

RESOLUTION No.

30530

Law Department

A RESOLUTION STATING Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

Author: SEP 11 2002	By: STEINBRUECK
Revised: SEP 13 2002	To: Parks, Education & Libraries Committee
Reported:	By:
10-7-02	
10-7-02	10-7-02
10-16-02	FJH 9

SHSAD AB YBP 17704

W *(F)*
System down

Adopt As Amended

2-0 PS, NL

10-07-02 Adopted As Amended

Law Department

STEINBRUECK
Parks, Education & Libraries Committee

10-7-02

9

Adopt As Amended

2-0 PS, NL

10-07-02 Adopted As Amended 9-0

SHSAD AB YBP 17704

W *(F)*
System down

RESOLUTION 30530

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic field capacity but it has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and

1
2 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
3 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
4 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
5 adequately mitigated; and
6

7 WHEREAS, the City Council supports scheduling games to end at 10 p.m. at certain lighted
8 athletic fields located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening
9 playing hours at some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be
10 increasing with the installation of certain field improvements; and
11

12 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
13 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
14 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
15 existing and future time slots allocated to adult players; and
16

17 WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the
18 fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and
19

20 WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, Parks
21 should study any potential impacts of lights on fields situated near critical habitat
22 areas before proceeding with lighting these fields, avoid creating habitat areas where
23 they might be impacted by field lights, and mitigate any impacts to the extent
24 practicable; and
25

26 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
27 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
28 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and
29

30 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
31 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
32 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
33 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
34 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
35 Plan; and
36

37 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
38 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
39 for City fields; and
40

41 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
42 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields and that reflect the
43 various costs of different field types and lights; and
44

45 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
46 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
47 existing facilities within the City; and
48

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in the following manner:

- A. Replace old lighting systems where light and glare impacts adjacent residential properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per budget biennium. If Parks believes that a lighting replacement project that addresses player safety is a higher priority than lighting replacement projects that address spill and glare, it may propose funding for these projects and explain its rationale during that time.
- B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to adjacent residences relative to other sites and install improvements at these sites first. Improvements at these sites should then be installed in the following order:
 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.
 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a report during each budget biennium to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league

- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after the adoption of this resolution, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field if security lighting is not available. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, Loyal Heights, Judkins, and Soundview playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

During the 1st quarter of 2003, Parks shall submit a report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sport groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is geographically based vs. based on some other affiliation.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields. Parks should also consider the costs associated with different field types when setting user fees.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions


Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted public workshops for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will describe the proposed improvements, the increase in hours of use and traffic that are

1 anticipated and various options to mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent
2 practicable.

3
4 Section 7. Underutilized Fields

5
6 Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as
7 Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in
8 the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at
9 underutilized fields within the City.

10
11
12 Adopted by the City Council the 7th day of October, 2002, and signed by
13 me in open session in authentication of its adoption this 7th day of October, 2002.


14
15 

16 President of the City Council

17 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

18
19
20 _____
21 Mayor

22 Filed by me this 16th day of October, 2002.

23
24 

25 City Clerk

26 (Seal)

Fiscal Note: 2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP)

Department: Parks and Recreation	Contact Person/Phone: Alix Ogden, 684-7046	DOF Analyst/Phone: Marilynne Gardner, 233-5109
--	--	--

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION relating to the Department of Parks and Recreation, endorsing the 2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP) identifying priority athletic field improvements on City and School District property.

Summary of the Legislation:

This resolution endorses the 2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP), which updates the 1997 JAFDP, a plan that was developed in order to guide investments in increasing the quality and capacity of athletic facilities and properties owned by the Department of Parks and Recreation and the Seattle School District. In adopting this legislation, the City Council would be endorsing an approach to developing outdoor athletic fields.

Appropriations (in \$1,000's): N/A (This resolution endorses the JAFDP plan; it does not appropriate funds to any project proposed in the program.)

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement (in \$1,000's): N/A (No revenue will result from Council adoption of this resolution.)

Total FTE Created Through Legislation or Estimated FTE Impacts (TES, etc.): N/A (No new positions will be created as a result of Council adoption of this resolution.)

Background: Evolution of the Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP) Plan

The Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) undertook the 2002 JAFDP plan update to account for the successes of the 1997 program, update the proposed project list to reflect opportunities to improve existing fields with new technologies in surfacing and lighting, and to identify the interests and concerns of neighbors adjacent to fields. The 2002 JAFDP plan takes a comprehensive approach to athletic field improvement by examining the system as a whole, making recommendations to increase the capacity of the field system, improve the quality of play, and decrease the negative impacts that some neighborhoods experience from field use. The program is structured to set policies for the development of fields, outline use trends and levels of demand, identify potential neighborhood impacts, and propose improvements to specific sites.

In the 1993 Parks COMPLAN (Resolution 28763), the City indicated its intent to develop

selected athletic fields to increase the capacity of the field system. The COMPLAN also proposed specific athletic field improvements at selected Parks and School District sites. The update of the COMPLAN, the 2000 Seattle Park and Recreation Plan (Resolution 30181), affirmed the direction of improving selected fields to create more scheduling capacity, recognized the importance of Parks' partnership with the School District in providing recreational opportunities, and updated the proposed sites for athletic field improvements.

In 1997, the City Council endorsed the original Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (Resolution 29681). The 1997 program proposed improvements at 28 Parks and School District-owned properties to increase the scheduling capacity of the athletic field system. As a result, a number of the projects were funded (in whole or in part) and implemented. The passage of the 1998 Buildings, Technology, Athletics (BTA) School Levy provided \$35.6 million in funding to develop four District-owned athletic complexes and the Wilson-Pacific site. The four complexes are complete and the District is involved in the permit process to install field lights. The School District's Building Excellence II Levy provides funding for school field improvements as does the 2000 Parks Levy (Ordinance 120024). These funded projects reflect the importance of certain development elements, particularly the installation of new field lights, in achieving a fundamental policy direction of the JAFDP, which is to increase scheduling capacity throughout the field system. Projects have been added and removed from proposals generated in the 1997 program primarily to reflect an increasing interest in lighted fields.

The Schools/Parks Joint Use Agreement was updated and renewed by DPR and the Seattle School District in 2001. Under this agreement, each agency makes its buildings and grounds available for use by the other agency on a first priority basis after the scheduling requirements for its own programs have been met. Each user maintains its joint-use spaces and equipment and cooperates in expediting repair of damage. Where possible, Seattle Parks and the School District agree to pursue opportunities to develop and improve joint-use facilities and equipment to support programming by both agencies. The Seattle School District is responsible for the development and maintenance of its facilities.

Background for Proposed Resolution :

The 2002 JAFDP plan proposes improvements to Seattle Parks and Seattle School District athletic fields. It was prepared for use as a reference document for the City and School District to use in pursuing improvements to athletic facilities over time. As the future funding for these projects is not known, there is no timeline or priorities presented in the program. This resolution does not commit the City to funding any or all of the projects proposed in the attachment beyond those projects previously approved for funding by City Council action. Future funding will depend upon decisions regarding voter-approved measures, Parks or School District major maintenance project funding, pursuit of grants from other jurisdictions, etc.

The JAFDP identifies projects that provide the best opportunities for the City and District to

work together. All projects proposed are subject to public and environmental reviews which may alter the proposed scope or funding priorities. Information on the resolution provided below includes:

- Funding and Costs of City Projects in JAFDP
- Ongoing Costs of City Projects in JAFDP (Annual Operations and Maintenance & Major Maintenance)

FUNDING AND COSTS OF CITY PROJECTS IN JAFDP

Funding Sources for City Projects in JAFDP:

The following table reflects the City's funding sources for DPR projects. The School District has funded 5 projects through the Building Technology Athletics (BTA) Levy and additional 3 projects from the Building Excellence II (BEX II) Levy. At this time, the District has allocated \$35.6 million from the BTA I Levy. The allocation for field development from the BEX II Levy has not been determined.

Funding Source (in 000s) ¹	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	Est. Total
Parks Levy ²	906	897	1,844	1,628	6,488	7,866	1,039	0	20,700
Cumulative Reserve Fund	1,700	1,200	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Other sources	100	1,150	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
TOTAL	2,706	3,247	1,844	1,628	6,488	7,866	1,039	0	TBD

¹ Figures as of June 2002

² The Parks Levy figure includes funding from the Playfields and Facilities subcategory and relevant project estimates from the Neighborhood Park Development subcategory.

