Management efficiency reduction				FAS	Fleet Services	A2000	50300	2012		(\$250,000)
3	Management efficiency reduction				FAS	Facility Services	A3000	50300	2012		(\$250,000)
4	Management efficiency reduction				FAS	IF Other Misc Revenue - GF	569990	50300	2012	(\$275,000)	
5	Management efficiency reduction - reduce GSF support to FAS				FG	Finance and Administrative Services Fund	QA001004	00100	2012		(\$275,000)
6	Management efficiency reduction				PER	Citywide Personnel Services	N3000	00100	2012		(\$125,000)
7	Management efficiency reduction				DPR	General Subfund Support	587001	10200	2012	(\$200,000)	
8	Management efficiency reduction				FG	Parks and Recreation Fund	Q5971020	00100	2012		(\$200,000)
9	Management efficiency reduction				DPR	Policy Direction and Leadership	K390B	10200	2012		(\$200,000)
10	Management efficiency reduction				DOIT	Use of (Contributions to) Fund Balance	379100	50410	2012	(\$134,000)	
11	Management efficiency reduction				DOIT	Technology Allocation - GF	541850	50410	2012	(\$66,000)	
12	Management efficiency reduction				DOIT	Finance and Administration	D1100	50410	2012		(\$200,000)
13	Management efficiency reduction				FG	Information Technology Fund	QA-DOITFUN	00100	2012		(\$66,000)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
4	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
14	Management efficiency reduction				SPD	Criminal Investigations Administration	P7000	00100	2012		(\$70,000)
15	Management efficiency reduction reduction here				SPD	Deputy Chief of Staff	P1600	00100	2012		(\$250,000)
16	Management efficiency reduction				SPD	Field Support Administration	P8000	00100	2012		(\$350,000)
17	Management efficiency reduction				SPD	Chief of Police	P1000	00100	2012		(\$30,000)
18	Management efficiency reduction				SFD	Administration	F1000	00100	2012		(\$150,000)
19	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Administration	N100B-DW	44010	2012		(\$200,000)
20	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2012	(\$200,000)	
21	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Administration	N100B-SW	45010	2012		(\$150,000)
22	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2012	(\$150,000)	
23	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Administration	N100B-WU	43000	2012		(\$150,000)
24	Management efficiency reduction				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	43000	2012	(\$150,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Ready for Notebook

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116926 -- the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased tax revenues.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116926, gray tab 38

Date		Total	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
	Yes										
	No										
	Abstain										
	Absent										

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$48,000	\$130,000
General Subfund Expenditures	\$0	\$0
Net Balance Effect	\$48,000	\$130,000
Other Funds		
Drainage and Wastewater Fund		
Revenues	\$412,000	\$1,109,000
Expenditures	(\$91,000)	(\$142,000)
Net Balance Effect	\$503,000	\$1,251,000
Total Other Funds	\$503,000	\$1,251,000
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$551,000	\$1,381,000

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116926 (tab 38 in the gray budget legislation notebook), the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance. Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) is currently revising the legislation to reflect Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee-recommended changes. The revised Council Bill will be attached to a new version of this green sheet before the Round 2 Budget Committee vote.

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
5	1	A	1

The SPU-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with SPUN Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. SPUN-recommendations affect DWF expenditures that are supported by both drainage rates and wastewater rates. Instead of splitting the expenditure changes between this green sheet and the wastewater rate green sheet 6-1-A, the entire change (including the associated utility tax and General Subfund revenue changes) is shown on this green sheet. Thus approval of this green sheet relies on approval of green sheet 6-1-A and C.B. 116928 (tab 40 in the gray budget legislation notebook).

SPUN recommendations have the seemingly contradictory effect of increasing rates but reducing the proposed budget:

- Rate increases. SPUN-recommended rates include late-breaking cost increases identified during budget preparation but after rate submittal. These late-breaking increases include cost shifts from other SPU Funds to the Drainage and Wastewater Fund (DWF) and increased staff hours for operations and maintenance activities instead of capital projects. Because the late-breaking cost increases were not in the original rates proposal, SPUN recommendations result in a net rate increase (13.8% average 2011 system increase instead of 12.5%) compared to the SPU-proposed rate ordinance despite SPUN-recommended spending reductions to reflect work force efficiencies from actions such as shift changes. The recommended rate increase requires a commensurate increase in appropriation authority to pay resulting taxes, including City utility taxes.
- Budget reduction. Spending authority for late-breaking cost increases is included in the proposed budget but authority for associated tax payments is not. Spending increases for those tax payments and spending decreases to implement SPUN's recommendation for work force efficiencies result in a net budget decrease.

Because the City Budget Office and SPU did not want to presume Council approval of the late-breaking cost increases, the proposed budget did not include increased utility tax revenue associated with those increases. Approval of the late-breaking cost increases, and any resulting General Subfund (GSF) utility tax changes, was left to the Council's discretion. Thus this green sheet would increase GSF utility tax revenues to reflect SPUN recommendations to approve the late-breaking cost increases.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116926 -- the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased tax revenues.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Decrease labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-DW	44010	2011		(\$150,000)
2	Decrease labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-DW	44010	2012		(\$300,000)
3	Increase tax payments (City and state) associated with higher drainage and wastewater rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2011		\$59,000
4	Increase tax payments (City and state) associated with higher drainage and wastewater rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2012		\$158,000
5	Increase GSF drainage and wastewater tax revenues				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2011	\$48,000	
6	Increase GSF drainage and wastewater tax revenues				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2012	\$130,000	
7	Increase Drainage and Wastewater Fund (DWF) revenues to reflect increased drainage rates				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2011	\$206,000	
8	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased drainage rates				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2012	\$540,000	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
9	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased wastewater rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2011	\$206,000	
10	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased wastewater rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2012	\$569,000	
11	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2011	(\$503,000)	
12	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2012	(\$1,251,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116926 -- the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased tax revenues.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116926, gray tab 38

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$48,000	\$130,000
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$48,000	\$130,000
Other Funds		
Drainage and Wastewater Fund		
Revenues	\$412,000	\$1,109,000
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>(\$91,000)</u>	<u>(\$142,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$503,000	\$1,251,000
Total Other Funds	\$503,000	\$1,251,000
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$551,000	\$1,381,000

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116926 (tab 38 in the gray budget legislation notebook and attached in mark-up format), the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance.

The Seattle Public Utilities (SPU)-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. The attached version 2 of the legislation incorporates the SPUN Committee recommendations. SPUN recommendations affect Drainage and Wastewater Fund (DWF) expenditures that are supported by both drainage rates and wastewater

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
5	1	A	2

rates. Instead of splitting the expenditure changes between this green sheet and the wastewater rate green sheet 6-1-A, the entire change (including the associated utility tax and General Subfund (GSF) revenue changes) is shown on this green sheet. Thus approval of this green sheet relies on approval of green sheet 6-1-A and C.B. 116928 (tab 40 in the gray budget legislation notebook).

SPUN recommendations have the seemingly contradictory effect of increasing rates but reducing the proposed budget:

- Rate increases. SPUN-recommended rates include late-breaking cost increases identified during budget preparation but after rate submittal. These late-breaking increases include cost shifts from other SPU Funds to the DWF and increased staff hours for operations and maintenance activities instead of capital projects. Because the late-breaking cost increases were not in the original rates proposal, SPUN recommendations result in a net rate increase (13.8% average 2011 system increase instead of 12.5%) compared to the SPU-proposed rate ordinance despite SPUN-recommended spending reductions to reflect work force efficiencies from actions such as shift changes. The recommended rate increase requires a commensurate increase in appropriation authority to pay resulting taxes, including City utility taxes.
- Budget reduction. Spending authority for late-breaking cost increases is included in the proposed budget but authority for associated tax payments is not. Spending increases for those tax payments and spending decreases to implement SPUN's recommendation for work force efficiencies result in a net budget decrease.

Because the City Budget Office and SPU did not want to presume Council approval of the late-breaking cost increases, the proposed budget did not include increased utility tax revenue associated with those increases. Approval of the late-breaking cost increases, and any resulting GSF utility tax changes, was left to the Council's discretion. Thus this green sheet would increase GSF utility tax revenues to reflect SPUN recommendations to approve the late-breaking cost increases.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116926 -- the 2011-2012 drainage rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased tax revenues.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Decrease labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-DW	44010	2011		(\$150,000)
2	Decrease labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-DW	44010	2012		(\$300,000)
3	Increase tax payments (City and state) associated with higher drainage and wastewater rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2011		\$59,000
4	Increase tax payments (City and state) associated with higher drainage and wastewater rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-DW	44010	2012		\$158,000
5	Increase GSF drainage and wastewater tax revenues				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2011	\$48,000	
6	Increase GSF drainage and wastewater tax revenues				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2012	\$130,000	
7	Increase Drainage and Wastewater Fund (DWF) revenues to reflect increased drainage rates				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2011	\$206,000	
8	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased drainage rates				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2012	\$540,000	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
5	1	A	2

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
9	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased wastewater rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2011	\$206,000	
10	Increase DWF revenues to reflect increased wastewater rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2012	\$569,000	
11	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2011	(\$503,000)	
12	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2012	(\$1,251,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Ready for Notebook

Tab	Action	Option	Version
6	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116928 -- the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116928, gray tab 40

Date		Total	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
	Yes										
	No										
	Abstain										
	Absent										

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116928 (tab 40 in gray budget legislation notebook), the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance. Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) currently is revising the legislation to reflect Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations. The revised Council Bill will be attached to a new version of this green sheet before the Round 2 Budget Committee vote.

The SPU-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with SPUN Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. SPUN-recommended expenditure changes affect DWF activities that are supported by both drainage rates and wastewater rates. Instead of splitting the expenditure reductions between this green sheet and the drainage rate green sheet, the entire reduction (including the associated utility tax reduction) is shown on the drainage rate green sheet 5-1-A.

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Rescinded

Tab	Action	Option	Version
6	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116928 -- the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116928, gray tab 40

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116928 (tab 40 in gray budget legislation notebook and attached in mark-up format), the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance.

The Seattle Public Utilities (SPU)-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. The attached version 3 of the legislation incorporates SPUN Committee recommendations. SPUN-recommended expenditure changes affect Drainage and Wastewater Fund activities that are supported by both drainage rates and wastewater rates. Instead of splitting the expenditure reductions between this green sheet and the drainage rate green sheet, the entire reduction (including the associated utility tax reduction) is shown on the drainage rate green sheet 5-1-A.

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
6	1	A	3

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116928 -- the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance, and rescind green sheet 6-1-A-2.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116928, gray tab 40

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/22/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116928 (tab 40 in gray budget legislation notebook and attached in mark-up format), the 2011-2012 wastewater rate ordinance. This green sheet also rescinds green sheet 6-1-A-2 and includes technical corrections in the attached version 4 of C.B. 116928.

The Seattle Public Utilities (SPU)-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. The attached version 3 of the legislation incorporates SPUN Committee recommendations. SPUN-recommended expenditure changes affect Drainage and Wastewater Fund activities that are supported by both drainage rates and wastewater rates. Instead of splitting the expenditure reductions between this green sheet and the drainage rate green sheet, the entire reduction (including the associated utility tax reduction) is shown on the drainage rate green sheet 5-1-A.

*** Note: This action rescinds 6-1-A-2**

1 **BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:**

2 Section 1. Subsection 21.28.040 B of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows:

3
4
5 **SMC 21.28.040 Wastewater volume charge.**

6 * * *

7 B. The wastewater volume rate shall be the sum of the treatment rate, the system rate and, where
8 applicable, the MMRD surcharge, as follows:

9
10
11 1. Treatment rate: The "treatment rate" shall be the rate required to pay the wastewater share of
12 "treatment cost" which is the cost of wastewater treatment, interception and disposal services and
13 any associated costs required to meet Drainage and Wastewater Fund financial policies. The
14 treatment rate shall be the amount obtained when (a) the projected wastewater treatment cost is
15 divided by (b) the projected billed wastewater consumption, each for the next calendar year, and
16 the result is multiplied by one hundred sixteen and ~~((seven))~~ nine-tenths percent (116.~~((7))~~9%) to
17 cover the costs of taxes and low income rate assistance. The projected treatment cost shall be the
18 treatment cost anticipated for the upcoming calendar year, which may include an adjustment to
19 reflect the difference, whether positive or negative, between the total expected treatment cost for
20 the current year and the total wastewater volume charge revenues attributable to the treatment
21 rate expected for the current year. The treatment rate is designed to pass through cost changes
22 driven by King County and may be adjusted by ordinance at any time in response to such
23 charges.
24
25
26
27
28

2. System rate: The "system rate" shall be the rate required to pay the cost of carrying and discharging all wastewater and any wastewater funded-share of stormwater into the City sewerage system, as presently maintained and operated and as may be added to, improved and extended.

3. The wastewater volume rate shall be in accordance with the following schedule:

	Effective Jan. 1, 2009	Effective Jan. 1, 2010	Effective Jan. 1, 2011	Effective Jan. 1, 2012
Treatment Rate	\$6.03	\$6.03	\$6.01	\$6.00
System Rate	\$2.86	\$2.95	\$3.34 \$3.33	\$3.74 \$3.71
Wastewater Volume Rate	\$8.89)	\$8.98	\$9.35 \$9.34	\$9.74 \$9.71

4. MMRD Surcharge: Master metered premises with an eligible project (as defined in Seattle Municipal Code Section 21.04.280) that have received funding from Seattle Public Utilities for sewer improvements under Seattle Municipal Code Section 21.16.270 B shall pay a volume rate for sewer improvements of \$3.34 per CCF.

* * *

Section 2. Subsection 21.76.040 A of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows:

SMC 21.76.040 Low Income Rate Credits.

A. Drainage, Wastewater, and Water. Persons qualified by the Human Services Department as eligible recipients of low income utility credits provided for in Section 21.76.010 (eligible recipients) shall be granted low income billing credits in the following amounts:

1. Wastewater. Eligible recipients billed directly by Seattle Public Utilities for wastewater services and residing in single-family dwellings shall receive a credit equal to 0.5 times the total current wastewater volume charge. Eligible recipients not billed directly by Seattle Public Utilities for wastewater services shall receive the following credits based on dwelling type:

Effective Date	Single-family and duplex	Multifamily dwelling
(January 1, 2009	\$23.12 per month	\$16.01 per month)
January 1, 2010	\$23.35 per month	\$16.16 per month
January 1, 2011	<u>\$24.31 per month</u>	<u>\$16.83 per month</u>
January 1, 2012	<u>\$25.32 per month</u>	<u>\$17.53 per month</u>

At the time of a change to the wastewater volume rate described in SMC 21.28.040, the Director of Seattle Public Utilities shall calculate new credits based on dwelling type for eligible recipients not billed directly by Seattle Public Utilities. The credit for Single-family and duplex customers shall be 0.5 times the wastewater volume rate multiplied by 5.2 CCF, which is typical single family residential sewer billed consumption. The credit for Multifamily dwelling customers shall be 0.5 times the wastewater volume rate multiplied by 3.6 CCF, which is typical multifamily sewer billed consumption.

* * *

1 Section 3. Chapter 21.28 of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended by adding new
2 Section 21.28.230 as follows:

3 **SMC 21.28.230 Standard and Administrative Charges.**

4
5 A. The Director shall develop and update annually a schedule of charges for standard, recurring
6 services which are incidental to the provision of wastewater service. Such charges shall be based
7 on a review of the prevailing actual costs for providing these services.
8

9
10 B. Any standard charges, including administrative charges, shall be developed and adopted
11 pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Code (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.02).
12

13 * * *

14
15 Section 4. This ordinance does not affect any existing right acquired or liability or
16 obligation incurred under the sections amended or repealed in this ordinance or under any rule or
17 order adopted under those sections, nor does it affect any proceeding instituted under those
18 sections.

19
20 Section 45. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days from and after its
21 approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after
22 presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

23 Passed by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2010, and signed by me in
24 open session in authentication of its passage this ____ day of _____, 2010.
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

President _____ of the City Council

Approved by me this ____ day of _____, 2010.

Michael McGinn, Mayor

Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2010.

City Clerk

(Seal)

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Rescinded

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116927 -- the 2011-2012 solid waste rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and reduce GSF revenues to reflect reduced tax revenues.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116927, gray tab 39

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	(\$141,000)	(\$180,000)
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	\$0	\$0
Net Balance Effect	(\$141,000)	(\$180,000)
Other Funds		
Solid Waste Fund		
Revenues	(\$1,672,000)	(\$2,104,000)
<u>Expenditures</u>	(\$661,000)	(\$1,257,000)
Net Balance Effect	(\$1,011,000)	(\$847,000)
Total Other Funds	(\$1,011,000)	(\$847,000)
Total Budget Balance Effect	(\$1,152,000)	(\$1,027,000)

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116927 (tab 39 in the gray budget legislation notebook and attached in mark-up format), the 2011-2012 solid waste-rate ordinance.

The Seattle Public Utilities (SPU)-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. SPUN recommendations reduce rates and budget expenditures compared to the SPU-proposed rates and budget.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	2

Rate reduction. The SPUN Committee recommended the following changes that result in a net rate reduction (5.9% average 2011 system increase instead of 7.0%) compared to the SPU-proposed rate ordinance. Changes that affect rates include:

- Mandatory multi-family organics. Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers.
- Every-other-week (EOW) garbage pilot. Add a pilot project for EOW garbage service.
- Yellow pages opt-out. Replace up to \$349,000/year of rate revenue with fee revenue authorized under Ordinance 123427 and increase spending authority for an opt-out list.
- Work force efficiency. Reduce overtime due to expected efficiencies from actions such as shift changes.
- Net income. Reduce proposed net income by one-half.
- Can replacement. Include funding to replace 8% (instead of 10%) of containers per year.
- Organics processing contract. Include no new funding for contract changes that are not yet needed.
- Recycling processing cost. Assume lower contract payments due to a favorable market price share from resale of recyclables.
- Lower term debt. Assume 30-year (instead of 25-year) bonds.
- Late-breaking cost reductions. SPUN-recommended rates include late-breaking cost reductions identified during budget preparation but after rate submittal. These late-breaking reductions are the net result of cost increases (such as increased overtime and more staff hours for operations and maintenance activities instead of capital projects) and cost reductions such as reduced inflation and lower cost-of-living adjustments. Because the late-breaking cost decreases were not in the original rates proposal, SPUN recommendations to approve the late-breaking reductions will decrease SPU-proposed rates.
- Waste reduction grants. During its 2011-2012 budget issue identification, the Budget Committee expressed interest in increasing grant funding available to the community for waste reduction, especially food composting in schools.

Budget reduction. This green sheet includes changes in the proposed budget that correspond to the above-listed SPUN rate recommendations.

Unlike the other changes recommended by the SPUN Committee, the late-breaking cost reductions (including the Coalition agreement to remove the 2% floor for cost-of-living increases) are shown in the proposed budget expenditures. These reductions, however, are not reflected in the budget's General Subfund (GSF) utility tax revenue forecasts. This green sheet would reduce GSF utility tax revenues to reflect the lower rates recommended by the SPUN Committee, including the revenue reductions associated with the late-breaking cost reductions.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116927 -- the 2011-2012 solid waste rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; and reduce GSF revenues to reflect reduced tax revenues.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$250,000
2	Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$250,000
3	Add a pilot project for every-other week garbage service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$295,000
4	Add a pilot project for every-other week garbage service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$55,000
5	Add yellow pages opt-out service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$425,000
6	Add yellow pages opt-out service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$225,000
7	Reduce overtime to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		(\$50,000)
8	Reduce overtime to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		(\$50,000)
9	Reduce waste container replacement spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$600,000)
10	Reduce waste container replacement spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$600,000)
11	Eliminate spending for organics processing contract renegotiation				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$362,000)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	2

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
12	Eliminate spending for organics processing contract renegotiation				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$373,000)
13	Reduce spending for recycling processing contract payments				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$200,000)
14	Reduce spending for recycling processing contract payments				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$300,000)
15	Reduce debt service payments to reflect longer-term bonds				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$330,000)
16	Reduce debt service payments to reflect longer-term bonds				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$330,000)
17	Increase grant funding available to the community for waste reduction				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		\$80,000
18	Increase grant funding available to the community for waste reduction				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		\$80,000
19	Reduce tax payments (City and state) to reflect lower rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$169,000)
20	Reduce tax payments (City and state) to reflect lower rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$214,000)
21	Reduce GSF solid waste tax revenue to reflect lower rates				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Solid Waste (100%)	416450	00100	2011	(\$141,000)	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	2

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
22	Reduce GSF solid waste tax revenue to reflect lower rates				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Solid Waste (100%)	416450	00100	2012	(\$180,000)	
23	Include yellow pages recovery fee revenue for opt-out services				SPU	Recovery Fees	466990	45010	2011	\$765,000	
24	Include yellow pages recovery fee revenue for opt-out services				SPU	Recovery Fees	466990	45010	2012	\$575,000	
25	Decrease Solid Waste Fund (SWF) residential rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Residential Services	443710	45010	2011	(\$1,778,000)	
26	Decrease SWF residential revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Residential Services	443710	45010	2012	(\$1,956,000)	
27	Decrease SWF commercial rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Commercial Services	443710	45010	2011	(\$659,000)	
28	Decrease SWF commercial rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Commercial Services	443710	45010	2012	(\$723,000)	
29	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions and recovery fee offset				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2011	(\$154,000)	
30	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions and recovery fee offset				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2012	(\$180,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116927 -- the 2011-2012 solid waste rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; reduce GSF revenues to reflect reduced tax revenues; and rescind green sheet 7-1-A-2.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 116927, gray tab 39

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/22/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	(\$141,000)	(\$180,000)
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	\$0	\$0
Net Balance Effect	(\$141,000)	(\$180,000)
Other Funds		
Solid Waste Fund		
Revenues	(\$809,000)	(\$1,433,000)
<u>Expenditures</u>	(\$661,000)	(\$1,257,000)
Net Balance Effect	(\$148,000)	(\$176,000)
Total Other Funds	(\$148,000)	(\$176,000)
Total Budget Balance Effect	(\$289,000)	(\$356,000)

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 116927 (tab 39 in the gray budget legislation notebook and attached in mark-up format), the 2011-2012 solid waste rate ordinance. This green sheet also would rescind green sheet 7-1-A-2 and makes corrections in various solid waste revenue sources.

The Seattle Public Utilities (SPU)-proposed rate ordinance was re-referred to the Budget Committee together with Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee recommendations for changes in the rates and associated budget expenditures. SPUN recommendations reduce rates and budget expenditures compared to the SPU-proposed rates and budget.

*** Note: This action rescinds 7-1-A-2**

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

Rate reduction. The SPUN Committee recommended the following changes that result in a net rate reduction (5.9% average 2011 system increase instead of 7.0%) compared to the SPU-proposed rate ordinance. Changes that affect rates include:

- Mandatory multi-family organics. Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers.
- Every-other-week (EOW) garbage pilot. Add a pilot project for EOW garbage service.
- Yellow pages opt-out. Replace up to \$349,000/year of rate revenue with fee revenue authorized under Ordinance 123427 and increase spending authority for an opt-out list.
- Work force efficiency. Reduce overtime due to expected efficiencies from actions such as shift changes.
- Net income. Reduce proposed net income by one-half.
- Can replacement. Include funding to replace 8% (instead of 10%) of containers per year.
- Organics processing contract. Include no new funding for contract changes that are not yet needed.
- Recycling processing cost. Assume lower contract payments due to a favorable market price share from resale of recyclables.
- Lower term debt. Assume 30-year (instead of 25-year) bonds.
- Late-breaking cost reductions. SPUN-recommended rates include late-breaking cost reductions identified during budget preparation but after rate submittal. These late-breaking reductions are the net result of cost increases (such as increased overtime and more staff hours for operations and maintenance activities instead of capital projects) and cost reductions such as reduced inflation and lower cost-of-living adjustments. Because the late-breaking cost decreases were not in the original rates proposal, SPUN recommendations to approve the late-breaking reductions will decrease SPU-proposed rates.
- Waste reduction grants. During its 2011-2012 budget issue identification, the Budget Committee expressed interest in increasing grant funding available to the community for waste reduction, especially food composting in schools.

Budget reduction. This green sheet includes changes in the proposed budget that correspond to the above-listed SPUN rate recommendations.

Unlike the other changes recommended by the SPUN Committee, the late-breaking cost reductions (including the Coalition agreement to remove the 2% floor for cost-of-living increases) are shown in the proposed budget expenditures. These reductions, however, are not reflected in the budget's General Subfund (GSF) utility tax revenue forecasts. This green sheet would reduce GSF utility tax revenues to reflect the lower rates recommended by the SPUN Committee, including the revenue reductions associated with the late-breaking cost reductions.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 116927 -- the 2011-2012 solid waste rate ordinance, and revise the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget accordingly; reduce GSF revenues to reflect reduced tax revenues; and rescind green sheet 7-1-A-2.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$250,000
2	Add mandatory organics service for multi-family customers				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$250,000
3	Add a pilot project for every-other week garbage service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$295,000
4	Add a pilot project for every-other week garbage service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$55,000
5	Add yellow pages opt-out service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		\$425,000
6	Add yellow pages opt-out service				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		\$225,000
7	Reduce overtime to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2011		(\$50,000)
8	Reduce overtime to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-SW	45010	2012		(\$50,000)
9	Reduce waste container replacement spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$600,000)
10	Reduce waste container replacement spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$600,000)
11	Eliminate spending for organics processing contract renegotiation				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$362,000)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
12	Eliminate spending for organics processing contract renegotiation				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$373,000)
13	Reduce spending for recycling processing contract payments				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$200,000)
14	Reduce spending for recycling processing contract payments				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$300,000)
15	Reduce debt service payments to reflect longer-term bonds				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$330,000)
16	Reduce debt service payments to reflect longer-term bonds				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$330,000)
17	Increase grant funding available to the community for waste reduction				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		\$80,000
18	Increase grant funding available to the community for waste reduction				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		\$80,000
19	Reduce tax payments (City and state) to reflect lower rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2011		(\$169,000)
20	Reduce tax payments (City and state) to reflect lower rates				SPU	General Expense	N000B-SW	45010	2012		(\$214,000)
21	Reduce GSF solid waste tax revenue to reflect lower rates				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Solid Waste (100%)	416450	00100	2011	(\$141,000)	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
22	Reduce GSF solid waste tax revenue to reflect lower rates				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Solid Waste (100%)	416450	00100	2012	(\$180,000)	
23	Include yellow pages recovery fee revenue for opt-out services				SPU	Recovery Fees	466990	45010	2011	\$765,000	
24	Include yellow pages recovery fee revenue for opt-out services				SPU	Recovery Fees	466990	45010	2012	\$574,000	
25	Decrease Solid Waste Fund (SWF) residential rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Residential Services	443710	45010	2011	(\$1,026,000)	
26	Decrease SWF residential rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Residential Services	443710	45010	2012	(\$1,461,000)	
27	Decrease SWF commercial rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Commercial Services	443710	45010	2011	(\$548,000)	
28	Decrease SWF commercial rate revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Commercial Services	443710	45010	2012	(\$546,000)	
29	Decrease other SWF revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2011	(\$97,000)	
30	Decrease other SWF revenues to reflect lower rates				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2012	(\$97,000)	
31	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions and recovery fee offset				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2011	\$245,000	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
7	1	A	3

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
32	Decrease use of working capital to reflect spending reductions and recovery fee offset				SPU	Decrease (increase) in Working Capital	379100	45010	2012	\$273,000	

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2012</u>
Service Units	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>
Micro-can (10-12 gallon)	\$11.05	\$14.05	\$15.65	\$16.75 \$16.55	\$17.5580
Mini-can (18-20 gallon)	\$13.55	\$17.15	\$19.15	\$20.50 \$20.30	\$21.5585
32 gallon can	\$17.65	\$22.30	\$24.85	\$26.70 \$26.40	\$28.0545
60 to 65 gallon cart	\$35.30	\$44.60	\$49.70	\$52.80 \$3.40	\$56.1090
90 to 96 gallon cart	\$53.00	\$66.90))	\$74.55	\$79.20 \$80.10	\$84.155.35

2. All residences with backyard garbage container pickup: a charge per month or portion thereof, for once-a-week service for each service unit subscribed to, billed directly to the owner, homeowners association, or occupant as follows:

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2012</u>
Service Units	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>	<u>Rates per Service Unit</u>
32 gallon can	\$24.70	\$31.20	\$34.80	\$36.95 \$7.40	\$39.2585
60 to 65 gallon cart	\$49.40	\$62.45	\$69.60	\$73.90 \$4.80	\$78.509.70
90 to 96 gallon cart	\$74.10	\$93.65))	\$104.40	\$110.85 \$12.20	\$117.759.55

1 3. Multiunit residential consolidated curbside/alley garbage collection service. ((Effective
2 through March 29, 2009, a charge for at least one service unit will be imposed on each dwelling
3 unit in a residence with two or more dwelling units, per the pricing schedule set forth in
4 paragraphs 1 and 2 of this subsection. A service unit is one can of any size. Effective March 30,
5 2009, m))Multiunit residences with a single combined utility account may consolidate garbage
6 into fewer service units than the number of dwelling units on the premise. Upon request,
7 multiunit residences with multiple combined utility accounts, such as townhouse complexes,
8 may consolidate garbage service if such premises share a single water irrigation meter for which
9 a homeowners association is financially responsible and agrees to be financially responsible for
10 the combined utility account, or as determined by the Director. In the event of consolidation,
11 through December 31, 2010 an additional consolidation charge, equal to the minimum charge set
12 forth in paragraph 4 of this subsection, will be applied for each dwelling unit in excess of the
13 number of service units. Effective January 1, 2011, the total consolidated service volume
14 divided by the number of dwelling units must be at least equal to the minimum equivalent
15 service volume per unit, as determined by the Director. ((Upon request, multiunit residences with
16 multiple combined utility accounts, such as townhouse complexes, may consolidate garbage
17 service if such premises share a single water irrigation meter for which a homeowners
18 association is financially responsible. In this case, collection service charges, per paragraphs 1
19 and 2 of this subsection, plus the applicable consolidation charges described in this paragraph,
20 will be applied to the water irrigation account.))
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 4. Minimum Charge, No Pickup Service. Effective January 1, 2008, a charge per month
2 or portion thereof of Six Dollars and Eighty-five Cents (\$6.85) shall be billed directly to the
3 owner, homeowners association, or occupant, of any residence not subscribing to pick-up service
4 to cover landfill closure costs, billing, collection, Low Income Rate Assistance, and hazardous
5 waste costs. To be eligible for the minimum charge (zero (0) container rate) a customer may not
6 generate any garbage or rubbish for collection or disposal. With occupied premises, the
7 customer must demonstrate a consistent and effective practice of selective purchasing to
8 minimize refuse, of recycling materials whenever practical, and of composting any yardwaste
9 generated on the premises and the customer must have qualified for the rate on or before
10 December 31, 1988. A customer is not eligible for the zero (0) container rate by hauling his or
11 her garbage and rubbish to a transfer station, disposal site, or by disposal in another customer's
12 containers or by the use of prepaid stickers. Vacant dwelling units in multiunit residences where
13 each dwelling unit receives a separate combined utility bill qualify for the minimum charge
14 where the conditions of this paragraph are met. Vacant dwelling units in multiunit residences
15 with a single combined utility account do not qualify for the minimum charge, except (~~after~~
16 ~~March 29, 2009,~~) where a consolidation charge is applicable per the provisions of paragraph 3
17 of this subsection through December 31, 2010.
18
19
20