Estimated Costs for City Projects in JAFDP

Committed Funding by Project:

Seattle Parks Sites	Estimated Cost	Fund Sources Identified	Comments
Georgetown Playfield	\$ 1.5 M - \$2.4 M	\$1.6 M - Parks Levy	
Genesee Playfield	\$3.2 M - \$3.5 M	\$2.2 M - Various Sources	Base project is fully funded.
Judkins Park and Playfield	\$3.9 M - \$4.9 M	\$2.0 M - Various sources	Base project is fully funded.
Loyal Heights Playfield	\$1.5 M - \$2.4 M	\$2.1 M - Parks Levy	
Magnolia (Elementary) School	\$1.0 M - \$2.0 M	\$1.2 M - Parks Levy	
Meadowbrook Playfield	\$0.7 - \$1.4 M	\$0.7 M - Parks Levy	

Seattle Parks Sites	Estimated Cost	Fund Sources Identified	Comments
Miller Playfield	\$0.5 M	\$0.5 M - Department CIP	Assumes 2003-2004 DPR budget request approved
Sand Point / Magnuson Park	TBD	\$9.3 M - Parks Levy	The current estimate for the development of Sand Point/ Magnuson Park is \$59.2 M. It is not known at this time the cost of the athletic field development.
West Seattle Stadium	\$1.6 M - \$3.2 M	\$1.6 M - Parks Levy	
Brighton Playfield	\$1.6 M - \$2.0 M	\$0.1 M - Department CIP	
High Point	\$0.07 M	\$0.07 M - Department CIP	
Lower Woodland Park Field #1	\$1.35 M	\$1.35 M - Various Sources	
TOTAL	\$16.9 M - \$23.7 M¹	\$22.7 M	

¹ Total does not include an estimate for the development of Sand Point/Magnuson athletic fields.

Unfunded JAFDP Projects (Summarized by element)

Project Element	Number Proposed (Seattle Parks Only)	Estimated Cost Per Element	TOTAL COST PER ELEMENT
Synthetic Field Surface	21	\$1.0 M-\$1.5 M	\$21.0 M - \$31.5 M
Lighting (new and replacement)	23	\$0.4 M-\$0.5 M	\$9.2 M - \$11.5 M
Turf renovation	7	\$0.6 M-\$1.0 M	\$4.2 M - \$7.0 M
Field amenities (may include backstops, comfort station, wing fences, etc.)	15	\$0.1 M - \$0.4 M	\$1.5 M - \$6.0 M
TOTAL			\$35.9M - \$56.0 M

ONGOING COSTS OF CITY PROJECTS IN JAFDP

Estimated Annual Operation and Maintenance Costs:

Proposed Project Elements	Number of Projects Proposed in JAFDP ¹	Estimated Current Annual O&M Costs Per Site (\$000s) ²	Estimated New Annual O&M Cost Per Site (\$000s)	TOTAL Estimated Annual O&M Costs ((\$000s)
New Synthetic Field Surface	22	\$0	\$8	\$176
New Synthetic Field Surface - Converted from Grass	16	\$45	\$8	(\$592)
Lighting (new and replacement)	42	\$0	\$3	\$126
Turf renovation	8	\$45	\$0	\$0
Field amenities (may include backstops, comfort station, wing fences, etc.)	23	Depends on element	Depends on element	TBD
TOTAL Estimated Annual O&M				(\$290)

¹ Information provided is in 2002 dollars and is provided for Department of Parks and Recreation sites only;

² Figure is an estimate of annual operations and maintenance costs per field and may not reflect actual spending on any particular field.

Key Assumptions For Annual Operations and Maintenance:

Operations and maintenance costs are provided by element. The timeframe is not provided as the City is not committed to funding any or all of the projects proposed in the attachment beyond those projects previously approved for funding by City Council action. The annual operations and maintenance costs of a field converted from grass to synthetic will be significantly less than the annual cost for a grass field. The estimated annual O&M costs provided for new fields does not necessarily include the incremental additional cost of maintaining a field for year-round use. These costs may include garbage pick-up, comfort station cleaning, etc. The field amenities category encompasses many different site features ranging from wing fence replacement to comfort station.

Periodic Major Maintenance Costs for City Projects In JAFDP

Major Maintenance Item	Frequency (in years)	Number Proposed in Program	Cost per element	Funding Source
Synthetic Field Surface Replacement	15-20 ¹	38	\$1.0 M - \$1.5 M	TBD
Lighting Replacement	20	42	\$0.4 M - \$0.5 M	TBD
Turf renovation	20	8	\$0.6 M - \$1.0 M	TBD
Field amenities (may include backstops, comfort station, wing fences, etc.)	Depends on element	23	\$0.1 M - \$0.4 M	TBD
TOTAL (Based on 20 year life cycle)			\$61.9 M - \$95.2 M	

¹ Most synthetic turf manufacturers estimate a life cycle of 15-20 years. DPR has two synthetic surface fields that were installed in 1997 and 2002. The Department does not have firsthand knowledge as to the longevity of these products.

Funding sources for replacement of project elements:

Replacement of any given element could be funded through the Department of Parks and Recreation Capital Improvement Program; future voter-approved measures, other public grants or private sources.

Is the legislation subject to public hearing requirements:

Although this program is not subject to public hearing requirements, a public hearing was held before the Board of Park Commissioners on January 24, 2002.

RESOLUTION FILE 30530

2 Sheets **MAPS - OVERSIZED**

for 35 mm filming

removed from file here



City of Seattle
Gregory J. Nickels
Mayor

*Clerk's
copy*

October 16, 2002

Peter Steinbrueck, President
Seattle City Council
600 4th Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104


Dear President Steinbrueck:

Thank you for the input City Council has presented regarding the development and enhancement of athletic fields through Resolution 30530. I'm pleased the City Council concurs with the goal of increasing the capacity of our athletic field system, while at the same time minimizing the negative impacts to neighborhoods.

As you know, the Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP) sets forth the development plan for our city's athletic fields and facilities that will guide our capital program that is submitted to the City Council during the biennial budget process. The JAFDP is the product of an extensive two-year process between the Seattle School District, the City and a wide array of community organizations, user groups and citizens.

Resolution 30530 also suggests changes to adopted lighting and scheduling policies that would modify those already adopted by the Superintendent of Parks under his Charter authority to manage the operations of the system. However, because some of the proposal in Resolution 30530 conflicts with current operating practices established by the Superintendent, I will not be concurring with the Resolution. I will take these recommendations into consideration as we continue to work with the citizens and the Superintendent to increase the capacity of our athletic field system and to refine and improve our lighting and scheduling policies.

Sincerely,



GREG NICKELS
Mayor

cc Honorable Members of the Seattle City Council

600 Fourth Avenue, 12th Floor, Seattle, Washington 98104-1873

Tel: (206) 684-4000, TDD: (206) 684-8811, Fax: (206) 684-5360, Email: mayors.office@ci.seattle.wa.us

An equal employment opportunity, affirmative action employer. Accommodations for people with disabilities provided upon request.



Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

#2

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: Against Mayor's proposal

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
14.	~~Jeanette Williams~~	SPCLC		
15.	✓ Bonnie Miller	Seattle resident		
3.	✓ R.A. Brown	Friend of Sand Point Park	7528-5702 NE	98115
4.	✓ SUSAN NESENBRINK	" "	7346-58th AVE NE	98115
5.	x Karen Sealing	Citizen of Wildcat	7322-58th Ave NE	98115
6.	✓ Bonnie Bledsoe		11010 28th Ave NE	98125
7.	✓ Robert Houze	?	3017 NE 105	98125
8.	✓ Peter Dahl	Neighbor	6903 57th Ave NE	98115
9.	✓ Vicki Schaefer	Sand Point Neighbor	6526 51st Ave NE	98115
10.	206/522 5514 Lane Gerber 6500 50th Ave NE Seattle WA 98115-7737	" "		
11.				
12.	~~Donna Peters~~		~~6526 51st Ave NE~~	~~98115~~
13.	✓ Anne Lester	Sand Pt. Comm. Hsg. Assn	5001 Sand Pt. Way NE, C-58	98115

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: Against Mayor's proposalDate: 8/7/02IC RECORD

ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
SPCLC			
Seattle resident			
Friend of Sand Point Park	7528-57th NE	98115	543 8438
" "	7346-58th AVE NE	98115	(206) 292-3530
Citizen of Woodland Hills	7322-58th Ave NE	98115	206 525 4107
	11010 28th Ave NE	98125	206-362-2188
	3017 NE 105	98125	
Neighbor	6903 57th Ave NE	98115	
Sand Point Neighbor	6526 51st Ave NE	98115	206 827-1736
" "			
	~~6526 51st Ave NE~~	~~98115~~	~~206-700-8287~~
Sand Pt. Comm. Hrg. Assn	8001 Sand Pt. Way NE, C-58	98115	206. 522-1815