21 5. Extra Bundles. A customer may place an extra bundle with its container for regular
22 pickup. The charge will be billed directly to the owner or occupant, unless a prepaid sticker is
23 used. A prepaid sticker authorizes pickup of the bundle when placed with the customer's
24 container. The sticker must be affixed to the bundle in order for the bundle to be picked up by
25
26
27
28

1 the collector, and the customer not to be billed. The following charges will apply to each extra
2 bundle:

3 ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009: \$6.00 per bundle))~~

4 Effective through December 31, 2010~~((March 30, 2009))~~: \$7.60 per bundle

5 Effective January 1, 2011: \$8.15-10 per bundle

6 Effective January 1, 2012: \$8.70-60 per bundle

7
8 6. Bulky and White Goods Pickup. Charges for the pickup of bulky and white goods, as
9 well as additional charges for items containing hazardous waste such as chlorofluorocarbons
10 (CFCs), shall be billed as follows:

11

	((Effective through March 29, 2009	Effective March 30, 2009
Bulky/White Goods Pickup (per item)	\$25.00	\$30.00
Hazardous Waste Charge (per item)	\$6.00))	\$8.00

12
13
14
15

16
17 7. Curbside Electronics Recycling Pickup. Effective March 30, 2009, curbside
18 electronics pickup service will be available by customer request to all residential can accounts.
19 Each pickup of up to three electronic products set out at the curb shall be billed at \$20. The
20 Director may establish additional conditions for electronic products eligible for pickup. ~~((The~~
21 ~~Director shall establish appropriate charges per pickup and the maximum number and size of~~
22 ~~items to be collected.))~~

23
24 8. Curbside/Alley Compostable Waste. ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009, a charge~~
25 ~~per month or portion thereof for each residence, billed directly to the owner, homeowners~~

1 ~~association, or occupant, of Five Dollars and Thirty-five Cents (\$5.35) for up to four (4) bundles~~
 2 ~~of yardwaste and other compostable waste per collection plus an additional charge of One Dollar~~
 3 ~~and Sixty Cents (\$1.60) for each extra bundle of yardwaste, or other compostable waste, beyond~~
 4 ~~four (4) per collection. Through March 29, 2009, to receive this service, a customer must be~~
 5 ~~signed up with the Seattle Public Utilities for a minimum of twelve (12) months' service and~~
 6 ~~place his or her compostable waste at the curbside/alley for collection on the scheduled date.~~
 7 ~~Effective March 30, 2009, a) A collection charge for weekly service will be billed monthly~~
 8 ~~directly to the owner, homeowners association, or occupant, according to the following schedule:~~

	((Effective March 30, 2009	Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	<u>Effective</u> January 1, 2011	<u>Effective</u> January 1, 2012
Service Units	Rates per Service Unit	Rates per Service Unit	<u>Rates per</u> Service Unit	<u>Rates per</u> Service Unit
Mini can (10 to 20 gallon)	\$3.60	\$4.10	<u>\$4.4035</u>	<u>\$4.7565</u>
32 gallon can	\$5.40	\$6.10	<u>\$6.6050</u>	<u>\$7.106.95</u>
90-96 ((2)) gallon can	\$6.90	\$7.85	<u>\$8.4535</u>	<u>\$9.108.95</u>
Extra bundle	-\$2.95))	\$3.90	<u>\$4.2015</u>	<u>\$4.5545</u>

9. Mandatory Curbside/Alley Compostable Waste Service and Exemptions. Effective
 March 30, 2009, curbside/alley compostable waste service will be mandatory for all residential
 solid waste can accounts, except customers who qualify for a home composting exemption (~~do~~
~~not require curbside collection of yardwaste and who actively compost all vegetative foodwaste~~
~~on-site, and))~~ or customers with no garbage pick-up service, per the provisions of paragraph 4 of
 this subsection. To qualify for the home composting exemption, customers must actively
compost all vegetative foodwaste on-site and agree to comply with public and environmental

1 health guidelines and allow Seattle Public Utility representatives to evaluate their composting
2 methods.

3 10. New/Changed Account. A charge of Ten Dollars (\$10.00) for the establishment of a
4 new account or for each change in an existing account. This charge shall apply when the owner
5 or property manager of any single-family residence or multifamily structure (duplex, triplex,
6 fourplex, or structure with five (5) or more units) establishes a new account or requests any
7 change in his/her account requiring a change in account number or customer number. The
8 new/changed account charge is not applicable to customers qualified for Low Income Rate
9 Assistance.
10

11 11. Physical Disability Exemption. An exemption will be provided to qualified
12 residents to allow for backyard collection at curbside rates when the resident is physically unable
13 to take his or her garbage and rubbish containers to the curb. Qualifying criteria shall include,
14 but are not limited to, the resident's physical condition, qualification for backyard service in
15 other City programs, a physician's recommendation, the presence of other physically capable
16 persons in the household, special topography and other unique property conditions, taking into
17 account the contractors' ability to provide different combinations of container sizes to make
18 curbside pickup feasible.
19

20
21 ~~((B. Effective through March 29, 2009, the City shall calculate the charge for each~~
22 ~~dwelling unit within apartment houses and apartment hotels and for each resident within~~
23 ~~boarding, rooming, fraternity, sorority and group student houses for two (2) times a week~~
24 ~~service, billed directly to the owner or agent for the entire building, by doubling the applicable~~
25 ~~garbage container rates in subsection A1 of this section and reducing this calculated amount by~~
26

1 ~~Three Dollars and Sixty-five Cents (\$3.65) per unit to adjust for billing, collection, hazardous~~
 2 ~~waste, and litter cleanup costs that occur only once a month.~~

3 ~~€)) B.~~ All Residential Customers Requesting and Receiving Nondetachable Container
 4 (Can) Special, Nonroutine Collection Service for Garbage, Yardwaste, or Recyclable Materials.

5 The following charges shall apply to special collections of all nondetachable containers (cans),
 6 bundles or bundles-of-yardwaste:

	((Effective through March 29, 2009	Effective March 30, 2009
First unit	\$26.00	\$34.45
Each additional unit	\$2.65))	\$3.70

12 ~~((D)) C.~~ Ancillary and Elective (A&E) Service Charges. The following charges shall
 13 apply to residential can customers receiving any of the A&E Services listed in the table below.
 14

Type of Service	((Effective March 30, 2009	Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	Effective January 1, 2011
Deliveries/Pick-Ups/Swap-outs:	-		
Can/Toter	\$18.20	\$20.25	<u>\$21.7550</u>
Container Special Services:	-	-	
- Can/Cart Pressure Washing	\$7.40))	\$8.30	<u>\$8.7060</u>

23 ~~((E))D.~~ The charges imposed by subsections A1 through A4 of this section inclusive
 24 shall not apply to residences which elect to use detachable containers supplied either by the
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 City's contractor or by the customer for the storage of garbage and rubbish. Application for
2 detachable container service for a minimum period of six (6) months shall be made to the
3 Director of Seattle Public Utilities on forms supplied by him/her, and collection of garbage and
4 rubbish from such premises shall be made at such frequency as is necessary as determined by the
5 Director of Seattle Public Utilities, but in no event less than once each week. The monthly
6 charges for detachable container service for the container and frequency selected shall be in
7 accordance with the rates set forth in Section 21.40.060.
8

9 ((F))E. The Director of Seattle Public Utilities may adjust the service level to a single-
10 family residence to match the garbage and rubbish actually collected from the premises, or, for
11 multifamily structures, to match the amount of garbage and rubbish reasonably anticipated from
12 the dwelling units on the premises and the customer will be responsible for the appropriate
13 charges for the adjusted service level in accordance with this section.
14

15
16 Section 4. Section 21.40.060 of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows:

17 **21.40.060 Residential detachable container rates and charges.**

18 A. Account charges. Effective March 30, 2009, a fixed fee will be charged to each
19 residential detachable container solid waste account, according to the following schedule.
20

21 Effective ((March 30, 2009)) through December 31, 2010: \$26.55 per account per month

22 Effective January 1, 2011: \$28.5520

23 Effective January 1, 2012: \$30.4029.95
24
25
26
27
28

B. Uncompacted container rates. There is imposed upon residential premises that use detachable containers without mechanical compactors a monthly charge for garbage and rubbish collection and disposal service in accordance with the following ((applicable)) formula((s)):

1. ~~Effective through March 29, 2009: $(\$10.30 + \$20.35f + \$31.75fn + \$49.80fns + \$0.65d)$~~

where:

n = number of containers served;

f = number of pickups per week;

s = size of container in cubic yards; and

d = number of dwelling units.

2. ~~Effective March 30, 2009, the charge for each container will be calculated in accordance with the following formula:))~~

$(A*m) + ((B*cy)*m)$, where

A = Trip rate

B = Volume rate

m = number of pick-ups per month

cy = number of cubic yards picked up at each collection

The following trip and volume rates will apply.

	((Effective Effective March 30, 2009	Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	Effective January 1, 2011	Effective January 1, 2012
Trip Rate	\$16.75	\$20.55	\$22.10 21.85	\$23.55 20
Volume Rate	\$15.75))	\$15.75	\$16.95 17.75	\$18.05 17.80

1 C. Compacted container rates. There is imposed upon residential premises that use
2 detachable containers with compactors a monthly charge for garbage and rubbish collection and
3 disposal service in accordance with the following formula((s)):
4

5
6 ~~((1. Effective through March 29, 2009: (\$10.30 + \$20.35f + \$31.75fn + \$121.45fns + \$0.65d)~~

7 where:

8 n = number of containers served;

9 f = number of pickups per week; and

10 s = size of container in cubic yards; and

11 d = number of dwelling units.
12
13
14
15

16 ~~2. Effective March 30, 2009, the charge for each container will be calculated in accordance with~~
17 ~~the following formula))~~

18 $(A*m) + ((B*cy)*m)$, where

19 A = Trip rate

20 B = Volume rate

21 m = number of pick-ups per month

22 cy = number of cubic yards picked up at each collection

23 The following trip and volume rates will apply.
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>((Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective through December 31, 2010</u> <u>((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2012</u>
Trip Rate	\$16.75	\$20.55	\$21.852.10	\$23.2055
Volume Rate	\$31.95))	\$31.95	\$34.3500	\$36.6015

D. Pre-Paid Bag Service. Effective March 30, 2009, customers located in specific areas designated by Seattle Public Utilities, and who permanently store garbage containers in the right-of-way, will be required to subscribe to pre-paid bag service, in lieu of detachable container service, for garbage and rubbish collection and disposal, subject to the following charges:

	<u>Effective through December 31, 2010</u> <u>((March 30, 2009))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
15 gallon bag	\$3.50 per bag	\$3.8070
30 gallon bag	\$5.00 per bag	\$5.4030
45 gallon bag	N/A	\$8.00

Compostable waste bag rates for pre-paid bag service shall be thirty-two (32) percent less than the corresponding rates above for garbage service. Recycling bags shall be provided free of charge. Yardwaste shall not be mixed with garbage, refuse or rubbish for disposal.

Effective January 1, 2011, bags set out for collection that are not pre-paid shall be charged at the rate for extra bundles, per subsection H of this section.

E. Mixed-use Building. The Director of Seattle Public Utilities will determine the appropriate residential collection service level for a mixed-use building according to the estimated amount of residential garbage or refuse generated and to be collected by the City.

F. Charges for Lockable Containers. Customers using detachable containers (compacted or noncompacted) may have a lock installed by the collection contractors, subject to the following charges. Only customers who own their own containers may install their own locks.

	((Effective through March 29, 2009	Effective March 30, 2009	Effective through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	Effective January 1, 2011	Effective January 1, 2012
Lock installation	\$57.00	\$68.90	\$75.35	\$80.101.00	\$85.056.25
Extra key	\$3.85	\$4.90	\$5.40	\$5.8075	\$6.1510
Extra padlock	\$8.85	\$9.85))	\$10.75	\$11.5545	\$12.3015

G. All Residential Customers Receiving Detachable Container Special, Nonroutine Collection Service for Garbage, Compostable Waste, or Recycling Materials. The following charges shall apply to special collections of all detachable containers or bundles of garbage, compostable waste or recycling materials. These charges shall be in addition to any charges applicable to regular solid waste collection and disposal service.

Detachable Container Size	((Effective through March 29, 2009	
	Uncompacted Service	Compacted Service
3/4 cubic yards		
-First container	\$41.35	\$53.80
-Each Additional	\$12.85	\$25.30
1 cubic yards		
-First container	\$44.25	\$60.75
-Each Additional	\$15.75	\$32.35
1.5 cubic yards		
-First container	\$50.70	\$75.50
-Each Additional	\$22.20	\$47.05
2 cubic yards		
-First container	\$66.40	\$99.50
-Each Additional	\$37.95	\$71.00

3 cubic yards			
- First container		\$79.35	\$129.00
- Each Additional		\$50.85	\$100.50
4 cubic yards			
- First container		\$92.25	\$158.45
- Each Additional		\$63.75	\$129.95
6 cubic yards			
- First container		\$116.60	\$215.95
- Each Additional		\$88.20	\$187.45
8 cubic yards			
- First container		\$141.75	\$274.20
- Each Additional		\$113.30	\$245.75
10 cubic yards			
- First container		\$285.75	\$451.30
- Each Additional		\$257.25	\$422.80
20 cubic yards			
- First container		\$400.65	\$731.75
- Each Additional		\$372.20	\$703.30))

	Effective Through December 31, 2010((March 30, 2009))	
Detachable Container Size	Uncompacted Service	Compacted Service
3/4 cubic yards	\$41.35	\$53.80
1 cubic yards	\$44.25	\$60.75
1.5 cubic yards	\$50.70	\$75.50
2 cubic yards	\$66.40	\$99.50
3 cubic yards	\$79.35	\$129.00
4 cubic yards	\$92.25	\$158.45
6 cubic yards	\$116.60	\$215.95
8 cubic yards	\$141.75	\$274.20
10 cubic yards	\$285.75	\$451.30
20 cubic yards	\$400.65	\$731.75

((Effective March 30, 2009)) Through December 31, 2010, additional containers will be charged at the same rate as the first container. Effective January 1, 2011, special collections will be

1 charged at 130 percent of the rate for a single pickup of the same size detachable container, per
 2 subsections B and C of this section.

3
 4 H. Extra Bundles of Garbage. A customer may place extra bundles of garbage with its container
 5 for regular pickup. Effective through December 31, 2010 extra bundles of garbage will be billed
 6 in quarter yard increments as follows:

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective ((January 1, 2010)) Through December 31, 2010</u>
1/4 yard =	\$6.00	\$7.40	\$8.05
1/2 yard =	\$17.95	\$22.10	\$24.15
3/4 yard =	\$23.90	\$29.35	\$32.15
1 yard =	\$35.85	\$44.10))	\$48.25

15 Effective January 1, 2011 each extra bundle of garbage shall be charged as follows:

16 Effective January 1, 2011: \$8.15-10 per bundle

17 Effective January 1, 2012: \$8.70-60 per bundle

18 The charge will be billed directly to the owner or occupant.

19
 20 I. Bulky and White Goods Pickup. Charges for the pickup of bulky and white goods, as
 21 well as additional charges for items containing hazardous waste such as chlorofluorocarbons
 22 (CFCs), shall be billed as follows:

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>

Bulky/White Goods Pickup (per item)	\$25.00	\$30.00
Hazardous Waste Charge (per item)	\$6.00))	\$8.00

1
2
3 J. Curbside Electronics Recycling Pickup. Effective March 30, 2009, curbside
4 electronics pickup service will be available by customer request to residential detachable
5 container accounts, with approval by the applicable solid waste account owner or designee. Each
6 pickup of up to three electronic products set out at the curb shall be billed at \$20. The Director
7 may establish additional conditions for electronic products eligible for pickup. ((The Director
8 shall establish appropriate charges per pickup and the maximum number and size of items to be
9 collected.))

10
11
12 K. ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009, residential detachable container customers may~~
13 ~~subscribe to residential can curbside/alley compostable waste collection service per the terms of~~
14 ~~SMC 21.40.050.A.7. Effective March 30, 2009, r))~~ Residential detachable container customers
15 who are not required to subscribe to pre-paid bag garbage service have the option to subscribe to
16 either residential can curbside/alley compostable waste collection service per the terms of SMC
17 21.40.050.A.~~((7))~~8 or commercial compostable waste collection service per the terms of SMC
18 21.40.070. Customers who are required to subscribe to pre-paid bag garbage service have the
19 option to subscribe to either one or more residential can curbside/alley compostable waste
20 collection services per the terms of SMC 21.40.050.A.~~((7))~~8 or pre-paid bag compostable waste
21 collection service per the terms of SMC 21.40.060.D. Detachable container customers are not
22 subject to the provisions of SMC 21.40.050.A.~~((8))~~9, which requires mandatory curbside/alley
23 compostable waste service as of March 30, 2009. Effective September 1, 2011, it will be
24
25
26
27
28

1 mandatory for all residential detachable container customer accounts to subscribe to one of the
 2 compostable waste services described in this subsection, except in the following circumstances:

3 1. Existing Structures: Existing residential structures that do not have adequate storage
 4 space for compostable waste may be exempt from all or portions of this subsection if so
 5 determined by the Director of Seattle Public Utilities. The Director of Seattle Public Utilities, in
 6 cases where space constraints are determined to exist, shall also evaluate the feasibility of shared
 7 compostable waste containers by contiguous businesses or multifamily structures.

8
 9 2. New or Expanded Structures: New residential structures -that have demonstrated
 10 difficulty in meeting the solid waste and recyclable materials storage space specifications
 11 required under SMC Section 23.47.029 Subsections A, B, C and D may be exempt from all or
 12 portions of this ordinance as determined by the Director of Seattle Public Utilities.

13
 14
 15 L. Ancillary and Elective (A&E) Service Charges. The following charges shall apply to
 16 residential detachable container customers receiving any of the A&E Services listed in the table
 17 below.

Type of Service	((Effective March 30, 2009	Effective Through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	<u>Effective</u> <u>January 1,</u> <u>2011</u>
Deliveries/Pick-Ups/Swap-outs:	-		
Can/Toter	\$18.20	\$20.25	\$21.7550

1	Detachable Container	\$21.85	\$24.30	<u>\$26.1025.85</u>
2	Drop Box 2-8 CY	\$29.10	\$32.45	<u>\$34.9050</u>
3	Drop Box 10-40 CY	\$45.51	\$50.70	<u>\$53.904.50</u>
4	Pickup Ancillary Services:	-		
5	Can/Cart Roll out (>100 fit or			
6	up/down stairs)	\$1.80	\$2.00	<u>\$2.15</u>
7	Roll Out, Container (<3 CY)	\$5.45	\$6.00	<u>\$6.4540</u>
8	Reposition, Container >2 CY	\$5.45	\$6.00	<u>\$6.4540</u>
9	Entering Secured Buildings	\$3.65	\$4.05	<u>\$4.3530</u>
10	Container Special Services:	-		
11	Detachable Container Washing and			
12	Steam Cleaning, per container	\$27.30	\$30.45	<u>\$32.7535</u>
13	Drop Box Washing and Steam			
14	Cleaning, per Drop Box	\$36.40	\$40.55	<u>\$43.6010</u>
15	Can/Cart Pressure Washing	\$7.30	\$8.10	<u>8.7060</u>
16	Compactor/Drop Box Special			
17	Services:	-		
18	Compactor Disconnect/Reconnect			
19	Cycle	\$30.95	\$34.45	<u>\$36.607.05</u>
20	Dry Run	\$63.70	\$70.95	<u>\$75.406.25</u>
21	Other Ancillary Services:	-		
22	Hourly Paid Special, Truck and			
23	Driver	\$163.85	\$182.50	<u>\$193.956.20</u>
24	Hourly Paid Special, Swamper	\$54.60))	\$60.80	<u>\$64.605.35</u>

1 Section 5. Section 21.40.070 of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows:

2 **21.40.070 Commercial collection rates and charges.**

3 ~~((A. Primary and Secondary Service Territories Established. The City hereby establishes~~
4 ~~two (2) primary service territories for commercial solid waste collection in the City, bordered by~~
5 ~~Royal Brougham to 4th Avenue to Dearborn, Dearborn to I-5, I-5, I-5 to Jackson, Jackson to~~
6 ~~Lake Washington. The City shall designate by ordinance one (1) contract collector of~~
7 ~~commercial solid waste to be the provider of primary services in each territory, and another~~
8 ~~collector to be the secondary service provider. Rates and charges for services provided by a~~
9 ~~primary service provider and a secondary service provider shall be according to the schedules in~~
10 ~~subsection B. The service areas established in this subsection and all related rates and charges~~
11 ~~will remain in effect through March 29, 2009.))~~

12
13
14 ((B.)) A. Commercial Solid Waste Service Rates and Charges. There is imposed upon
15 all commercial establishments in the City receiving container or drop service from one of the
16 City's contract collectors of commercial solid waste or one of the City's contract collectors of
17 commercial compostable waste the following schedule of rates and charges:

18 1. Account charges. Effective March 30, 2009, in addition to any fees for service
19 charged to commercial establishments, per the provisions of this Subsection ((B))A, a fixed fee
20 will be charged to each commercial solid waste account, according to the following schedule.

21
22 ((Effective March 30, 2009: \$14.75 per account per month))

23 Effective through December 31, 2010((January 1, 2010)): \$19.55 per account per month

24 Effective January 1, 2011: \$20.801-00

2. Container Service Rates. The following charges shall apply to commercial establishments receiving container service for solid waste. Compostable waste container service rates shall be thirty-two (32) percent less than the corresponding rate for primary container service for solid waste (the basic service charge including container rent multiplied by 0.68), plus any applicable taxes. Commercial container service customers may subscribe to one or more residential can curbside/alley compostable waste collection services per the terms of SMC 21.40.050.A.((7))8.

~~((Effective through March 29, 2009, customers receiving commercial primary container service will be charged according to the following schedules, and customers receiving secondary container service shall pay twenty (20) percent more than the corresponding rate for primary container service (the basic service charge, including container rent and related taxes, multiplied by 1.2), except for compostable waste, which will be subject to the primary container service rate.~~

**Primary Container Service
 Non-Compacted Material**

SERVICE TYPE	SIZE OR TYPE OF CONTAINER									
	60-Gal Toter	90-Gal. Toter	1-Yd	1.5-Yd	2-Yd	3-Yd	4-Yd	5-Yd	6-Yd	8-Yd
Permanent Account										
First Pickup	\$8.75	\$10.35	\$23.00	\$32.70	\$39.90	\$55.95	\$72.20	\$88.60	\$98.75	\$125.15
Ea. Add'l Pickup	\$8.75	\$10.35	\$23.00	\$32.70	\$39.90	\$55.95	\$72.20	\$88.60	\$98.75	\$125.15
Special Pickups	\$10.85	\$12.20	\$34.80	\$43.60	\$51.75	\$68.40	\$85.00	\$98.75	\$112.35	\$140.15
Monthly Rent	\$2.35	\$2.35	\$6.35	\$9.25	\$11.95	\$14.85	\$16.95	\$23.30	\$26.05	\$29.60
Flat Monthly Pickup Charge*	\$37.85	\$44.80	\$100.75	\$142.00	\$173.15	\$242.50	\$312.70	\$383.90	\$428.05	\$542.45
Temporary Account										

Initial Delivery			\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50	\$16.50
Pickup Rate			\$34.80	\$43.60	\$51.75	\$68.40	\$85.00	\$98.75	\$112.35	\$140.15
Rent Per Calendar Day			\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25	\$4.25

**Primary Container Service
 Compacted Material, 1 to 2 Ratio**

Service Type	Size or Type of Container						
	1 Yd	2 Yd	3 Yd	4 Yd	5 Yd	6 Yd	8 Yd
Permanent Account							
First Pickup	\$46.40	\$71.75	\$104.50	\$137.10	\$169.55	\$202.55	\$269.95
Ea. Add'l Pickup	\$46.40	\$71.75	\$104.50	\$137.10	\$169.55	\$202.55	\$269.95
Special Pickups	\$58.85	\$83.85	\$117.35	\$150.25	\$181.80	\$216.45	\$288.60
Monthly Rent	\$19.75	\$39.45	\$43.70	\$49.30	\$53.55	\$59.10	\$78.75
Flat Monthly Pickup Charge*	\$200.85	\$311.15	\$452.75	\$593.85	\$735.05	\$877.30	\$1,169.80

**Primary Container Service
 Compacted Material, 1 to 5 Ratio**

Service Type	Size or Type of Container						
	1 Yd	2 Yd	3 Yd	4 Yd	5 Yd	6 Yd	8 Yd
Permanent Account							
First Pickup	\$66.45	\$112.60	\$160.85	\$209.20	\$260.40	\$317.85	\$423.85
Ea. Add'l Pickup	\$66.45	\$112.60	\$160.85	\$209.20	\$260.40	\$317.85	\$423.85
Special Pickups	\$74.45	\$121.10	\$169.60	\$218.25	\$269.10	\$327.80	\$437.10
Monthly Rent	\$21.25	\$42.65	\$45.15	\$53.30	\$58.10	\$64.00	\$85.35
Flat Monthly Pickup Charge*	\$287.95	\$488.05	\$697.20	\$906.20	\$1,128.10	\$1,377.45	\$1,836.70

* "Flat monthly pickup" rate schedules exclude rental rates.

Effective March 30, 2009, t))The charge for each detachable container will be calculated in accordance with the following formula:

$$(A*m) + ((B*cy)*m), \text{ where}$$

A = Trip rate

1 B = Volume rate

2 m = number of pick-ups per month

3 cy = number of cubic yards picked up at each collection

4 The following trip and volume rates will apply for uncompacted material:

	<u>((Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010</u> <u>((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
Trip Rate	\$9.85	\$12.70	\$13.6550
Volume Rate	\$21.15))	\$21.55	\$22.903.15

11
 12 The following trip and volume rates will apply for compacted material:

	<u>((Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010</u> <u>((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
Trip Rate	\$9.85	\$12.70	\$13.5065
Volume Rate	\$42.95))	\$43.75	\$46.507.05

18 3. Pre-Paid Bag Service. Effective March 30, 2009, customers located in specific areas
 19 designated by Seattle Public Utilities, and who permanently store garbage containers in the
 20 right-of-way, will be required to subscribe to pre-paid bag service, in lieu of detachable container
 21 service, for garbage and rubbish collection and disposal, subject to the following charges:
 22

	<u>Effective through December 31, 2010</u> <u>((March 30, 2009))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
15 gallon bag	\$3.50 per bag	\$3.780 per bag

30 gallon bag	\$5.00 per bag	\$5.340 per bag
45 gallon bag	N/A	\$8.00 per bag

Customers required to subscribe to pre-paid bag garbage service may also subscribe to either residential can curbside/alley compostable waste collection service per the terms of SMC 21.40.050.A.((7)) 8 or pre-paid bag compostable waste collection service, at rates which shall be thirty-two (32) percent less than the rates specified above for pre-paid bag garbage collection. Yardwaste shall not be mixed with garbage, refuse or rubbish for disposal. Compostable waste service is optional.

Effective January 1, 2011, bags set out for collection that are not pre-paid shall be charged at the rate for extra bundles, per subsection 6 of this section.

4. Special Container Pick-up Charges. Effective through December 31, 2010 March 30, 2009, the following charges shall apply to special collections of all detachable containers of garbage and compostable waste. These charges shall be in addition to any charges applicable to regular solid waste collection and disposal service.

Detachable Container Size	Effective ((March 30, 2009))Through December 31, 2010	
	Uncompacted Service	Compacted Service
3/4 cubic yards	\$41.35	\$53.80
1 cubic yards	\$44.25	\$60.75
1.5 cubic yards	\$50.70	\$75.50
2 cubic yards	\$66.40	\$99.50
3 cubic yards	\$79.35	\$129.00
4 cubic yards	\$92.25	\$158.45
6 cubic yards	\$116.60	\$215.95
8 cubic yards	\$141.75	\$274.20

10 cubic yards	\$285.75	\$451.30
20 cubic yards	\$400.65	\$731.75

Effective January 1, 2011, special collections will be charged at 130 percent of the rate for a single pickup of the same size detachable container, per subsection 2 of this Section.

5. Can-unit Pickup Rates. Customers receiving regularly scheduled can-unit pickup service for one (1) or more cans will be charged according to the following schedule:

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
Per can-unit	\$4.05	-	-	
Minimum monthly charge	\$26.60	-	-	
Per 10-20 gallon can pickup				\$6.00
Per ((0--))32 gallon can pickup	-	\$6.40	\$8.30	\$8.890
Per ((33--64))60-65 gallon can pickup	-	\$13.00	\$16.20	\$17.240
Per ((65--96))90-96 gallon can pickup	-	\$15.20))	\$19.00	\$20.240

When a set number of units are serviced each week, the customer may be billed at a flat monthly collection charge equal to four and one-third (4 1/3) times the applicable unit rate, times the number of units serviced each week((, subject to the minimum monthly charges where applicable)).

Effective ~~((March 30, 2009))~~ through December 31, 2010, customers requesting special, non-routine can-unit pickup service for one (1) or more cans will be charged according to the following schedule:

	((Effective March 30, 2009	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010</u> ((January 1, 2010))
Per 0 – 32 gallon can special pickup	\$8.00	\$10.40
Per 33 – 64 gallon can special pickup	\$16.25	\$20.25
Per 65 – 96 gallon can special pickup	\$19.00))	\$23.75

Effective January 1, 2011, special collections will be charged at 130 percent of the rate for a single pickup of the same size container, per the rates listed in this subsection.

6. Extra Garbage and Bulky Waste Collection. Customers setting out ~~bulky waste~~ extra garbage will be charged at the following rates:

- ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009: \$19.75 per cubic yard~~
- ~~Effective March 30, 2009: \$24.30 per cubic yard-))~~
- Effective through December 31, 2010~~((January 1, 2010))~~: \$26.55 per cubic yard

The charges for ~~((bulky waste))~~ extra garbage collection will be assessed per one-quarter (1/4) cubic yard. Any such charges will be in addition to the customer's regular container collection service charges.

Effective January 1, 2011 extra garbage will be charged by the bundle as follows:

Effective January 1, 2011: \$8.45-10 per bundle

1 Effective January 1, 2012: \$8.670 per bundle

2
3 Bulky Waste and White Goods Pickup. Charges for the pickup of bulky waste and white
4 goods, as well as additional charges for items containing hazardous waste such as
5 chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), shall be billed as follows:

6

	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
<u>Bulky Waste/White Goods Pickup (per item)</u>	<u>\$30.00</u>
<u>Hazardous Waste Charge (per item)</u>	<u>\$8.00</u>

7
8
9
10

11
12
13 7. Overload Container Charges. A ~~((tote or))~~ container whose contents exceed one (1)
14 foot above the top of the ~~((tote or))~~ container will be charged at the applicable extra garbage
15 ((bulky waste)) collection rate, per subsection 6 of this Section, ~~((on a per one-quarter (1/4) cubic~~
16 yard basis.))

17 8. Drop Box Service Rates. The following charges shall apply to commercial
18 establishments receiving drop box service for solid waste. Compostable waste container service
19 rates shall be thirty-two (32) percent less than the corresponding rate for ~~((primary))~~ drop box
20 service for solid waste (the basic service charge ~~((including container rent))~~ multiplied by 0.68).
21 ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009, customers receiving secondary drop box service shall pay~~
22 ~~fifteen (15) percent more than the corresponding rate for primary container service (the basic~~
23 ~~service charge, including container rent and related taxes, multiplied by 1.15), except for~~
24 ~~compostable waste, which will be subject to the primary container service rate. In accordance~~
25
26
27
28

with subsection A of this section, as of March 30, 2009, primary and secondary service areas will no longer be in effect and all subscribers to drop box service will pay rates in accordance with the applicable schedules below.))