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

#1

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: AGAINST MAYOR'S PROPOSAL

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	
1. ✓	VANCE THOMPSON	NEIGHBOR	6002 50th AVE NE	98115	
2. ✓	Jerry Thonn	neighbor	11040 35th Ave NE	98125	
3. ✓	GEORGE TAFT	" FAIR FIELD LIGHTING	3410 W. BARRETT SEATTLE	98199	
4. ✓	Denise Derr	Friends of QABowl/Rodgers Park	2912 4th Ave W	98119	
5. ✓	Patricia D	Friends of Lake Woodl. Park	4509 Intalche N	98123	
6. ✓	Don Harper	Sleepless in Seattle Friends of QABowl/Rodgers	2723 4th Ave W	98119	251
7. ✓	Sharon Levine	Friends of QABowl/Rodgers Park	2819 4th Ave. W.	98119	
8. ✓	Sally Cope	Citizen WL Neigh			
9. ✓	AL SKAFF	magnum Park neighbor NEIGHBOR	7060-56th AVE NE	98115	204
10. ✓	Renée Barton	Seattle Residents for Fair Field Lighting	11024-30th Ave NE	98125	
11. ✓	Charles Prestford	Hallon Lake Neighbors of Ingraham, Fairfield	13045 Meridian Ave. N.	98133	20
12. ✓	Jeanette Williams ~~LAUREN STRAUSS~~	SPC Liaison Com.	5724 NE 71	98115	20
13. ✓	Leon Ferguson	neighbor	6422 NE 60th	98115	

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: AGAINST MAYOR'S
PROPOSALDate: 8/7/02PUBLIC RECORD

ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
NEIGHBOR	6002 56 th AVE NE	98115	
neighbor	11040 35 th Ave NE	98125	
" FAIR FIELD LIGHTING	3410 W. BARRETT SEATTLE	98199	
Friends of QABowl/Rodgers Park	2912 4 th Ave W	98119	
Friends - Flare Woods Park	4509 Intake N	98103	
Sleepless in Seattle			
Friends of QABowl/Rodgers	2723 4 th Ave W	98119	206-9018
Friends of QABowl/Rodgers	2819 4 th Ave. W.	98119	
Citizen WL Neely			
magnum park neighbor			
NEIGHBOR	7060- 56 th AVE NE	98115	206-523-8117
Seattle Residents for Fair Field	11024- 30 th Ave NE	98125	
Haller Lake			
Neighbors of Inglebrook Fair Field	13045 Meridian Ave. N.	98133	206-364-2378
SPC Mission Cm.	5724 NE 71	98115	206-525-9827
neighbor	6422 NE 60 th	98115	

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

4

Against
PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: JAFDP

Date:

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(O) PI
1.	X Dorothy Guth	Co-Rec Soccer	7551 33 Ave NE	98115	
2.	✓ McQuinn Sullivan	Parkpoint Condo Assn	5870 NE Parkpoint	98115	
3.	✓ X Terrence M. McCosh	Resident		98130	
4.	X Ted Hunter	NCLL	101 Taylor St SE 98104		
5.	✓ David Hashimoto	resident	7303 5th Ave NE ~~98115~~	98115	
6.	~~Bruce Firestone~~	~~resident~~	7507 56 Ave NE		
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

#5

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

AGAINST

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	
1.	✓ Victor J. Barry	MRB / ^{CHAIR} ~~Quinn~~ Board Assn	600 Broadway #300	98122	20
2.	✓ Vic Roberson	Volunteer Couch	5036 44th Ave S	98118	20
3.	WARREN PERKINS	LVL SOCCER N.E. SEATTLE L.L.	6808 30th AVE NE	98115	20
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					

AGAINST

Date: _____

[illegible]

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

OTHER

Subject: _____

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	
1.	✓ Elizabeth Harbaugh	Neighbor/coach/wildlife	10400-32nd NE Seattle	98125	52
2.	✓ Jill Watson	Neighbor/coach/player	622 NE 76th Seattle	98115	206 521
3.	✓ Hillary Watson	youth ~~neighbor/coach/wildlife~~	"	"	
4.	✓ Hannah Watson	Youth	622 NE 76th	98115	52
5.	✓ Paul Gibson (see 35 on inland list)	—	1718-26th E	98112	32
6.	✓ KATHLEEN CAPP	MEADOW BROOK OPEN SPACE MAPLE LEAF SCHOOL SITE	3211 NE 100	98125	(206)
7.	✓ Carol Mabbott	"	3035 NE 100th	98125	(206)
8.	✓ Marilyn Sandall	Seattle Audubon Society	6907 57th NE	98115	(206)
9.	✓ Andrew Taylor	Miller Park	330 - Nth Ave L	98112	(206)
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					

OTHER

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Date: _____

Subject: _____

IC RECORD

ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
Neighbor/coach/wildlife adv.	10400-32nd NE Seattle	98125	522-3921
Neighbor/coach/player	622 NE 76 th Seattle	98115	206 526-9023
youth	"	"	"
~~Person who wants to be listed as~~			
Youth	622 NE 76 th	98115	526-9023
—	1718-26 th E	98112	323-1851
MEADOW BEDDE OPEN SPACE MADUE LANE SCHOOL SITE	3211 NE 100	98125	(206) 522-0941
"	3035 NE 100 th	98125	(206) 523-6064
Seattle Audubon Society	6907 57 th NE	98115	(206) 523-5525
Miller Park	330 - N 4 th Ave E	98112	(206) 323-5929



Seattle City Council

PLEASE PRINT

IN FAVOR of #1 MANORS

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS		
✓ 1.	Peter Kucovich	Friends of Field		98125	
✓ 2.	Liz Ellis	Miller-Madison N'hood		98112	
✓ 2a.	Mark Bishop	Co-Rec Soccer	14623 8th Ave SW	98166	32
✓ 3.	Darlene Hickman	Health & Sports Advisory Council SSAC & USATF		98119	2
✓ 4.	Jim Anderson	_____		98117	
✓ 5.	Matthew Bell	_____		98117	
✓ 6.	AMY BERNARD	CO-REC SOCCER	amy-bernard@rocketmail.com	98115	
✓ 7.	Kathleen Warren	Portis band member * Misquater Peter	1153 N. 84th	98103	
✓ 8.	Laura Hewitt Walker	Disc Northwest	4802 Woodlawn Ave N	98103	
✓ 9.	Sharon Schube Bishop	Soccer!	14623 8th Ave SW	98166	
X 10.	Roger Haight	Co-Rec Soccer		98103	
X 11.	Kerianne Gardner	Co-Rec			
X 12.	KARIM LESSARD	CO-REC soccer		98103	2
X 13.	GLENN WEST	CO-REC SOCCER	5607 40TH AVE SW	98136	200

#1
MANORS

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

Date: _____

PUBLIC RECORD

	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS		(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
ch	Friends of Field Miller-Madison N'hood		98125 98112	
	Co-Rec Soccer	14623 8th Ave SW	98166	329-1549
nam	Seattle Sports Advisory Council SSAC & USATF		98119	204-1028 chtrack@dot.com
	_____		98117	
	_____		98117	
RD	CO-REC SOCCER TRAY TEAM	amy-bernard@rocketmail.com SEATTLE	98115	
en	Portas board member * misquoted peter	1153 N. 8th	98103	
lker	Disc Northwest	4802 Woodlawn Ave N ~~98103~~	98103	
bishop	Soccer!	14623 8th Ave SW 98166		
	Co-Rec Soccer		98103	
	Co-Rec			
	CO-REC soccer		98103	206-990.6286
	CO-REC SOCCER	5607 40TH AVE SW	98136	2069230594