**((Primary Drop Box Service
 Nonecompacted Material
 Effective through March 29, 2009**

SERVICE TYPE	Size or Type of Container										
	3-4Yd	6 Yd	8 Yd	10 Yd	12 Yd	15 Yd	16 Yd	20Yd	25Yd	30Yd	40 Yd
Permanent Account											
First Pickup	\$90.75	\$90.75	\$90.75	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80
Ea. Add'l Pickup	\$90.75	\$90.75	\$90.75	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80	\$121.80
Special Pickups	\$105.25	\$105.25	\$105.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$212.95	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25
Monthly Rent	\$18.20	\$34.85	\$37.80	\$40.90	\$45.45	\$49.95	\$53.55	\$57.50	\$72.75	\$87.85	\$95.30
Temporary Account	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pickup Rate	-	-	\$105.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25	\$134.25
Rent Per Calendar Day	-	-	\$4.55	\$4.55	\$4.55	\$4.55	\$6.05	\$6.05	\$6.05	\$7.60	\$7.60

**Primary Drop Box Service
 Compacted Material
 Effective through March 29, 2009**

SERVICE TYPE	10 Yd	15 Yd	20 Yd	25 Yd	30 yd	40 yd
Permanent Account						
First Pickup	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40
Ea. Add'l Pickup	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40	\$187.40
Special Pickups	\$203.05	\$203.05	\$203.05	\$203.05	\$203.05	\$203.05))

1 ((Effective March 30, 2009, t))The following price schedules will shall apply for drop box
 2 service for both compacted and noncompact material:
 3
 4

Service Type	((Effective March 30, 2009	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010</u> ((January 1, 2010))	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>
Permanent Account Pickup			
Up to ((10))8 cu yd	\$109.95	\$120.30	<u>\$127.859.30</u>
Over ((10))8 cu yd	\$147.60	\$161.45	<u>\$171.603.55</u>
Special/Temporary Pick-Up	-		
Up to ((10))8 cu yd	\$120.95	\$132.35	<u>\$140.652.30</u>
Over ((10))8 cu yd	\$162.35	\$177.60	<u>\$188.7590.90</u>
Monthly Account/Rental	\$ 98.40-))	\$98.40	<u>\$98.40</u>

18
 19
 20 9. A&E (Ancillary and Elective) Service Charges. The following charges shall apply to
 21 commercial establishments receiving any of the A&E (Ancillary and Elective) Services listed in
 22 the table below. ~~((Effective through March 29, 2009, customers receiving secondary container
 23 service for solid waste shall pay twenty (20) percent more for A&E Services than primary
 24 container solid waste service customers (the applicable A&E service charge(s) multiplied by
 25 1.2)and customers receiving secondary drop box service for solid waste shall pay fifteen (15)~~

1 ~~percent more for A&E Services than primary drop box solid waste service customers (the~~
2 ~~applicable A&E service charge(s) multiplied by 1.15). Effective January 1, 2005, customers~~
3 ~~receiving container or drop box service for compostable waste shall pay the same amount for~~
4 ~~A&E Services as container or drop box solid waste service customers.~~

5 The following charges for primary commercial customers shall apply through March 29,
6 2009:

A&E Service Type	Charge to Customer
Deliveries	
Toter initial delivery-Permanent	\$15.00
Container initial delivery-Temporary 1-8 CY	\$17.60
Container initial delivery-Permanent 1-8 CY	\$17.60
Drop box initial delivery-Temporary 3-8 CY	\$24.90
Drop box initial delivery-Permanent 3-8 CY	\$24.90
Drop box initial delivery-Temporary 10-40 CY	\$39.35
Drop box initial delivery-Permanent 10-40 CY	\$39.35
Pickup Ancillary Services	
Temporary pickup	\$17.75
Return trip-Can	\$5.60
Return trip-Container	\$11.80
Return trip-Drop Box	\$47.25
Time rates for Special Event Service, Deliveries/Pickups*	\$1.60, 30-minute minimum
Container, Drop Box, and Compactor Special Services	
Pickup/redelivery up to 8 CY	\$17.75
Pickup/redelivery over 8 CY	\$39.35
Washing and steam cleaning, per CY	\$2.60
Washing and steam cleaning, minimum payment	\$19.65
Sanitizing containers, per CY	\$1.20
Sanitizing containers, minimum payment	\$13.10
Compactor disconnect/reconnect cycle	\$26.25
Container, drop box compactor turnaround	\$20.90
Drop box solid lid monthly provision	\$15.50
Overtime service, hourly premium for service provided between Saturday, 4:00 p.m., to Sunday, 5:00 p.m.	\$45.30, in 4 hour increments
Replacement lock for container, if requested more frequently than every 12 months	\$13.10

* Qualification for this rate requires preapproval by the Director of Seattle Public Utilities.

Effective March 30, 2009, the following charges will apply to commercial establishments receiving A&E Services))

Type of Service	((Effective March 30, 2009	Effective Through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	Effective January 1, 2011
Deliveries/Pick-Ups/Swap-outs:	-		
Can/Toter	\$18.20	\$20.25	<u>\$21.7550</u>
Detachable Container	\$21.85	\$24.30	<u>\$25.856.10</u>
Drop Box 2-8 CY	\$29.10	\$32.45	<u>\$34.5090</u>
Drop Box 10-40 CY	\$45.51	\$50.70	<u>\$53.904.50</u>
Pickup Ancillary Services:	-		
Can/Cart Roll out (>100 fit or up/down stairs)	\$1.80	\$2.00	<u>\$2.15</u>
Roll Out, Container (<3 CY)	\$5.45	\$6.00	<u>\$6.405</u>
Reposition, Container >2 CY	\$5.45	\$6.00	<u>\$6.405</u>
Entering Secured Buildings	\$3.65	\$4.05	<u>\$4.305</u>
Container Special Services:	-		
Detachable Container Washing and Steam Cleaning, per container	\$27.30	\$30.45	<u>\$32.3575</u>
Drop Box Washing and Steam Cleaning, per Drop Box	\$36.40	\$40.55	<u>\$43.1060</u>

1	Can/Cart Pressure Washing	\$7.30	\$8.10	<u>\$8.670</u>
2	Compactor/Drop Box Special			
3	Services:	-		
4	Compactor Disconnect/Reconnect			
5	Cycle	\$30.95	\$34.45	<u>\$36.607.05</u>
6	Dry Run	\$63.70	\$70.95	<u>\$75.406.25</u>
7	Other Ancillary Services:	-		
8	Hourly Paid Special, Truck and			
9	Driver	\$163.85	\$182.50	<u>\$193.956.20</u>
10	Hourly Paid Special, Swamper	\$54.60))	\$60.80	<u>\$64.605.35</u>

11
 12 10. Disposal Fee for MSW and Processing Fee for Compostable Waste Drop Box
 13 Service. Disposal fees for MSW drop box service shall be assessed on each MSW drop box load
 14 at the rates set forth below, measured on a per tip basis rounded to the next highest one-
 15 hundredth (1/100) ton.

16 ((Effective through March 29, 2009: \$117.45 per ton))

17 Effective ((March 30, 2009)) through December 31, 2010: \$137.75 per ton

18 Effective January 1, 2011: \$146.408.10 per ton

19
 20 Processing fees for compostable waste drop box service shall be assessed on each
 21 compostable waste drop box load and measured on a per tip basis rounded to the next highest
 22 one-hundredth (1/100) ton. Charges for this service shall be as follows:

23 ((Effective through March 29, 2009: \$50.00 per ton))

24 Effective ((March 30, 2009)) through December 31, 2010: \$68.90 per ton

1 Effective January 1, 2011: \$73.254.05 per ton

2 ~~((11. Application of Local Hazardous Waste Plan Fee. Effective through March 29,~~
3 ~~2009, the following fees shall be added to the collection and disposal charges set forth in this~~
4 ~~section.~~

5 ~~Household Hazardous Waste Collection Fees as set by The Local Hazardous Waste~~
6 ~~Management Program in King County pursuant to RCW 70.105.220, with applicable taxes.))~~

7 ~~((12.))~~11. Payment of Charges -- Delinquency and Lien.

8 a. Collection and disposal charges shall be against the premises served and when such
9 charges have not been paid within ninety (90) days after billing, service shall be discontinued
10 and the charges may constitute a lien against the premises served. Notice of the City's lien
11 specifying the amount due, the period covered and giving the legal description of the premises
12 sought to be charged may be filed with the County Auditor within the time required and may be
13 foreclosed in the manner and within the time prescribed for liens for labor and material, as
14 authorized by RCW 35.21.140.

15 b. Penalty interest at the rate of twelve (12) percent per year, computed monthly, shall be
16 added to collection and disposal charges that become delinquent. Penalty interest shall be
17 imposed on all such charges that remain unpaid thirty (30) days after their bill date and shall
18 continue until such charges are paid.

19 C. The Director of Seattle Public Utilities may adjust the service level to a commercial
20 establishment to match the amount of garbage and rubbish actually collected from that
21 establishment and the customer will be responsible for the appropriate charges for the adjusted
22 service level in accordance with this section.

Section 6. Section 21.40.080 of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows:

21.40.080 Recycling and disposal station rates.

A. Basic Rates. ~~((The following rates are established for the use of the City's recycling and disposal stations.))~~

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

((The following charges shall apply through March 29, 2009:

Types of Waste and Vehicle	Effective through March 29, 2009
1. Deposit of Contaminant-free Clean Recyclables:	
Any vehicle	No Charge
2. Deposit of Refuse:	
Sedans, station wagons, sport utility vehicles (all without trailers)	\$25.00 per entry
Other vehicles (e.g., trucks, vans (including minivans), vehicles with trailers, travel-alls, motor homes, and modified buses, aid cars and commercial vehicles)	\$130.00 per ton; minimum charge of \$25.00 per vehicle
3. Deposit of Source Separated Yard Waste at Yard Waste Collection Areas:	
Sedans, station wagons, sport utility vehicles (all without trailers)	\$15 per entry
Other vehicles (e.g., trucks, vans (including minivans), vehicles with trailers, travel-alls, motor homes, and modified buses, aid cars and commercial vehicles)	\$85.00 per ton; minimum charge of \$15 per vehicle
4. Deposit of Source Separated Clean Wood at Clean Wood Collection Areas:	
Sedans, station wagons, sport utility vehicles (all without trailers)	\$15 per entry
Other vehicles (e.g., trucks, vans (including minivans), vehicles with trailers, travel-alls, motor homes, and modified buses, aid cars and commercial vehicles)	\$59.00 per ton; minimum charge of \$15 per vehicle
5. Deposit of Passenger Vehicle Tires:	
Passenger and other noncommercial vehicles	\$11.00 per load; maximum of four (4) tires per load
6. Deposit of Household Hazardous Waste Only:	
Passenger and other noncommercial vehicles	No charge
7. Deposit of a Combination of Refuse and White Goods, Seattle Residents Only:	
All vehicles	\$130.00 per ton plus \$7.00 per white good; a maximum of two (2) white goods per load
8. Deposit of White Goods Only, Seattle Residents Only:	
All vehicles	\$25.00 per white good; a maximum of two (2) white goods per load--))

1
 2 ~~((Effective March 30, 2009, t))~~The following charges will apply at the City's recycling
 3 and disposal stations. The flat rate will apply to sedans, station wagons, sport utility vehicles (all
 4 without trailers or modifications) and all garbage or compostable material must be fully
 5 contained within the interior of vehicle.

6 The per ton rate, subject to the minimum charge, will apply to all other vehicles,
 7 including but not limited to trucks, vans (including minivans), vehicles with trailers or
 8 modifications, travel-alls, motor homes, modified buses, aid cars and commercial vehicles.
 9

		((Effective March 30, 2009	Effective January 1, 2010
Recyclables*		No charge	No charge
Garbage	per ton	\$135	\$145
	minimum/flat rate	\$27	\$30
Yard Waste	per ton	\$100	\$110
	minimum/flat rate	\$18	\$20
Wood Waste	per ton	\$100	\$110
	minimum/flat rate	\$18	\$20
Tires (maximum of four per load)	per load	\$12	\$13
Appliances (maximum of two per load)	per appliance	\$30	\$30
	per appliance if included with garbage	\$8))	\$8

20
 21 *Contaminant-free clean recyclables

22 B. Collection of Charges. It shall be the duty of the Director of Seattle Public Utilities,
 23 or his/her authorized agent, to issue and sell tickets at City recycling and disposal stations for the
 24 privilege of such disposal; provided, that such disposal charges shall not apply to the disposal of
 25
 26
 27
 28

1 earth or other material suitable for road construction when disposal of same has been approved
2 by the Director of Seattle Public Utilities or his/her authorized agent.

3 C. State Tax Collection and Refund. The Director of Seattle Public Utilities, or his/her
4 authorized agent, has the authority to collect taxes due as required by state law and to make
5 refunds to any person entitled thereto under state law.

6 D. Charitable Organizations Reusing Goods.

7
8 1. Qualified charitable organizations shall be charged on an ongoing basis, rather than on
9 an occasional or incidental basis, for the disposal of refuse generated within Seattle only, that is
10 deposited at City recycling and disposal stations, at the following rates.

11 ~~Effective through March 29, 2009: \$74.10 per ton~~

12 ~~Effective March 30, 2009: \$76.95 per ton~~

13 Effective January 1, 2010: \$82.65 per ton

14
15 2. Qualified charitable organizations may dispose of white goods at no charge under the
16 following conditions:

17 a. White goods must be delivered directly to the City's selected vendor for white good
18 processing ("vendor").

19
20 b. By the tenth of each month, the qualified charitable organization must provide Seattle
21 Public Utilities with dated receipts from the vendor for all of the white goods disposed of in the
22 previous month.

23 c. The number of white goods disposed of in a calendar year may not exceed the average
24 the number of white goods delivered to City recycling and disposal stations by the qualified
25 charitable organization in 1997 and 1998. If the above conditions are not met or if limits set
26

1 forth in subsection D2c are exceeded, qualified charitable organizations shall be charged at a per-
2 unit rate equal to that established by contract between the City and its selected vendor.

3 3. For purposes of this subsection D, a charitable organization shall be considered a
4 qualified charitable organization if found by the Director of Seattle Public Utilities, or his/her
5 authorized agent, after application by such organization to the Director, to:

- 6 a. Be a credit customer of the Seattle Public Utilities;
7
8 b. Be a nonprofit charitable organization recognized as such by the Internal Revenue
9 Service; and
10 c. Be engaged, as a primary form of its doing business, in processing abandoned goods
11 for resale or reuse.

12 E. Interest on Delinquent City Recycling and Disposal Stations Payments. Interest shall
13 accrue on delinquent payments of customers at City recycling and disposal stations at the rate of
14 twelve (12) percent per annum from thirty (30) days after the bill date and shall continue until
15 the bill is paid.
16

17 F. Requirements for Special Event, Free Disposal. Under certain conditions, Seattle
18 Public Utilities shall offer free disposal at City recycling and disposal stations for special events.
19 An organization shall be qualified for free disposal for a special event if the organization's
20 written application to the Director of Seattle Public Utilities is found by the Director, or his/her
21 authorized agent, to:
22

- 23 1. Be the only such request from the organization for the calendar year;
24 2. Support the City's goals for cleaner neighborhoods and environments;
25 3. Not to supplant any current or existing agency responsibilities or activities; and
26

1 4. Provide benefit to SPU as well as the community or the City.

2 G. Waiver of Residential Disposal Rates Under Certain Circumstances. The Director of
3 Seattle Public Utilities has discretion to waive disposal rates for City residents for yard waste or
4 refuse for up to sixty (60) days at a time when the Director determines that unique or emergency
5 situations, such as transitions in collection service, incidents of arson, windstorms, etc., make it
6 prudent to encourage self-haul of refuse or yard waste to City recycling and disposal stations by
7 waiving the disposal fee for a limited period.

8
9 H. The Seattle Housing Authority shall be charged for the disposal of up to five thousand
10 eight hundred (5,800) tons per calendar year of refuse that is deposited at City recycling and
11 disposal stations, at the following rates.