Seattle City Council

PLEASE PRINT

#2

FAVOR

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

FAVOR / MAYOR'S

Subject: _____

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
1. X	JASON MATTINGLY	Co Rec Soccer		
2. ✓	Michelle Spencer	Co-Rec Soccer	10311 Fremont Ave N. #304 Seattle	98133
3. ✓	Inti Valverde	Co Rec Soccer		
4. ✓	WARRON KINLON	Co-Rec Soccer		
5. ✓	ANNE MCTAVISH	Co-Rec Soccer	8330 84th Ave SE, MI WA	98040
6. ✓	HARA MCTAVISH	U-17 Girls P-2	8330 84th Ave SE, MI WA	98040
7. ✓	Marty Ehlers	Co-Rec Soccer	P.O. Box 22064	98122
8. ✓	Derek Goldingay	"	"	"
9. ✓	Steve Parkinson	Individual		98040
10. ✓	Phonke Russell	SUSA & WSUSA	3014 37th SW	98126
11. X	Patrick Fuller	Co-rec Soccer	2811 Franklin Ave E #101 Seattle, WA	98102
12. ✓	NIKO LAZARAKIS	Co-Rec Soccer	116 NE 62nd ST., SEATTLE, WA	98115
13. ✓	BECKY LINDBERGH	Co-Rec Soccer	2207 N. 60TH ST, SEATTLE, WA	98105

ncil

#2

FAVOR

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

FAVOR'S

S PUBLIC RECORD

	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
	Co Rec Soccer			
	Co-Rec Soccer	10311 Fremont Ave N. #304 Seattle	98133	781-9681
	Co Rec Soccer			
	Co-Rec Soccer			
ISH	Co-REC SOCCER	8330 84th Ave SE, MI WA	98040	206-232-8226
ISH	U-17 Girls P-2	8330 84th Ave SE, MI WA	98040	206-232-8226
	Co-Rec Soccer	P.O. Box 22064	98122	206-329-1548
	"	"	"	"
	Individual		98040	
	SUSA & WUSA	3014 37th SW	98126	206-938-2525
	Co-rec Soccer	2811 Franklin Ave E #101 Seattle, WA	98102	206-568-5932
	Co-REC SOCCER	116 NE 62nd ST., SEATTLE, WA	98115	
	Co-REC soccer	2207 N. 60TH ST, SEATTLE, WA	98105	



Seattle City Council

PLEASE PRINT

3

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP

FAVOR/HAMON'S

Subject: _____

39

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
1.	✓ Terry Hulme	Syso / SSAC	3215 S. Rose Ten Sq	98144
2.	✓ Celina Bednar	Co Rec Soccer player/mgr.	916 N 7th Ave SE Seattle	98103
3.	✓ Bob Sigley	~~SS~~ Magnolia Soccer	2425 Montaville	98199
4.	✓ DR BRIND PETERS	Co Rec Soccer	6001 Phinney Ave N #2	98103
5.	✓ GEORGE CARLIN	NORTHEAST SEATTLE LITTLE League Baseball	8233 41st NE	98115
6.	✓ Harry Hoffman	Seattle Youth Soccer	613 N. 138th	98133
7.	X Tim Ennsel	Co-Rec Soccer	1305 NE Rensselaer Blvd #201	98105
8.	✓ Holly Hohenbuehler	Co Rec Soccer	6408 42nd Ave NE	98115
9.	X Ryan-Marc Woods	Co Rec Soccer	416 1/2 12th Ave E	98102
10.	X Ryan Tuomisto	Individual	416 1/2 12th Ave East	98102
11.	X Jennifer Weshagen	Co Rec, WSSA	6521 B 24th Ave NE	98115
12.	✓ Matthew A. Smith	Co-Rec Soccer	6553 Earl Ave NW	98117
13.				

original
Favor

39

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

PUBLIC RECORD

	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
	Syssa / SSAC	3215 S. DOSTA TON SEA	98144	723 3005
	Co-Rec Soccer/League/Ingr	916 N 7th AVE SEATTLE	98103	783-7017
	~~SEA~~ Magnolia Soccer	2425 Montavista	98199	285-4652
S	Co-Rec Soccer	6001 Phinney Ave N #2	98103	
N	NORTHEAST SEATTLE LITTLE LEAGUE BASEBALL	8233 41st NE	98115	526-8578
	Seattle Youth Soccer	613 N. 138th	98133	
	Co-Rec Soccer	1305 NE RAVEN BLVD #201	98105	
R	Co-Rec Soccer	6808 42nd Ave NE	98115	523.0766
ds	Co Rec Soccer	416 1/2 12th Ave E	98102	206-860-2065
	Individual	416 1/2 12th Ave East	98102	206 860 2065
n	CoRec, WSSA	6521 B 24th Ave NE	98115	206 524 2999
h	Co-Rec Soccer	6553 Earl Ave NW	98117	206 706-6554



Seattle City Council

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY #4

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

IN FAVOR, BIG IN FAVOR Subject: Fields

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
1. ☒	Nadim Makhadmeh David Makhadmeh	Co-rec Soccer		98133
2. ☒	Megan Tuttle			98121
3. ☒	Mat Parkinson		2401 Utah S Seattle WA	98124
4. ☒	Dan Doorn		2401 Utah Ave S. Seattle	98134
5. ☒	HIMANSHU SHARMA		2401 Utah Ave S. Seattle	98134
6. ☒	Egan Fowler		8212 39th AVE SW Seattle	98136
7. ☒	Karin Stricker		3230 SW Avalon Way Seattle	98126
8. ☒	Curtis Fukushima	Tri-Northwest Seattle Little Lge	6024 Oberlin Ave NE, Sea	98115
9. ☒	Andrew Reddaway	Co-Rec Starbucks Doubleshot	5447 44th AVE SW SEA	98136
10. ☒	ALLEN SCAMITZ	CO-REC	1432 NW 61st St. # 204 ~~SEA~~	98107
11. ☒	Eric Olson	Co-Rec	1751 14th Ave S	98144
12. ☒	Jeff Reitan	Co-Rec	2035 Fairview Ave E, Slip D Sea	98102
13. ☒	SOPHIE NEWLAND	Co-rec	1902 5th Ave N. Apt 12 Seattle	98109

#4

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Date: 8/7

BIG IN FAVOR Subject: Fields

PUBLIC RECORD

	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
	Co-rec Soccer		98133	
			98121	
		2401 Utah S Seattle WA	98124	206 318 7348
		2401 Utah Ave S. Seattle	98134	206 318 7658
HA		2401 Utah Ave S. Seattle	98134	206 318 4599
		8212 39th Ave SW Seattle	98136	(206) 937-6065
		3230 SW Avalon Way Seattle	98126	206-923-0955
	Tri-Northwest Seattle Little League	6024 Oberlin Ave NE, Sea	98115	
	Co-Rec Starbucks Doubleday	5447 44th Ave SW SEA	98136	206-937-3346
	CO-REC	1432 NW 61st St. # 204 ~~4007~~	98107	206 297 1977
	Co-Rec	1751 14th Ave S	98144	
	Co-Rec	2035 Fannview Ave E, Slip D Sea	98102	206-726-8379
D	Co-rec	1902 5th Ave N. Apt 12 Seattle	98109	206/352-7102

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY
 #5

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

#5

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	
40.	x Andrew Taylor	MILLER			
41.	x King Cosgrove	Co-Rec Soccer			
42.	x Karen Cosgrove	Co-Rec Soccer			
43.	x Michelle Changelian	Co-Rec Soccer DIVERSITY FC	6220 6th Ave NW Seattle, WA 98107	98107	
44.	x CHRISTIAN VILLEGAS	RAIN CITY SOCCER	GAY + LESBIAN		
45.	x BABU RAJENDRAN	Co-Rec Soccer	215 N. 62nd St Seattle, WA 98103	98103	2
46.	x Jeannette Idriart	Co-Rec Soccer			
47.	x Ellen Barton	Co-Rec Soccer	6241 4th NW Seattle	98107	2
48.	x Miles Montgomery	Dist LL Baseball	9029 - 97th Ave NW	98117	
49.	x Joan Rochelle	Neighborhoods	10739 - 30 Ave NE	98125	
50.	x ROBERT FINEY	FC BOB	765 N 50th St. SEA	98103	
51.					
52.					

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

#5

Date: _____

Subject: _____

IC RECORD

ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
MILLER			
Co-Rec Soccer			
Co-Rec Soccer			
Co-Rec Soccer	6220 4th Ave NW Seattle, WA 98107	98107	206 331-0066
RAIN CITY SOCCER	6441 1st Ave NW		
Co-Rec Soccer	215 N. 6th St Seattle, WA 98103	98103	206 706-1898
Co-Rec Soccer			
Co-Rec Soccer	60241 4th NW Seattle	98107	206-321-7302
Dist LL Baseball	9029-9th Ave NW	98117	206-284-9543
Neighborhoods	10739-30 Ave NE	98125	
PL BOB	705 N 50th St. SEA	98103	206-224-1698



Seattle City Council

PLEASE PRINT

#6

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: _____

FAVOR

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
1.	✓ BRIDGET DWYER	CO-REC PLAYER	1029 SUMMIT AVE E	98102
2.	✓ Trevor Savigny	co-rec soccer player	1029 Summit Ave E #10	98102
3.	✓ PATRICK DWYER	II	1903 A N 46th St	98103
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				

#6

FAVOR

Date: _____

Subject: _____[illegible]

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

#3

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: Agant Mayor's Program

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS PUBLIC RECORD

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	
1.	✓ Bob Kuper	Neighbor	7733 58 Ave NE	98115	
2.	X ALEC STEVENS	"	5711 NE 77th St	98115	
3.	X Maria Kuper	Neighbor	4733 58th Ave NE	98115	
4.	X Felix Freund	"	6914 56th NE	98115	
5.	✓ BOB RENCH	NEIGHBOR		98115	
6.	✓ Nancy Morrison	Neighbor	Meadowbrook		
7.	✓ Daniel Stark	Neighbor	Meadowbrook	98125	
8.	✓ JESSICA JONES	Neighbor	Meadowbrook	98125	
9.	X KRISTEN LARSON	Neighbor	7507 56th Ave NE	98115	20
10.	X Marilyn Anderson	Neighbor	2728 NE 110th St	98125	
11.					
12.					
13.	✓ Keith Heller	Seattle Residents	11021-30th Ave NE	98125	

3

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: Againt Mayor's Program

Date: 8/7/02

IC RECORD

ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP	(OPTIONAL) PHONE/FAX
Neighbor	7733 58 Ave NE	98115	
"	5711 NE 77th St	98115	
Neighbor	4733 59th Ave NE	98115	
"	6914 56th NE	98115	
NEIGHBOR		98115	
Neighbor	Meadowbrook		
Neighbor	Meadowbrook	98125	
Neighbor	Meadowbrook	98125	
Neighbor	7507 56th Ave NE	98115	206-526-5060
Neighbor	2728 NE 110th St	98125	
South/Resident	11021-30th Ave NE	98125	

Seattle City Council
PLEASE PRINT

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-UP SHEET

Subject: SAFDP

INFORMATION ON THIS SIGN-UP SHEET IS **PUBLIC RECORD**

#	(PLEASE PRINT) NAME	ORGANIZATION	(OPTIONAL) ADDRESS	ZIP
1.	✓ STEPHEN LUDGREN	Seattle Green Can Fed	3057 NW 63	
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				

Informal Sign-In
(to help keep order)

- 1.
2. Peter Lukevich Pro
3. Elizabeth Harbaugh Other
4. Mark Bishop Pro
5. Darlene Hickman Pro
6. Matthew Bell
7. GEORGE TAFT
8. Karim LESSARD
9. Shaun Schreibe Bishop
10. Jill Watson
11. Hannah Watson
12. Hilary Watson
13. Kath Lynn Warren
14. AMY BERNARD
15. ~~LEILA~~
16. Roger Haight
17. Kerianne Gardner
18. Denise Derr - 1
19. Inti Valverde
20. Michelle Spencer
21. Sharon Levine
22. Don Harper
23. Aaron Kuyon
24. Steve Parkinson
25. Ken Stricker
26. Matt Parkinson
27. Jeanette Williams

28. Lynn Ferguson
29. Bonnie Miller
30. Inge Skansen
31. Marty Ehlers
32. Dewey Liddings
33. Vince Thompson
34. Phoebe Russell
35. Paul Gibson
36. Sally Gjo
37. Bob Sigley
38. Patrick Fuller
39. Patricia Day
40. Laura Hunt Waller (pro)
41. Annie & Tara McTavish (pro)
42. Terry Huime (pro)
43. George Carlin (pro)
44. ~~Star Robinson~~
45. AL SKAAR
46. SUSAN MESENBRINK
47. Peter Dahl (against)
48. Vicki Schaeffe (against)
49. Brian Peters

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

Purpose of the JAFDP: Increase the scheduling capacity for athletic sports throughout the City and coordinate this development between the School District and Parks Department. The initial JAFDP, proposed in 1997, listed several fields to develop or improve.

The updated JAFDP:

- Lists specific fields for improvement
- Provides general guidelines for selecting fields for improvements and determining the appropriateness of specific improvement at certain fields.
- Provides a goal for achieving a "reasonable accommodation of demand" for more playing time by youth and adult sports users.

Specific Conclusions/Direction laid out in the JAFDP by Parks:

- In order to meet Park's definition of "Reasonable Accommodation", Parks says it needs to increase scheduling capacity by 89%.
- To increase scheduling capacity, Parks needs to install more all-weather or synthetic turf and new lights at fields. The JAFDP calls for putting synthetic field surface on 38 fields and new lights on 42 fields. (The new lights may also include replacement of lights at current fields, but I haven't been able to confirm this with Parks).
- If every project in the JAFDP were built as proposed, the number of hours would more than double, allowing approximately 100,000 hours for soccer/field sports, 73,000 hours for baseball/softball, and 3,5000 hours for track and field.
- Parks says that it will address neighborhood concerns through the public involvement/SEPA review process. For example, Parks says it will examine parking, traffic, scheduling intensity, lights, noise, and impacts to habitat during the public involvement/SEPA review process. •

- If every project in the JAFDP were built as proposed, the number of hours would more than double, allowing approximately 100,000 hours for soccer/field sports, 73,000 hours for baseball/softball, and 3,5000 hours for track and field.

The mayor's major directions on the plan are:

~~Provide safer, more playable fields all over Seattle.~~ Develop 23 synthetic turf fields at existing field sites and at 10 school sites; safe, low maintenance synthetic turf increases the quality and capacity of the athletic field system. In our climate, it is necessary to close Parks grass fields from November to March to allow the turf to recover from use. While development costs for synthetic field surfaces are higher than for natural grass surfaces, maintenance costs are lower, since artificial turf surfaces do not require daily attention.

Adapt the program to lessen or remove neighborhood impacts. All playfields are located in neighborhoods, and the people in surrounding communities are paying more attention to the development of athletic facilities. The main concerns are spill and glare from lights, increased traffic, scarce on-street parking, noise, occasional problems with player behavior, community access to unprogrammed open space, and impacts on habitat. The updated, customized JAFDP addresses these issues; conditions unique to each field will be explored through a public process and environmental review.

Improve existing field lights to increase safety and reduce spillover and glare. To assess the condition of existing field lighting and to develop lighting standards, Parks commissioned a Ballfield Lighting Study in 2001 with the firm of MacGowan Broz. The study shows that all but one of the existing 19 lighted sites require replacement because they generally do not meet the desired safety requirements for users, and because of some negative impacts on the surrounding neighborhood. There are high costs associated with completely new lighting systems. The JAFDP includes replacement of 18 existing field lighting systems recommended in this study.

Place new lights where it is appropriate, based on site selection criteria. The JAFDP includes criteria for use in the selection of sites for new field lighting. These criteria include technical considerations, performance standards, site considerations (parking, etc.), scheduling needs, and the capacity for mitigating impacts on surrounding communities. Some considerations, like geotechnical conditions, will be addressed during the planning and design process for each site. The program calls for field lights at eight Seattle Parks and Recreation sites (including 11 fields at Sand Point Magnuson) and seven School District-owned sites.

Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP) Public Hearing, August 7, 2002

Talking Points

Intro

- Septem
ds not
will as
in stru
to me.*
- Thank ^{you for coming} ~~everyone for coming~~.
 - This item will be coming back to committee in on September 20th Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee. This meeting will be held from 3-5pm in Chambers.
 - ~~Please ask Legislative Assistants representing other offices to please stand up.~~
 - [Thank your colleagues for joining you.]
 - Explain that you are going to try to mix up the names of those who are for the Mayor's proposal and those that are against the Mayor's proposal with those that have general comments in order to provide a variety of testimony throughout the hearing. This is a new approach to taking testimony. Thank you for your patience.

Opening Remarks

- The Department of Parks and Recreation is asking the City Council to endorse the Joint Athletic Field Development Program (JAFDP). Council has not yet made a decision on this issue. I wanted to have a public hearing to give the public a chance to comment on it.
- The Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP), which includes plans to install new artificial surfaces at some fields, light some fields and replace outdated lights at other fields, is a blueprint for future ballfield improvements. While funds exist for some projects from the 1998 School District Building/Technology/Athletics Levy, the Pro Parks Levy approved by voters in 2000, and the City's Cumulative Reserve Fund, most of the projects are unfunded and will to wait for funding to become available.
- To increase scheduling capacity, Parks needs to install more all-weather or synthetic turf and new lights at fields. The JAFDP calls for putting synthetic field surface on 38 fields and new lights on 42 fields.

City Council Hearing, August 7, 2002 – Youth / Adult – ME

I'm Marty Ehlers, manager of Co-Rec Soccer.