12 ((Effective through March 29, 2009: \$74.10 per ton

13 Effective March 30, 2009: \$76.95 per ton))

14 Effective January 1, 2010: \$82.65 per ton

15
16 If the actual tons delivered in a calendar year exceed this maximum, the Seattle Housing
17 Authority shall be charged the per ton rate for refuse set forth in subsection A of this section for
18 the additional tons.

19
20 Section 7. Subsection 21.40.085 A of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows.

21 **21.40.085 Commercial railyard rate.**

22 A. Nonresidential, non-contract solid waste generated within the City and directed by the
23 City to the Argo Yard or its successor facility for transport and disposal shall be charged at the
24 following rates per ton, with the specified total minimum charges per disposal.

Effective Date	Per ton rate	Total minimum charge
Through March 29, 2009	\$71.50	\$1,788.00
March 30, 2009	\$78.70	\$1968.00
Through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))	\$88.05	\$2,201.00
January 1, 2011	\$93.604.65	\$2,34066.00

* * *

Section 8. Subsection 21.76.040 B of the Seattle Municipal Code is amended as follows.

21.76.040 Low Income Rate Credits.

* * *

B. Solid Waste. Persons qualified by the Director of the Human Services Department as eligible recipients of Low Income Rate Assistance (LIRA) shall be granted low income billing credits or rates as follows:

1. Eligible recipients who are billed directly by Seattle Public Utilities for garbage container, as defined in SMC Section 21.36.014, services, or compostable waste services, shall receive a credit equal to 0.5 times the current billing.

2. Eligible recipients with twice weekly garbage container, as defined in SMC Section 21.36.014, service shall be charged 0.5 times the rate calculated in subsection B of SMC Section 21.40.050.

3. Eligible recipients not billed directly by Seattle Public Utilities for solid waste services and receiving garbage container, as defined in SMC Section 21.36.014, compostable waste, as defined in SMC Section 21.36.012, or detachable container, as defined in SMC Section 21.36.012, service shall receive the following credits:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>((Effective through March 29, 2009</u>	<u>Effective March 30, 2009</u>	<u>Effective Through December 31, 2010 ((January 1, 2010))</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2011</u>	<u>Effective January 1, 2012</u>
Garbage ((<u>container</u>)) <u>can</u> customers	<u>\$8.85 per month</u>	<u>\$11.15 per month</u>	<u>\$12.45 per month</u>	<u>\$13.35-20 per month</u>	<u>\$14.0525 per month</u>
Detachable container customers	<u>\$6.90 per month</u>	<u>\$9.15 per month</u>	<u>\$10.20 per month</u>	<u>\$10.851.20 per month</u>	<u>\$11.5095 per month</u>
Yardwaste customers	<u>\$2.65 per month</u>	<u>\$3.45 per month-))</u>	<u>\$3.95 per month</u>	<u>\$4.205 per month</u>	<u>\$4.505 per month</u>

* * *

Section 9. This ordinance does not affect any existing right acquired or liability or obligation incurred under the sections amended or repealed in this ordinance or under any rule or order adopted under those sections, nor does it affect any proceeding instituted under those sections.

1 Section 910. The provisions of this ordinance are declared to be separate and severable.
2 If any one or more of the provisions of this ordinance shall be declared by any court of
3 competent jurisdiction to be contrary to law, then such provision or provisions shall be null and
4 void and severed from the rest of this ordinance, and all other provisions of this ordinance shall
5 remain valid and enforceable.

6 Section 101. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force 30 days from and after its
7 approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten days after
8 presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Seattle Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

9 Passed by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2010, and signed by me in
10 open session in authentication of its passage this ____ day of _____, 2010.

11
12
13 _____
14 President _____ of the City Council

15 Approved by me this ____ day of _____, 2010.

16
17 _____
18 Michael McGinn, Mayor

19 Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2010.

20 _____
21 City Clerk

22 (Seal)

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Rescinded

Tab	Action	Option	Version
8	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Pass C.B. 117029 -- Solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add 1 part-time position (0.5 FTE) and \$92,000 per year to the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget for added graffiti control activities, and impose a proviso.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 117029, gray tab 44

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
<i>General Subfund Revenues</i>	\$0	\$0
<i>General Subfund Expenditures</i>	\$0	\$0
<i>Net Balance Effect</i>	\$0	\$0
Other Funds		
<i>Solid Waste Fund</i>		
<i>Revenues</i>	\$92,000	\$92,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	\$92,000	\$92,000
<i>Net Balance Effect</i>	\$0	\$0
<i>Total Other Funds</i>	\$0	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would recommend passage of C.B. 117029 (tab 44 in the gray budget legislation notebook), the solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add \$92,000 in resulting revenue each year for Seattle Public Utilities (SPU), add a 0.5 FTE position and appropriate \$92,000 each year in SPU's budget for graffiti control, and impose a budget proviso.

During its review of 2011-2012 solid waste rates, the Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee considered recommendations from the 2010 City Auditor's report on City graffiti control. The Committee recommended that solid waste tonnage taxes be increased from \$8.50 per ton to \$8.69 per ton to fund the following SPU-related recommendations from the Auditor's report:

* Has Proviso

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
8	1	A	2

- Transfer a SPU graffiti control position to the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) for pay station graffiti control. This green sheet does not make the transfer but anticipates a transfer in early 2011 after submittal of a response to Statement of Legislative Intent 8-2-A.
- Add a part-time position (0.5 FTE) in SPU for community coalition building and outreach planning.
- Add \$8,000 per year for a possible Keep America Beautiful membership and ongoing information campaigns.
- Add funding for expanded graffiti database efforts, with the intent that a part-time position (0.5 FTE) would be added in either SPU or the Seattle Police Department in 2011 to help build and maintain an expanded graffiti database.

This green sheet also would impose the following proviso:

“None of the money appropriated in the 2011 budget for Seattle Public Utilities’ Customer Service BCL may be spent for expanded graffiti database services until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until Seattle Public Utilities and the Seattle Police Department evaluate graffiti database needs and submit a joint recommendation for the scope of expanded database efforts, the responsibilities of each department, and the department assignment of new part-time staff for expanded graffiti database work.”

Tab	Action	Option	Version
8	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Pass C.B. 117029 -- Solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add 1 part-time position (0.5 FTE) and \$92,000 per year to the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget for added graffiti control activities, and impose a proviso.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Add part-time SPU position for graffiti coalition building and outreach	Public Info Spec - PT	1	0.5	SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2011		\$41,000
2	Add part-time SPU position for graffiti coalition building and outreach	Public Info Spec - PT	1	0.5	SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2012		\$41,000
3	Add spending for graffiti outreach and public education				SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2011		\$8,000
4	Add spending for graffiti outreach and public education				SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2012		\$8,000
5	Add spending for expanded graffiti database efforts				SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2011		\$43,000
6	Add spending for expanded graffiti database efforts				SPU	Customer Service	N300B-SW	45010	2012		\$43,000
7	Add revenue from increased tonnage tax				SPU	Transfer Fee - In City	516457	45010	2011	\$92,000	
8	Add revenue from increased tonnage tax				SPU	Transfer Fee - In City	516457	45010	2012	\$92,000	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
8	1	A	3

Budget Action Title: Pass C.B. 117029 -- Solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add 1 part-time position (0.5 FTE) and \$92,000 per year to the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget for added graffiti control activities, and impose a proviso, and Rescind and Replace GS 8-1-A-2.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 117029, gray tab 44

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/22/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Other Funds		
Solid Waste Fund		
Revenues	\$92,000	\$92,000
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$92,000</u>	<u>\$92,000</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would recommend passage of C.B. 117029 (tab 44 in the gray budget legislation notebook), the solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add \$92,000 in resulting revenue each year for Seattle Public Utilities (SPU), add a 0.5 FTE position and appropriate \$92,000 each year in SPU's budget for graffiti control, and impose a budget proviso.

During its review of 2011-2012 solid waste rates, the Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee considered recommendations from the 2010 City Auditor's report on City graffiti control. The Committee recommended that solid waste tonnage taxes be increased from \$8.50 per ton to \$8.69 per ton to fund the following SPU-related recommendations from the Auditor's report:

* Has Proviso * Note: This action rescinds 8-1-A-2

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
8	1	A	3

- Transfer a SPU graffiti control position to the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) for pay station graffiti control. This green sheet does not make the transfer but anticipates a transfer in early 2011 after submittal of a response to Statement of Legislative Intent 8-2-A.
- Add a part-time position (0.5 FTE) in SPU for community coalition building and outreach planning.
- Add \$8,000 per year for a possible Keep America Beautiful membership and ongoing information campaigns.
- Add funding for expanded graffiti database efforts, with the intent that a part-time position (0.5 FTE) would be added in either SPU or the Seattle Police Department in 2011 to help build and maintain an expanded graffiti database.

This green sheet also would impose the following proviso:

“None of the money appropriated in the 2011 budget for Seattle Public Utilities’ Customer Service BCL may be spent for expanded graffiti database services until authorized by future ordinance. Council anticipates that such authority will not be granted until Seattle Public Utilities and the Seattle Police Department evaluate graffiti database needs and submit a joint recommendation for the scope of expanded database efforts, the responsibilities of each department, and the department assignment of new part-time staff for expanded graffiti database work.”

Tab	Action	Option	Version
8	1	A	3

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Pass C.B. 117029 -- Solid waste tonnage tax ordinance, add 1 part-time position (0.5 FTE) and \$92,000 per year to the proposed 2011-2012 SPU budget for added graffiti control activities, and impose a proviso, and Rescind and Replace GS 8-1-A-2.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Add part-time SPU position for graffiti coalition building and outreach	Public Ed Prgm Spec - PT	1	0.5	SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2011		\$41,000
2	Add part-time SPU position for graffiti coalition building and outreach	Public Ed Prgm Spec - PT	1	0.5	SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2012		\$41,000
3	Add spending for graffiti outreach and public education				SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2011		\$8,000
4	Add spending for graffiti outreach and public education				SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2012		\$8,000
5	Add spending for expanded graffiti database efforts				SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2011		\$43,000
6	Add spending for expanded graffiti database efforts				SPU	Customer Service	N300B- SW	45010	2012		\$43,000
7	Add revenue from increased tonnage tax				SPU	Transfer Fee - In City	516457	45010	2011	\$92,000	
8	Add revenue from increased tonnage tax				SPU	Transfer Fee - In City	516457	45010	2012	\$92,000	

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
8	2	A	1

Budget Action Title: Report on SDOT position for pay station graffiti control

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that by March 1, 2011 Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) and the Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) submit a report identifying how best to implement the Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee's recommendation for a tonnage tax-supported graffiti position in SDOT for pay station graffiti control.

During its review of 2011-2012 solid waste rates, the SPUN Committee considered recommendations from the 2010 City Auditor's report on City graffiti control efforts, and agreed with the recommendation to transfer a SPU graffiti position to SDOT for pay station graffiti control. By recommending a "transfer," the Committee assumed no significant cost increases or net new positions would be associated with the action (Technically, however, a budget action to transfer a position would eliminate a SPU position and add a SDOT position). Implementing the Committee's recommendation requires resolution of several issues including: Which position should be transferred? If a filled position is transferred, do job classification and work process issues needed to be resolved? What is the reasonable cost of the position in SDOT and what billing and payment procedures are needed for SDOT to obtain tonnage tax reimbursement from SPU?

The report requested in this Statement of Legislative Intent should describe how best to implement the Committee's recommendation, so that the following Council goals are accomplished:

- The position will ultimately be assigned to SDOT and the position's work plan directed by SDOT.
- SPU tonnage tax revenues will fund the position and associated vehicle and materials costs in 2011 and 2012 but not SDOT indirect cost recovery. It is anticipated that no more than \$95,000 of tonnage tax revenues will be allocated to support the SDOT position over a 12-month period.
- Funding for the position must be accomplished with no further increases in tonnage taxes beyond those proposed in green sheet 8-1-A and C.B. 117029.

The report should include the method of adding the SDOT position (transfer of a filled SPU position, transfer of a vacant SPU position, etc), the recommended position to be transferred, how the position will be integrated into SDOT's work processes to best eradicate and prevent graffiti on pay stations, refined cost estimates for the position, and an agreement between SPU and SDOT on billing and payment practices. If costs of the SDOT position are not entirely covered by the level of tonnage tax payment in the above-listed Council goals, the report should describe how SPU and SDOT will cover the excess costs.

It is anticipated that an ordinance to authorize the position and funding changes will be proposed in early 2011 based on the report's recommendations.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods

Date Due to Council: March 1, 2011

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Rescinded

Tab	Action	Option	Version
9	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Reduce SPU water expenditures in the proposed 2011-2012 budget and amend the proposed 2011-2016 CIP to control costs and reduce impacts on future rates; and reduce GSF revenues to reflect lower tax revenues from lower rates.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	(\$30,000)
General Subfund Expenditures	\$0	\$0
Net Balance Effect	\$0	(\$30,000)
Other Funds		
Water Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	(\$75,000)	(\$4,758,000)
Net Balance Effect	\$75,000	\$4,758,000
Total Other Funds	\$75,000	\$4,758,000
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$75,000	\$4,728,000

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would reduce Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) proposed 2011-2012 Water Fund (WF) expenditures and amend the proposed 2011-2016 capital improvement program (CIP) to help control costs and limit future water rate increases.

Retail water rates for 2011, adopted through previous Council action, will increase 3.5% compared to 2010. Although a water rate study for 2012 and beyond is not expected until next year, a 2012 retail rate increase of 11.9% is projected. Revenue shortfalls from reduced demand and compliance with financial policies (debt service coverage in particular) appear to be primary drivers of a 2012

* CIP Amendment

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
9	1	A	1

increase. Proposed 2011-2012 expenditures also play a role. Responding to financial constraints, the proposed 2012 WF budget is \$14.6 million lower than the adopted 2010 budget.

To further reduce costs and future rate increases, this green sheet would reduce labor costs due to expected work force efficiencies from actions such as shift changes.

Because a preferred project alternative and costs have not been finalized, this green sheet also would revise SPU's proposed 2011-2016 CIP for the Morse Lake Pump Plant project (C1508) as follows:

- Change the line for "Revenue Sources" labeled "Water Rates" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly;
- Change the line for "Fund Appropriations/Allocations" labeled "Water Fund" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly; and
- Change the line for "Spending Plan" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly.

This green sheet also reduces SPU utility tax expenditures and utility taxes received by the General Subfund to reflect the slightly lower 2012 rates needed to support the reduced expenditures.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
9	1	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Reduce SPU water expenditures in the proposed 2011-2012 budget and amend the proposed 2011-2016 CIP to control costs and reduce impacts on future rates; and reduce GSF revenues to reflect lower tax revenues from lower rates.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Reduce labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-WU	43000	2011		(\$75,000)
2	Reduce labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-WU	43000	2012		(\$150,000)
3	Reduce Morse Lake Pump Plant project (C1508) spending				SPU	Water Resources	C150B	43000	2012		(\$4,578,000)
4	Decrease use of working capital to reflect lower spending				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	43000	2011	(\$75,000)	
5	Decrease use of working capital to reflect lower spending				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	43000	2012	(\$4,728,000)	
6	Reduce tax expenditures to reflect lower rates to support reduced spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-WU	43000	2012		(\$30,000)
7	Reduce GSF revenues from lower rates and spending				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - City Water (100%)	516420	00100	2012	(\$30,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
9	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Reduce SPU water expenditures in the proposed 2011-2012 budget and amend the proposed 2011-2016 CIP to control costs and reduce impacts on future rates; reduce GSF revenues to reflect lower tax revenues from lower rates; and rescind green sheet 9-1-A-1.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/22/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	(\$30,000)
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$0	(\$30,000)
Other Funds		
Water Fund		
Revenues	\$0	\$0
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>(\$75,000)</u>	<u>(\$4,758,000)</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$75,000	\$4,758,000
Total Other Funds	\$75,000	\$4,758,000
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$75,000	\$4,728,000

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would reduce Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) proposed 2011-2012 Water Fund (WF) expenditures and amend the proposed 2011-2016 capital improvement program (CIP) to help control costs and limit future water rate increases. This green sheet also would rescind green sheet 9-1-A-1 and make corrections in SPU's 2012 use of working capital.