In January the Office of City Auditor issued a report on field scheduling to the City Council. Page 14 states "None of Parks policies, goals or guiding principals define an acceptable level of balance of field-use between youth and adult sports organizations". The bar chart, however, shows that Park Department softball programs are scheduled equally...half to youth and half to adults. It's the other sports, such as soccer, that are scheduled a full 75% to youth and only 25% to adults.

With the auditor's goal in mind, Parks should be building more soccer fields to accommodate 50% youth and 50% adult just as they do for softball. But because there are only 8 lighted soccer fields, the easiest way for Parks to accomplish equitable scheduling is to efficiently use the new fields by lighting them to 11pm, when needed, to accommodate 2 youth soccer practices and 2 adult soccer games per night...50 / 50. The phrase "when needed" is key to understanding current lighting-use. In some seasons, for instance, when the youth practice less often, the adult games end as early as 10:15pm.

Lighting the fields later has allowed the entire sports community to follow the same pattern for decades. School kids use the fields in the afternoons, community youth teams practice in the early evenings, adults play 2 games in the later evening. Then on weekends, adults cheer the youth on during their games.

Please support the Parks proposal because it attempts to narrow the gap in the allocation of fields between the sports, and between youth and adults within the sports by allowing the lights to stay on... as needed.

Marty Ehlers
Manager, Co-Rec Soccer
(206) 329-9125 or (206) 329-1548

Office of City Auditor

**Department of Parks and Recreation
Athletic Field Scheduling Review**

January 25, 2002

City of Seattle




Supporting Observation #1.1

★ While Parks schedules fields based on established policies, athletic field use is nearing capacity.

- ★ Total field hours have increased 12 percent since 1995.
- ★ Field events on Saturdays and Sundays have increased 30 percent since 1995.
- ★ Field events during August, September and October have increased 27 percent since 1995.
- ★ Athletic fields are near capacity between 3 PM and 11 PM on weekdays and before 6 PM on weekends at peak times during the spring and fall.

TOTAL ADULT & YOUTH LEAGUE FIELD HOURS




11

Supporting Observation #1.2

★ Parks current scheduling policy and practices emphasize youth sports, which limits field availability for adult sports.

SCHOOL, PARKS AND NON-DEPARTMENT FIELD USE EVENTS



- ★ Field use events since 1995:
 - School youth up 9 percent
 - Parks youth down 3 percent
 - Non-Parks youth up 10 percent
 - Parks adults down 10 percent
 - Non-Parks adults up 10 percent
- ★ Total youth field use increased 20 percent over the past five years while total adult field use decreased 10 percent.
- ★ Youth can access all fields, but adult users restricted to only 36 percent of Parks/School District fields.

12


50/50

75/25

Supporting Observation #1.3

- ★ Parks scheduling policy does not consider the effects of rapid growth in youth sports.

2000 ATHLETIC FIELD USE



53,688 Field Events

■ Youth - 40,559
▨ Adult - 13,129

★ Youth field use currently outnumbers adult field use three to one based on current policies.

★ None of Parks' policies, goals, or guiding principles define an acceptable level of balance of field use between youth and adult sports organizations.

★ The reduction of desired adult field allocations has impacted the largest adult field user.

13

Supporting Observation #1.4

- ★ Parks could clarify scheduling and participation policies.

Undefined scheduling policy (currently defined as "historical tradition," "maximum amount," and "fair use") has caused confusion for both youth and adult users.

★ Parks does not adequately communicate scheduling priorities (e.g., youth vs. adult games, annual vs. biennial events) and limitations (e.g., limited field availability, guaranteed the same field for a year).

★ The Sports Participation Policy is not routinely disseminated to leagues. Only newly organized leagues and existing leagues with new leadership receive and sign this policy.



14

August 7, 2002

The Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
Chair, Parks, Education & Libraries Committee
President, Seattle City Council
11th Floor, Municipal Building
600 - 4th Ave
Seattle, WA 98104

RE: Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program
Public Hearing of August 7, 2002

Dear President Steinbrueck:

As a concerned neighbor of the Meadowbrook neighborhood,
I urge you NOT to approve the 2001-2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development
Program until the number of lit fields has been scaled back, hours of use have been
made more reasonable, and neighbors' needs have been met.

My concerns include:

- The glare of lights into the living areas of my
neighborhood homes. (I'm protected in this regard)
- The plan to have these lights on until 11pm!!
- The fact that there are 3 fields in the Meadowbrook area,
hence 3 times the impact.
- The noise and disturbance that will result
from these evening activities. I've been in my
home for 30 years and have enjoyed the
statute, quiet, even improvements to fields so far,
have had their impact, i.e. without high lights.
- The disturbance of wildlife around Meadowbrook.
I really enjoy the brook, the wildlife there
and all Meadowbrook pond. I am a bird watcher
and of nature in high regard. Seattle Audubon
Society & others have presented scientific facts
that claim there will be a negative impact
on wildlife from lights

Sincerely,

Muriel E. Wood
signature

Print Name: MURIEL E. WOOD

Address: 2827 N.E. 110th St.
Seattle WA 98125

Tel: (206) 363-4480

E-Mail: _____

August 7, 2002

The Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
Chair, Parks, Education & Libraries Committee
President, Seattle City Council
11th Floor, Municipal Building
600 - 4th Ave
Seattle, WA 98104

**RE: Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program
Public Hearing of August 7, 2002**

Dear President Steinbrueck:

As a concerned neighbor of the Meenowbrack neighborhood,
I urge you NOT to approve the 2001-2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development
Program until the number of lit fields has been scaled back, hours of use have been
made more reasonable, and neighbors' needs have been met.

My concerns include:

Lights & noise. The city's Noise Ordinance
should be preserved - games must end in time
for players to be gone by 10 pm. Lights off by
line for the children of our community.

Protect our wildlife habitats! We
have a restored wetlands - studies have
documented the ill effects of lights on
habitat.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Bledsoe
signature

Print Name: Bonnie Bledsoe

Address: 11010 2nd Ave NE, Seattle WA 98125

Tel: 206-362-2188

E-Mail: _____

August 7, 2002

The Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
Chair, Parks, Education & Libraries Committee
President, Seattle City Council
11th Floor, Municipal Building
600 - 4th Ave
Seattle, WA 98104

RE: Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program
Public Hearing of August 7, 2002


Dear President Steinbrueck:

As a concerned neighbor of the MAGNOLIA / QUEEN ANNE neighborhood,
I urge you NOT to approve the 2001-2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development
Program until the number of lit fields has been scaled back, hours of use have been
made more reasonable, and neighbors' needs have been met.

My concerns include:

- ① KEEP FIELD LIGHTS OFF AT 9 PM
- ② NO LIGHTS ON FRI, SAT, SUN
- ③ CITY SHOULD NOT SUBSIDIZE FOR-PROFIT,
PRIVATE LEAGUES WHOSE EVER CHANGING
DEMOGRAPHICS WOULD INFLUENCE PLAYERS
FROM OUTSIDE SEATTLE
- ④ WHERE IS THE BUDGET? PARKS DEPT.
SHOULD NOT GET A FREE PASS ON
BUDGET REVIEW.
- ⑤ THE FUNDS COME FROM RESIDENTS OF NEIGHBORHOODS
WHO THOUGHT THAT "PRO-PARKS" ~~WAS~~ WOULD
IMPROVE THEIR PROPERTY VALUES, NOT DESTROY THEM.
- ⑥ SHOW RESPECT FOR NEIGHBORHOODS. DO
THINGS FOR THEM, NOT AGAINST OR TO THEM.

Sincerely,



Print Name:

Address:

Tel:

VICTOR J. BARRY DDS
600 BROADWAY #330
SEATTLE, WA 98122

E-Mail:

CHAIR, MAGNOLIA/
QUEEN ANNE DISTRICT
COUNCIL

206-325-0164



USA Track & Field • The National Governing Body for Track & Field, Long Distance Running and Race Walking

President
Bill Roe

Vice President
Dee Jensen

Secretary
Darlene Hickman

Treasurer
Ed Koch

CEO
Craig Masback

To members of the City Council:

Re: Support of the Mayor's and the Parks Department's Recommendations on the JAFDP and lighting policies.

We are at the end of an 18-month process. We have had many public meetings and heard from the public. We are in support of the Mayor and Parks Department's Recommendations on the JAFDP and lighting policies.

This has been a very long process. I have been there every step of the way. Please give us lights on fields at the hours recommended. Our active youth, adults and seniors deserve field usage. We can get maximum field usage with lights. And our streets are safer if we keep youth, and adults busy in wholesome activities during the evening hours.

I believe the Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program should be adopted in its present form. I endorse the Sport Participation Policy, and commend the Mayor and the Parks Department for its sincere efforts in developing useful Lighting Design Guidelines and procedures. I also believe that good ideas and input has come out of the public process.

I believe that the Parks Department should maintain the existing 11 PM shut-off time and determine modified hours of use on a field-by-field basis on new fields only, if needed.

I live on QA hill and I think it is a disservice not to have lights at Queen Anne Bowl. The active runners and athletes that live on Q A deserve the extended usage of a park that has been there for years.

Thank you for your consideration.

**Darlene Hickman
Vice President, Seattle Sports Advisory Council
National Secretary, USA Track & Field Federation**

SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL
PUBLIC HEARING ON FIELD LIGHTING
August 7, 2002

The levy presented to the voters in the November 7, 2000 election stated that the purposes were improvement, maintenance, development and new neighborhood parks, green spaces, play fields, trails and boulevards; and funding safe out of school senior activities. Total cost of the levy is \$198,000,000 plus \$1,980,000 interest all totaling \$200,180,000. Reference is made of development of Woodland Park and facilities for seniors.


The legislation is written in vague and less than forthright manner. I believe voters interpreted the levy language in light of their past experience with public parks as beautiful relaxing place in which to casually play catch with their family and grand children without commercial presence experienced else-where. In light of present events, this illusion appears to have been a trap in order to obtain tax dollars, to funnel into special interests by allocating the funds to provide synthetic turf, bright high intensity tower lighting and keeping the fields fully lighted in the residential neighborhood areas until 11 o'clock at night, to accommodate the whims of soccer teams. I don't believe the levy would never have passed if the voters had known what the Park Department intended to do.

High intensity lighting towers cheering crowds, air horns, etc. disrupt the peaceful atmosphere of homes in neighborhoods for blocks around the play field. If voters were asked to support a levy for street improvements and upon approval find that the city is building drag strips in the neighborhoods with high intensity lighting to accommodate dragster enthusiasts. Everyone would agree that this could never happen. Wrong! It has happened with the Parks Department late night sports programs which is every bit as disruptive as a drag strips would be in the neighborhoods

I visited Sandpoint / Magnuson Park last weekend. Large soccer fields and soccer hanger were entirely empty except for a couple of children flying kites in the field and a lady walking a dog.

I would like to suggest that the council make an independent study to determine the weekly number of game hours required and the number of field hours available including weekends and week days up to 9 PM. To date the teams have refused to play on weekends claiming it to be inconvenient. I would not be surprised to if there would be a large surplus of field hours available if week ends were utilized for the games. It makes good fiscal sense (especially at this time of tight budgets) to insure that facilities are fully utilized before spending scarce tax dollars to build more.

I urge the City Council to make an independent determination regarding the need of more soccer facilities. Restrict lighting no later than 9 PM. and show support for the neighborhoods which I feel were tricked into supporting the levy and are now being punished as a result for their trust in their city government. Now is the time for the City Council to step in and make things right!



George H. Taft, PE
3410 W. Barrett, Seattle WA 98199-2623
Phone: 206/ 283-6080, FAX: 206/ 286-8924
Email: gtaft@earthlink.net

Jerry E. Thonn
11040 35th Avenue NE
Seattle, WA 98125
(206) 329-0715

August 1, 2002

Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
President, Seattle City Council
600 4th Avenue, Suite 1100
Seattle, WA 98104

Re: Proposed Meadowbrook Playfield Lighting

Dear Peter:

I believe that your decisions concerning the proposed lighting plans will be one of your most important with regard to neighborhood preservation and quality of life issues. Unfortunately, you may not be well-served by advice from the growth-oriented Park Department staff or the well-orchestrated and well-organized sports field lobbyists.

The Department proposes to erect 10 82' poles and 2 95' poles at Nathan Hale field and, across the street, 8 73' poles at the Jane Adams playfield. Each pole will support a stadium style bank of high intensity lights. They propose to operate these until 11:00 p.m., seven nights per week.

There are three fenced, astroturfed fields at Meadowbrook, two at Jane Adams and one at Nathan Hale with permanent bleachers. The Department report indicates that the projected use of the Adams/Hale complex will be 11,650 hours per year – far more than any other area except Magnuson. This would indicate almost 32 hours of use per day (10.6 hours per field) for 365 days per year. The usage could exceed that of Safeco Field, Seahawks Stadium and Husky Stadium combined.

I would suggest the following points:

- 1) These are not intended to serve the Neighborhood or the school needs. The fields will be rented to groups from other areas – some from outside the City.
- 2) The effect on the neighborhood will be devastating – noise, light pollution, traffic and parking congestion.

Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
August 1, 2002
Page 2

- 3) This quiet, shaded, peaceful Neighborhood by Thornton Creek will suddenly be transformed into a destination stadium multiplex use area.

I am appalled by the suggestion of Superintendent Bounds that he does not want or need the advice of the City Council on these important issues. This unmitigated arrogance is reflected in the Departments' refusal to modify its proposals in regard to 11:00 p.m. lighting or seven day use.

I am also appalled at the Department's apparent willingness to destroy the character of this neighborhood without a hearing and without consideration of the true impact of its decision.

I would suggest that the City Council order:

- 1) An environmental impact process to consider all aspects of this monumental decision including traffic, parking, noise, and light pollution.
- 2) Request studies and information concerning who will be using this complex and what percentage will be Seattle residents.
- 3) A Reconsideration of the policy decision regarding height and number of poles, hours of use, and days of use.

It is clear that the Departments' proposal will have a devastating impact on this quiet neighborhood – without benefit of a neighborhood hearing or an environmental impact process.

In a time of fiscal and energy shortfalls, this program should be curtailed. At very least, the quantity and height of lights and the time of use should be sharply reduced. Let's make this project serve the schools and the Neighborhoods.

Please try to save this Neighborhood.

Very Truly Yours,


Jerry E. Thonn

cc: Members, Seattle City Council

Jerry Thonn
11040 35th Ave NE
Seattle WA 98125
Tel. (206) 329-0715

Remarks to the City Council
August 7th, 2002

My name is Jerry Thonn. My wife and I recently moved into our retirement house on Thornton Creek about one-half block from the Nathan Hale school.

This is a quiet neighborhood of relatively modest homes located along the tree-shaded 35th Avenue arterial. Incidentally, I had a small part, some 30 years ago, in selecting this arterial for roadway improvements, street-tree planting and underground wiring. The trees are now quite mature and provide a pleasant overhead canopy.

This is a nature-loving area, framed by the confluence of two branches of Thornton Creek, which still provides a habitat for 5 species of trout and salmon, as well as for beaver, raccoon, and many wild birds. A large blue heron likes to perch on our deck railing in the winter months.

The Park Department now proposes to erect some 20 steel poles bearing batteries of stadium lights, which will be some 73' to 95' high – far above the height of other structures in the

community. Furthermore, it proposes to operate a 3-field sports complex until 11pm at night, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year.

It is clear that the proposed usage goes far beyond the needs of the schools, the neighborhood, or even north Seattle. We don't object to seasonable school or neighborhood uses. We do object to the City of Seattle creating an expensive, destructive, for-rent facility in the middle of a residential neighborhood.

The artificial turf fields are already in place and an ominous 8- to 10-foot black cyclone fence has been installed around all fields; access from the neighborhoods is inhibited by chained gates. Robert Frost would not need to reflect about who we are fencing in or who we are fencing out. The answer is clear: the neighbors are fenced out.

It is appalling and ridiculous that this devastating project may go ahead without an environmental impact process. It is equally appalling and ridiculous that the Department suggests to you that this is an administrative matter with which the Council should not concern itself. There is no law, policy, or tradition that suggests that the City Council cannot control the policies of the various Departments. It always has and I hope it always will.

Testimony for Seattle City Council Hearing

August 7, 2002

Submitted by:

Elizabeth Harbaugh

10400 32nd Ave NE

Seattle, WA 98125

206-522-3921

*While I do support the development of safer more
playable fields, I am against the addition of lights
and would like to speak to that.*

As a soccer coach, a member of a family who avidly plays baseball and soccer, a wildlife advocate and a neighbor of the Nathan Hale/Jane Addams fields, I feel caught in the middle of being both the us and the them. At the same time it has helped me realize that this program CAN succeed if the needs of those who play organized sports, those who live near sports fields, and the wildlife who use green spaces nearby are balanced.