Retail water rates for 2011, adopted through previous Council action, will increase 3.5% compared to 2010. Although a water rate study for 2012 and beyond is not expected until next year, a 2012 retail rate increase of 11.9% is projected. Revenue shortfalls from reduced demand and compliance with financial policies (debt service coverage in particular) appear to be primary drivers of a 2012

* CIP Amendment * Note: This action rescinds 9-1-A-1

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
9	1	A	2

increase. Proposed 2011-2012 expenditures also play a role. Responding to financial constraints, the proposed 2012 WF budget is \$14.6 million lower than the adopted 2010 budget.

To further reduce costs and future rate increases, this green sheet would reduce labor costs due to expected work force efficiencies from actions such as shift changes.

Because a preferred project alternative and costs have not been finalized, this green sheet also would revise SPU's proposed 2011-2016 CIP for the Morse Lake Pump Plant project (C1508) as follows:

- Change the line for "Revenue Sources" labeled "Water Rates" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly;
- Change the line for "Fund Appropriations/Allocations" labeled "Water Fund" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly; and
- Change the line for "Spending Plan" to \$0 in each year from 2012 through 2016, and revise totals accordingly.

This green sheet also reduces SPU utility tax expenditures and utility taxes received by the General Subfund to reflect the slightly lower 2012 rates needed to support the reduced expenditures.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
9	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Reduce SPU water expenditures in the proposed 2011-2012 budget and amend the proposed 2011-2016 CIP to control costs and reduce impacts on future rates; reduce GSF revenues to reflect lower tax revenues from lower rates; and rescind green sheet 9-1-A-1.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Reduce labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-WU	43000	2011		(\$75,000)
2	Reduce labor costs to reflect work force efficiencies				SPU	Other Operating	N400B-WU	43000	2012		(\$150,000)
3	Reduce Morse Lake Pump Plant project (C1508) spending				SPU	Water Resources	C150B	43000	2012		(\$4,578,000)
4	Decrease use of working capital to reflect lower spending				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	43000	2011	(\$75,000)	
5	Decrease use of working capital to reflect lower spending				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	43000	2012	(\$4,758,000)	
6	Reduce tax expenditures to reflect lower rates to support reduced spending				SPU	General Expense	N000B-WU	43000	2012		(\$30,000)
7	Reduce GSF revenues from lower rates and spending				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - City Water (100%)	516420	00100	2012	(\$30,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
10	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 117026 - Wastewater treatment rate pass-through ordinance, and revise the proposed SPU 2011-2012 budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased wastewater tax revenues.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 117026, gray tab 41

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$126,000	\$444,000
<u>General Subfund Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$126,000	\$444,000
Other Funds		
Drainage and Wastewater Fund		
Revenues	\$19,682,807	\$19,863,807
<u>Expenditures</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Net Balance Effect	\$19,682,807	\$19,863,807
Total Other Funds	\$19,682,807	\$19,863,807
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$19,808,807	\$20,307,807

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would amend and recommend passage as amended of C.B. 117026 (tab 41 in the gray budget legislation notebooks and attached in mark-up format), the wastewater treatment rate pass-through ordinance.

This green sheet also would revise the proposed Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) 2011-2012 budget to reflect the increased drainage and wastewater rate revenue from the treatment rate increase. Spending authority for King County treatment payments already is incorporated in the proposed budget.

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
10	1	A	2

This green sheet also would increase General Subfund (GSF) wastewater tax revenues to reflect added tax revenue from the treatment rate increase. Spending authority for increased tax payments already is incorporated in the proposed budget.

Effective January 1, 2011, King County wastewater treatment rates increase from \$31.90 per residential customer equivalent per month to \$36.10. Consistent with SMC 21.28.040, this legislation "passes through" the new County rates by amending the Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods (SPUN) Committee-revised drainage and wastewater rates in C.B. 116926 (green sheet 5-1-A) and C.B. 116928 (green sheet 6-1-A). Because C.B. 117026 amends rates established by those Council Bills, C.B. 117026 is proposed to be amended so that its effective date is one day after the effective dates of C.B. 116926 and C.B. 116928.

As a result of this legislation, the typical monthly single-family bill would increase \$4.84 for wastewater and \$0.15 for drainage compared to the rates in C.B. 116926 and C.B. 116928.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
10	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Amend and pass as amended C.B. 117026 - Wastewater treatment rate pass-through ordinance, and revise the proposed SPU 2011-2012 budget accordingly; and increase GSF revenues to reflect increased wastewater tax revenues.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Increase wastewater rate revenue to reflect increased treatment rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2011	\$19,139,400	
2	Increase wastewater rate revenue to reflect increased treatment rates				SPU	Wastewater Utility Services	443510	44010	2012	\$19,176,000	
3	Increase drainage rate revenue to reflect increased treatment rate				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2011	\$543,407	
4	Increase drainage rate revenue to reflect increased treatment rate				SPU	Drainage Utility Services	443610	44010	2012	\$687,807	
5	Decrease use of working capital to offset increased treatment rate revenue				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2011	(\$19,682,807)	
6	Decrease use of working capital to offset increased treatment rate revenue				SPU	Decrease (Increase) in Working Capital	379100	44010	2012	(\$19,863,807)	
7	Increase GSF wastewater tax revenue				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2011	\$126,000	
8	Increase GSF wastewater tax revenue				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - Drainage/Waste Water (100%)	516440	00100	2012	\$444,000	

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
11	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: HSD utility low income enrollment and outreach

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that by May 1, 2011 the Human Services Department (HSD) submit a report that recommends how enrollment of low income customers can be significantly increased without increasing City staffing and funding. The report should be developed in coordination with Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) and Seattle City Light (SCL) and include the following elements:

1. Awareness. Identify a strategy for HSD to work in coordination with SPU and SCL to further increase low income customers' awareness of utility low income rate and assistance programs. The strategy should consider outreach through City and community websites, media campaigns, bill inserts and brochures, and partnering with community organizations.

2. Ease of enrollment and re-enrollment. Recommend an approach for significantly increasing the number of new enrollees and reducing attrition of eligible customers. The approach should consider assigning City staff to work in neighborhoods to proactively identify eligible customers and enroll them, contracting with community organizations to help enroll new customers, streamlining the application process for re-enrolling customers, and having enrollment staff who speak multiple languages.

3. Efficiency of enrollment and re-enrollment process. Evaluate business processes used for enrollment and re-enrollment and recommend changes that will reduce the number of City staff hours needed to enroll a customer without reducing the quality of customer service. The hours freed up by the recommended changes should allow staff to accommodate increased applications generated through increased outreach.

4. Performance measures. Provide input to SPU and SCL as they develop performance measures to supplement those in the Utility Assistance Program Memorandum of Agreement, beginning with a goal of significantly increasing enrollment by 2013. Performance measures could address the number of customers reached through various information and outreach efforts, the number of customers enrolled during their first contact with City enrollment staff, a target reduction in

hours spent by City staff for each enrollment or re-enrollment, and a target reduction in attrition of customers who remain eligible but must re-enroll.

It is anticipated that report recommendations will be the basis for a test period in 2011 during which new practices will be applied and results monitored. Results of the test period may be used to reallocate staff and resources toward the most effective enrollment and outreach activities as part of the Council's 2012 budget approval process.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods

Date Due to Council: May 1, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
12	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: SPU work force efficiency and performance

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; O'Brien

Staff Analyst: Meg Moorehead

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that by May 1, 2011 Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) submit a report that includes management recommendations for improving work force efficiency and performance. Consistent with the recent contracts approved by 17 of the 19 unions in the Coalition of City Unions, Council intends that this information will be fed into ongoing discussions with these labor partners on how to enhance the efficiency of City service provision. Council is interested both in recommendations that can be implemented within current collective bargaining agreements and those that would require discussion as part of future contract negotiations. The report should include the following elements:

1. Multi-skill job classifications. Evaluate job classifications to identify whether unnecessarily narrow job duties contribute to work inefficiencies or higher costs from out-of-class and overtime pay. Where efficiencies or lower cost can be achieved, identify approaches for broadening job duties and/or reducing the number of job classifications. Include an approach that puts positions with similar job duties into a classification with broader job duties and a broader pay band, in which a worker can move up the pay band as their job skills and duties expand.
2. Shifts, work hours, and peak work loads.
 - A. Evaluate seasonal, daily and time-of-day work loads and staff availability during low and high work load periods. Identify any work tasks for which early morning, evening, nighttime, or weekend shifts would make more efficient use of staff and reduce overtime costs. Recommend a strategy and timeline for implementing any shift changes justified by the evaluation.
 - B. Evaluate the efficiency of an 8-hour work day, 10-hour work day or other flexible work schedules for various job classifications. Identify job classifications for which certain work schedules enhance or detract from work performance on a seasonal or year-round basis and recommend preferred work hour schedules for those classifications.

C. Identify whether seasonal, work day, or time-of-day peak work loads could be more cost-effectively addressed through the use of seasonal, temporary or contracted labor.

3. Performance Benchmarks. Identify utility industry (or other relevant) performance benchmarks for work processes performed by SPU. Evaluate how SPU currently meets (or does not meet) the benchmarks and recommend any benchmark adjustments needed to address City-specific circumstances. Recommend how the benchmarks might be used to set worker or work group performance expectations and serve as the basis for worker advancement or discipline.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Seattle Public Utilities and Neighborhoods

Date Due to Council: May 1, 2011

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
14	1	A	3

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's rate revenue in 2011 by \$11.7 million to reflect lifting the surcharge; reduce tax payments to the GSF by \$702,000 and GSF appropriation for streetlights by \$272,000 accordingly

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	(\$702,000)	\$0
General Subfund Expenditures	(\$272,000)	\$0
Net Balance Effect	(\$430,000)	\$0
Other Funds		
City Light Fund		
Revenues	(\$11,700,000)	\$0
Expenditures	(\$702,000)	\$0
Net Balance Effect	(\$10,998,000)	\$0
Total Other Funds	(\$10,998,000)	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	(\$11,428,000)	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would reduce City Light's retail revenue by \$11.7 million in 2011 reflecting lifting the 4.5% current rate surcharge on January 1, 2011, instead of August 1, 2011 as originally assumed. It reduces utility tax payments to the GSF by \$702,000, reflecting City Light's lower retail revenue, and reduces the GSF appropriation for streetlights by \$272,000, reflecting lower electricity rates.

The net effect of this action will be to reduce the GSF by \$430,000 in 2011.

The rate surcharge was put in place in May, 2010 to help fund the utility's newly created Rate Stabilization Account. The surcharge is to be lifted once the Account reaches a balance of \$100

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
14	1	A	3

million. The 2011-2012 Proposed Budget assumes the surcharge would have remained in place until mid-2011. However, City Light now believes that by diverting some 2010 operating cash to the Account and realigning its 2011 cash flows, it will be able to get the Account to the required \$100 million by January 1, 2011. Once the target amount is reached, City Light will lift the surcharge.

It should be noted that diverting operating cash to the Account in 2010 will cause City Light's debt service coverage ratio to drop from the target of 1.8 set by the Council, to 1.7. The rating agencies and bonds markets may view this negatively when City Light next attempts a bond sale—likely early 2011.

Although current financial conditions allow City Light to lift the surcharge by January 1, receipts of net wholesale revenue in 2011, relative to the amount assumed in the budget, will determine whether it will be re-imposed, and if so, at what level.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
14	1	A	3

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's rate revenue in 2011 by \$11.7 million to reflect lifting the surcharge; reduce tax payments to the GSF by \$702,000 and GSF appropriation for streetlights by \$272,000 accordingly

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Reduce retail revenue from lifting 4.5% surcharge				SCL	Energy Sales to Customers	443310	41000	2011	(\$11,700,000)	
2	Reduce appropriation for tax payments				SCL	Taxes	SCL820	41000	2011		(\$702,000)
3	Increase transfers from Light Fund to balance				SCL	Transfers from Construction Fund	379100	41000	2011	\$10,998,000	
4	Reduce GSF revenue reflecting lower City Light tax payments				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - City Light (100%)	516410	00100	2011	(\$702,000)	
5	Reduce appropriation for streetlights reflecting lower City Light rates				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2011		(\$272,000)

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
15	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Pass C.B. 117011 authorizing City Light to issue up to \$210 million in bonds in 2011 to support its capital program

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 117011, tab 43 in the gray notebooks

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would pass C.B. 117011 authorizing City Light to issue up to \$210 million in revenue bonds in 2011 to support its capital program. City Light plans to issue the bonds in February. The proposed sale has been approved by the City's Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee.

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
16	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's 2011 appropriation for purchased power by \$8.2 million and reduce its revenue from the sale of surplus power for 2011 by \$8.2 million.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; Licata

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Council Bill or Resolution:

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	\$0
General Subfund Expenditures	\$0	\$0
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Other Funds		
City Light Fund		
Revenues	(\$8,200,000)	\$0
Expenditures	(\$8,200,000)	\$0
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$0
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$0
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	\$0

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would reduce both City Light's 2011 appropriation for purchasing power and its revenue from the sale of surplus power by \$8.2 million.

This is an adjustment to City Light's revenues and expense in 2011 arising from the utility's post-budget submittal decision not to exercise its right to take power from the Priest Rapids project. It maintains City Light's budget balance and does not affect tax payments to the GSF or the proposed rates in 2011.

<i>Tab</i>	<i>Action</i>	<i>Option</i>	<i>Version</i>
16	1	A	2

The Council intends that the reduction in budgeted wholesale revenue in 2011 in this green sheet result in an equivalent reduction in the amount of net wholesale revenue for the purpose of calculating withdrawals from, or deposits to the Rate Stabilization Account.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
16	1	A	2

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's 2011 appropriation for purchased power by \$8.2 million and reduce its revenue from the sale of surplus power for 2011 by \$8.2 million.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Reduce City Light's appropriation for purchased power.				SCL	Purchased Power	SCL700	41000	2011		(\$8,200,000)
2	Reduce City Light's revenue from surplus energy sales				SCL	Sales from Priest Rapids	443310	41000	2011	(\$8,200,000)	

2011 - 2012 Seattle City Council Green Sheet

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's appropriation for 2011 by approximately \$5.5 million and for 2012 by \$7.2 million; Amend C.B. 117010 - the City Light rate ordinance - to lower the 2012 rate increase from 4.2% to 3.2%, and pass as amended.

Councilmembers: Budget Committee

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Council Bill or Resolution: C.B. 117010, tab 42 in the gray notebook

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/12/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Summary of Dollar Effect

See the following pages for detailed technical information

	2011 Increase (Decrease)	2012 Increase (Decrease)
General Subfund		
General Subfund Revenues	\$0	(\$418,200)
General Subfund Expenditures	\$0	(\$124,000)
Net Balance Effect	\$0	(\$294,200)
Other Funds		
City Light Fund		
Revenues	(\$5,476,303)	(\$6,970,000)
Expenditures	(\$5,476,303)	(\$7,194,503)
Net Balance Effect	\$0	\$224,503
Total Other Funds	\$0	\$224,503
Total Budget Balance Effect	\$0	(\$69,697)

Budget Action description:

This green sheet would reduce City Light appropriations for 2011 by approximately \$5.5 million and use the savings to reduce budgeted wholesale revenue for 2011. It would reduce appropriations for 2012 by approximately \$7.2 million, using the savings in that case to reduce the proposed rate increase for 2012 from 4.2% to 3.2%. Finally, it would amend C.B. 117010, the City Light rate ordinance (markup attached) and pass it as amended.

2011 actions:

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

1. Abrogate 14 vacant positions, saving approximately \$1.5 million in budgeted salaries and benefits. Most of these positions have been vacant since 2008;
2. Reduce appropriation for distribution right-of-way vegetation management by \$1 million;
3. Reduce power cost by \$1.7 million to reflect reduced I-937 compliance costs;
4. Reduce generation facility maintenance by \$1.3 million; and
5. Use the savings to reduce the budgeted wholesale revenue by approximately \$5.5 million.

The last item deserves some comment as the usual use of cost savings is to reduce rates in the year in which they occur. Based on City Light's current estimate, budgeted wholesale revenue in 2011 is about \$30 million higher than the utility expects to receive given the continued weakness in world energy prices. If wholesale revenue comes in below the budgeted amount, City Light will withdraw the shortfall from the Rate Stabilization Account (RSA). Those withdrawals, if they are large enough, will trigger a reinstatement of the surcharge at some level. Using the savings to lower the budgeted wholesale revenue reduces the likelihood of a surcharge in 2011.

The Council intends that the reduction in budgeted wholesale revenue in 2011 in this green sheet result in an equivalent reduction in the amount of net wholesale revenue for the purpose of calculating withdrawals from, or deposits to the Rate Stabilization Account.

2012 actions:

6. Recognize the continued savings from abrogating the 14 vacant positions in 2011 (\$1.5 million);
7. Reduce appropriation for distribution right-of-way vegetation management by \$1 million;
8. Reduce power costs by \$3.3 million to reflect reduced I-937 compliance costs;
9. Reduce the allowance for inflation by \$500,000 to reflect a lower projection of inflation;
10. Reduce City Light's overall budget by \$500,000 to encourage the utility to find workplace efficiencies. SLI 21-1-A requests that City Light propose a plan to accomplish these savings without reducing service levels;
11. Use the savings of approximately \$7.2 million to reduce the rate increase in 2012 from 4.2% to 3.2%; and
12. Reduce GSF utility tax revenue to reflect lower retail revenue, and reduce GSF expense for streetlights to reflect the lower electricity rates. The net impact of these two adjustments is to reduce the GSF by approximately \$296,000.

The action of this green sheet is to use the savings in 2012 to lower the 2012 rate increase rather than to reduce the 2012 budgeted wholesale revenue. The rationale is that we will have a better sense of the disparity between the budgeted number and the estimate for 2012 during next year's budget review, and the appropriate action to take, if any.

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

Budget Action Transactions

Budget Action Title: Reduce City Light's appropriation for 2011 by approximately \$5.5 million and for 2012 by \$7.2 million; Amend C.B. 117010 - the City Light rate ordinance - to lower the 2012 rate increase from 4.2% to 3.2%, and pass as amended.

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
1	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Carpenter CC - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$65,847)
2	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Carpenter CC - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$65,847)
3	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Elctn-Con - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$77,974)
4	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Elctn-Con - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$77,974)
5	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Prot&Cntrl Elctn II - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$92,006)
6	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Prot&Cntrl Elctn II - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$92,006)
7	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Truck Drvr,Heavy - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$54,943)
8	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Truck Drvr,Heavy - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$54,943)
9	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Store Clerk - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$30,037)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
10	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Store Clerk - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$30,037)
11	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Civil Engr, Assoc - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$77,413)
12	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Civil Engr, Assoc - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$77,413)
13	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Eled Svc Engr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services	SCL320	41000	2011		(\$85,732)
14	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Eled Svc Engr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services	SCL320	41000	2012		(\$85,732)
15	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Lnwkr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services and Energy Delivery - CIP	SCL350	41000	2011		(\$77,974)
16	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Lnwkr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services and Energy Delivery - CIP	SCL350	41000	2012		(\$77,974)
17	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Cbispl-Net Area - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services and Energy Delivery - CIP	SCL350	41000	2011		(\$77,974)
18	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Cbispl-Net Area - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Customer Services and Energy Delivery - CIP	SCL350	41000	2012		(\$77,974)
19	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Civil Engr, Sr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$96,035)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
20	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Civil Engr,Sr - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$96,035)
21	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Admin Spec II-BU - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Human Resources	SCL400	41000	2011		(\$46,123)
22	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Admin Spec II-BU - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Human Resources	SCL400	41000	2012		(\$46,123)
23	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Info Technol Prof A,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Financial Services - O&M	SCL500	41000	2011		(\$115,358)
24	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation accordingly	Info Technol Prof A,Exempt - FT	-1	-1	SCL	Financial Services - O&M	SCL500	41000	2012		(\$115,358)
25	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation	Info Technol Prof B-BU - FT	-2	-2	SCL	Financial Services - O&M	SCL500	41000	2011		(\$201,770)
26	Cut vacant position and reduce appropriation	Info Technol Prof B-BU - FT	-2	-2	SCL	Financial Services - O&M	SCL500	41000	2012		(\$201,770)
27	Reduce appropriation for labor overhead related to cuts				SCL	General Expenses	SCL800	41000	2011		(\$377,117)

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
28	Reduce appropriation for labor overhead related to cuts				SCL	General Expenses	SCL800	41000	2012		(\$377,117)
29	Reduce appropriation for veg. mgmt				SCL	Distribution Services	SCL310	41000	2011		(\$1,000,000)
30	Reduce appropriation for veg. mgmt				SCL	Distribution Services	SCL310	41000	2012		(\$1,000,000)
31	Reduce power costs for assumed savings in I-937 compliance				SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$1,700,000)
32	Reduce power costs for assumed savings in I-937 compliance				SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2012		(\$3,300,000)
33	Reduce appropriation for Gen. maintenance				SCL	Power Supply O&M	SCL210	41000	2011		(\$1,300,000)
34	Reduce budgeted wholesale revenue				SCL	Surplus Energy Sales	443345	41000	2011	(\$5,476,303)	
35	Reduce appropriation for inflation				SCL	General Expenses	SCL800	41000	2012		(\$500,000)
36	Reduce labor cost appropriation to encourage efficiency				SCL	General Expenses	SCL800	41000	2012		(\$500,000)
37	Reduce retail revenue to balance reductions in appropriations				SCL	Energy Sales to Customers	443310	41000	2012	(\$6,970,000)	
38	Reduce tax payments to GSF				SCL	Taxes	SCL820	41000	2012		(\$418,200)
39	Increase fund balance for lower expenditures				SCL	Transfers from Construction Fund	379100	41000	2012	(\$224,503)	
40	Reduce GSF receipts from City Light				GSF	Utilities Business Tax - City Light (100%)	516410	00100	2012	(\$418,200)	

Tab	Action	Option	Version
17	2	A	1

#	Transaction Description	Position Title	Number of Positions	FTE	Dept	BCL or Revenue Source	Summit Code	Fund	Year	Revenue Amount	Expenditure Amount
41	Reduce GSF appropriation for streetlights - lower rates				FG	Reserves	2QD00	00100	2012		(\$124,000)

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
18	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Requesting that City Light provide a detailed explanation of various benchmarking studies

Councilmembers: Bagshaw; Burgess; Harrell

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

City Light has participated in a number of benchmark studies over the last several year that compare its costs and performance in various areas with those of other utilities that have participated in the studies.

While some of the benchmarks show the utility in a favorable light, notably in reliability and power quality, some do not. For example, the comparisons below, from the 2008 Transmission & Distribution Benchmarking Community Study, raise questions about City Light's costs:

	Expense per Customer	Expense per Circuit Mile	Expense per MWh of Load	Employees per 100,000 Customers
Avg excluding City Light	\$75.33	\$2,822	\$2.48	91.4
City Light	\$103.00	\$13,974	\$4.06	225

The Council would like to understand why City Light's performance in these areas falls short of the average of the other utilities involved in the study, and asks City Light to provide a comprehensive analysis of various benchmarking studies, including specific explanations for each of the differences noted in the table above.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Energy Technology and Civil Rights

Date Due to Council: March 31, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
19	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Requesting that City Light develop a plan to establish an objective, independent approach for conducting on-going measurement and verification of the utility's conservation savings.

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; Licata

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

An important part of City Light's 2008 Five-year Conservation Plan that the Council endorsed was a Measurement and Verification (M&V) function to provide on-going evaluation of the conservation program. This step is important to evaluating the long-term success of the program, and provides valuable information to policy makers in their role oversight role.

Unfortunately, because of significant shortfalls in net wholesale revenues in both 2009 and 2010, some aspects of the overall Conservation Plan were not fully implemented. One of those was the M&V function.

The Council requests that the utility propose a plan for establishing the function in 2011. The emphasis should be on independence to ensure the credibility of the analysis and reporting.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Energy Technology and Civil Rights

Date Due to Council: March 31, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
20	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Evaluate options for minimizing burden of City Light bills on extremely low-income customers

Councilmembers: Burgess; Licata; O'Brien; Rasmussen

Staff Analyst: Sahar Fathi

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

Background: Most renters in Seattle Housing Authority (SHA) and Section 8 housing pay standard utility rates because the SHA or Section 8 housing provides an allowance that covers renters' utility bills and because the SHA is reimbursed for utility subsidies from the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Previous Central staff analysis identified a small number of City Light customers living in subsidized SHA housing for whom City Light bills can still be a significant burden. For most residents, rent and utility costs are capped together to be no more than 30% of their income and thus there is no need to provide subsidized electricity rates. However, a small number of extremely low income residents have net qualified incomes of less than \$50 per month who pay SHA's minimum rent of \$50 per month and are also responsible for paying their City Light bills. For these customers the usual SHA mechanisms of providing rent discounts to offset electricity bills does not work.

The Council requests that City Light review this earlier staff analysis, and if the results are confirmed, develop specific recommendations for how best to relieve the burden put on these customers, including perhaps a new rate class for protecting particularly vulnerable low-income customers.

The recommendations should address the following issues:

1. Cost to other ratepayers: The report should identify what the cost would be to other customers of providing various levels of support to this group of rate payers.
2. Threshold for enrollment: In addition to possibly proposing a new rate class for extremely-low income customers, the recommendations should identify which customers would be best served by being included in this class. The recommendations should identify the threshold for admissibility to this rate class and take into consideration the burden to ratepayers of implementation and outreach. It should also identify potential incentives to assist people in conserving energy to lower their rates and eventually exit the rate classification.

3. Other options: The City Council welcomes other options that Seattle City Light might propose in addition to the creation of a specialized rate class. In doing so, it would be important to identify the challenges with Section 8 vouchers and Seattle Housing Authority's regulations interacting with the current emergency low income assistance program.

The recommendations will be the basis for a possible change in the Seattle Municipal Code and results of new practices will need to be monitored. Results of the change in legislation may require additional outreach to the ratepayers in question and resources may need to be reallocated toward outreach activities as part of the Council's 2012 budget.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Energy Technology and Civil Rights

Date Due to Council: June 1, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
21	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: Requesting that City Light present a plan to improve workforce efficiency and performance

Councilmembers: Conlin; Harrell; Licata

Staff Analyst: Tony Kilduff

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that City Light submit a report that includes management recommendations for improving work force efficiency and performance improvements. Consistent with the recent contracts approved by 17 of the 19 unions in the Coalition of City Unions, the Council intends that this information will be fed into ongoing discussions with these labor partners on how to enhance the efficiency of City service provision. The Council is interested both in recommendations that can be implemented within current collective bargaining agreements and those that would require discussion as part of future contract negotiations. The report should include the following elements:

1. Multi-skill job classifications. Evaluate job classifications to identify whether unnecessarily narrow job duties contribute to work inefficiencies or higher costs from out-of-class and overtime pay. Where efficiencies or lower cost can be achieved, identify approaches for broadening job duties and/or reducing the number of job classifications. Include an approach that puts positions with similar job duties into a classification with broader job duties and a broader pay band, in which a worker can move up the pay band as his or her job skills and duties expand.
2. Shifts, work hours, and peak workload management.
 - A. Evaluate seasonal, daily and time-of-day workloads and staff availability during low and high work load periods. Identify any work tasks for which early morning, evening, nighttime, or weekend shifts would make more efficient use of staff and reduce overtime costs. Recommend a strategy and timeline for implementing any shift changes justified by the evaluation.
 - B. Evaluate the efficiency of an 8-hour work day, 10-hour work day or other flexible work schedules for various job classifications. Identify job classifications for which certain work schedules enhance or detract from work performance on a seasonal or year-round basis and recommend preferred work hour schedules for those classifications.

C. Identify whether seasonal, work-day, or time-of-day peak workloads could be more cost-effectively addressed through the use of seasonal, temporary or contracted labor.

3. Performance Benchmarks. Identify utility industry (or other relevant) performance benchmarks for work processes performed by City Light. Evaluate how City Light currently meets (or does not meet) the benchmarks and recommend any benchmark adjustments needed to address City-specific circumstances. Recommend how the benchmarks might be used to set worker or work-group performance expectations and serve as the basis for worker advancement or discipline.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Energy Technology and Civil Rights

Date Due to Council: August 1, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
23	1	A	2

Budget Action Title: OED-Increasing Small Business Access to New Markets

Councilmembers: Bagshaw; Conlin; Rasmussen

Staff Analyst: Phyllis Shulman

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 9-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

Budget Action - Statement of Legislative Intent

Tab Information: 23-1-A-2

Title: OED-Increasing Small Business Access to New Markets

Approval Status: Not Moved

Publication Status: Review Draft

Budget Action Description

Background:

One of the challenges for small business retention and expansion is the capability to do market research that assists businesses in accessing new markets. Services exist for large companies, but small companies cannot generally access or afford these services. Currently, OED's work in this area is limited to connecting companies to opportunities within the City of Seattle and informal match-making, but does not include providing GIS data, customer segmentation information, and other market research that large companies depend on to identify new markets.

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that by June 30, 2011 the Office of Economic Development develop options for providing market research support to small businesses (those with 10-100 employees and revenues between \$1 million and \$50 million annually) within OED's targeted sectors that include Manufacturing and Maritime, Healthcare and Life Sciences, Content Technology, Energy Efficiency, and Retail, Food and Hospitality. Options considered should include those services that are currently in existence, for example the existing service offered by Western Washington University's Business Competitiveness Center, as well as identifying new options, for example the option of establishing a "corporate librarian" as part of the Seattle Public Library system. OED is requested to identify the options, identify the costs for each option, and provide recommendations on implementation in writing to the Committee.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Regional Development & Sustainability

Date Due to Council: June 30, 2011

2011 - 2012 Statement of Legislative Intent

Approved

Tab	Action	Option	Version
24	1	A	1

Budget Action Title: OED-Citywide Business Advocacy Team

Councilmembers: Bagshaw; Conlin; Rasmussen

Staff Analyst: Phyllis Shulman

Budget Committee Vote:

Date	Result	SB	BH	SC	TR	JG	NL	RC	TB	MO
11/10/2010	Pass 8- 1-Absent	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	Y

Statement of Legislative Intent:

The Council requests that the Citywide Business Advocacy Team be formally established as an on-going interdepartmental team (IDT). The Council requests that the Office of Economic Development (OED) convene the Advocacy Team and that key personnel be designated to participate on the Advocacy Team from OED, Seattle Public Utilities, Seattle Department of Transportation, Seattle City Light, the Seattle Fire Department, Department of Planning and Development, and Finance and Administrative Services.

The mission of the team would be to:

- provide business assistance and case management for businesses that need assistance in working through specific issues related to one or more city departments;
- identify systemic and/or recurring issues, barriers that unintentionally impact specific industries (e.g., biotech, farmers markets, street vendors, etc.) and regulatory challenges;
- provide input to departments on opportunities for continuous process improvements;
- recommend to the Council and the Executive policy modifications and process improvements.

The emphasis of the Citywide Business Advocacy Team would be on small and medium businesses, but would include business assistance and case management for large businesses when needed.

Beginning July 15, 2011, OED is requested to provide an annual briefing and a report in writing to committee on the issues and challenges identified by the Citywide Business Advocacy Team, and recommendations for policy modifications and process improvements that improve the City's responsiveness to businesses.

Responsible Council Committee(s): Regional Development & Sustainability

Date Due to Council: Annually starting, July 15, 2011