*Eliz
AG*

I have four recommendations that I ask you to consider:

- 1) Use a phased in approach for lighting installation. Look at the MINIMUM number of lighted fields needed. For phase 1, install all weather surfaces as planned but at least in Northeast Seattle, begin by lighting just one field, not two at Hale/Addams, and just a few fields at Magnuson. Look at use, costs and impact. Then determine if other fields need to be lit with a committee that includes sports supporters, neighbors and wildlife advocates.
- 2) Require staggered hours of use, including days of no lights, as a mitigating measure that MUST be included. I want you to know that if there were no lights on Saturday or Sunday evenings at newly lit fields, sports teams would not be significantly affected. This is a time that most teams don't even want to play! The addition of lights to playing fields dramatically changes the character of neighborhoods. Weekend use starts at 8 am - I can't imagine continual noise and cars for 15 hours until 11pm. Ultimately, staggered hours, with some nights of no lights, will be the only way that a balance can truly be created.
- 3) Demand an updated scheduling system to optimize use, tracking and scheduling. I believe that with an efficient system, lit fields could be turned out by 9pm Monday through Friday, with no lights on Saturday and Sunday.
- 4) Be consistent with policy implementation. If the noise ordinance is set for 10pm how can this program allow noisy activities in quiet neighborhoods until 11pm? And if other departments are being asked to cut back and clearly outline costs, how can this program develop lit fields when fields on weekend days sit empty? And finally, pass policies and a plan based on typical field use, and not on fall use, when fields will always be in demand because of youth soccer.

Thank you for your time and thank you for helping to make this a program that works for everyone and works for the long term.

JAFDP Violates Seattle COMP Plan 2000

- **"Listen to the citizens of our city and involve them from the beginning in decisions affecting the future of their parks and recreation system, especially in their neighborhoods." (p. 11, Comp Plan 2000)**

Most neighborhoods learn about plans to install synthetic turf or lights after the bulldozers show up or after the Master Use Permit signs go up. Neighbors are left out of the pre-funding stages of discussion.

- **Increase "opportunities for public involvement" and "sensitive management of public lands, waters, and facilities" (p. 11, Comp Plan 2000)**

JAFDP ignores environmental concerns and has plans to install lights next to wildlife habitat.

- **"Provide scheduling services to ensure balanced opportunities for access to facilities by Department program, non-profit leagues or organizations, and drop-in users." (p. 17, Comp Plan 2000)**

There are no plans to include drop-in, unscheduled use of fields for citizens who don't pay a fee to belong to leagues. Parks wants to run fields like a business, maximizing return on investment. User fees for synthetically turfed and lighted fields, however, don't begin to cover actual construction costs, let alone replacement costs. Synthetic turf costs a minimum of \$1.2 million per field just for the material and it lasts 10-15 years. Lights cost between \$300,00-\$600,000 per field.

- **"Operating hours will be flexible and dependent on local conditions and needs, but sensitive to potential impacts on facility neighbors." (p. 18, Comp Plan 2000)**

JAFDP ignores impacts of fields on local communities. When the one concession to neighbors was proposed in the JAFDP, to end some sports play at 10 pm instead of 11 pm, the sports leagues demanded the return of the 11 pm cutoff time. And they got their way; the Parks Commission quickly voted to restore the 11 pm cutoff time.

- **City Open Space & Recreation Facility goals (p. 37, Comp Plan 2000):**

- **Breathing Room Open Space:
1 Acre per 100 residents**
- **Usable Open Space:
1/4 to 1/2 acres within 1/4 to 1/2 mile of every resident**

- **Recreational Facilities (pools and athletic fields):**
see Parks & Rec Plan 2000

The JAFDP destroys passive open space in favor of round-the-clock scheduling of athletic fields.

- **"Update the Joint Athletic Field Development Program. Consider improvements to selected fields (such as use of synthetic turf and floodlighting) to increase scheduling capacity, but only where adverse impacts as identified in public involvement processes can be mitigated," (p. 58, Comp Plan 2000)**

The JAFDP includes no mitigation for neighbors of fields.

SUMMER '02 NEWS

FIELD-USE POLITICS

City of Seattle: Superintendent Bounds has still not made a decision whether to turn the lights out early and deny soccer teams the right to play during the late game slots. Please review the information at Co-RecSoccer.com and continue to send your e-mails to Mayor Nickels, Superintendent Bounds and the Seattle City Council, who will be reviewing future field policy and development issues this summer.

King County: After participating in two Metropolitan Task Force Public Meetings, we met with representatives from the County Council and County Executive Simms' office. We believe King County will work with us in an effort to keep the cost effective lighted sand fields open. Lighted sand fields break even on maintenance costs because they generate revenue year round! Continue to send your e-mails to County Executive Simms, Parks Chair Carolyn Edmonds, Budget Chair Larry Phillips and the King County Council.

SATURDAY CLINICS & PLAYER PLACEMENT GAMES

Co-Rec Soccer offers a drop-in clinic and a game every Saturday morning of the year, rain or shine! League orientation, individual coaching and drills begin at 10am. A full-field refereed game starts at 11am. Register at the field with Assistant Manager Mark Bishop, Aaron Kinion or Inti Valverde.

All teenagers who are interested in starting a Co-Rec Teen League may participate in any of our Saturday clinics for free during the Summer '02 Season. Parents of participants must fill out our Membership Agreement Form and liability waiver before their teens will be allowed to play. Beginning and experienced players are both welcome. We coordinate up to 448 teams (many play year-round) in over 65 divisions. Players meet managers and other players and may be placed onto existing or new teams.

DAY: Every Saturday of the year! Come dressed to play in red or white.
TIME: 10am to 12pm League orientation, individual coaching, drills and scrimmage.

Or... 11am to 1pm ... Scrimmage and full-field game with a referee.

FEE: \$20 for any 4 Saturdays in '02. Register at the field.
Co-Rec Soccer managers are free!

PLACE: Lower Woodland #2 - Stone Way N. & 50th N., Seattle
Lower Woodland #7 - Stone Way N. & Green Lake Way, Seattle

CO-REC TEAMS FORMING THRU SIX WEEK CLASS

Never played before, or haven't played in years? Great! Co-Rec Soccer is offering a six week class on Thursdays for you and your friends, beginning or experienced, who would like to create a Co-Rec (coed) team for the following season that will play on the weeknights or weekends.

You will learn the Association's Reckless Play rules (designed to lower the risk of injury), develop individual skills and play in scrimmages. The classes include ongoing coaching, offensive and defensive strategies, and team development. The teams formed will then join the Fall '02 Season and play all 4 seasons per year!

Thursdays: 6:30 - 8:30pm 7/11/02 - 8/15/02

Contact the U of W's Experimental College at (206) 685-3276. Register for Course #3206 Co-Recreational Soccer Teams located in the Sports & Rec. section of their Summer catalogue. \$36 general public, \$30 UW students.

CO-RECSOCCER.COM

Surf the internet for Co-Rec Soccer's current standings, field directions, political action updates and more! Our web site address is the title above.



Goalkeeper Evan T. Angus Cottingham (top right) solidified the defense to help the team Breakaway to score several victories in their Division 5,3,2 and 1 Championship Games. Managed by LeAnn Sahlin (bottom right), the team includes four married / engaged couples, all soccer fanatics, and will challenge Socceratic Method for the top Co-Rec division title this spring.

REFEREES WANTED

Experienced players or referees who are willing to enforce Co-Rec Soccer's Reckless Play rules may apply now. Weekly try-outs are held at casual games in a supportive atmosphere. \$40 for a single game, \$65 for two games, paid every two weeks. Call (206) 329-1548 for information.

CO-REC SOCCER ASSOCIATION

The Co-Rec Soccer Association is for players who welcome the challenge of fair play rules that encourage finesse, skill, and strategy over brute force. In 1982 we began by coaching nine players who enjoyed our style of soccer. We now manage 7,000 people (up to 448 teams per season) every week of the year.

Whether it's for the recreation and exercise, or for the opportunity to compete with other top players in greater Seattle, one of our approximately 65 divisions will fit the needs of your team. We also provide customized schedules designed around specific requests. Our proofread schedules have resulted in every team being sent to the right field at the right time in all our games (over 77,000) since we began in 1982.

Co-Rec Soccer employs 7 office staff and 60 referees. Potential referees are evaluated by reputable senior refs who accompany them during tryout games. They are then monitored from the input of team managers on referee evaluation forms. Our referee confirmation system has resulted in an excellent referees' attendance record.

Also, the referees call in the score and game reports after each game. This helps us identify players who repeatedly get cards for rough play. Unsportsmanlike or dangerous players, players who give false I.D. to the referee, and players creating fouls that result in serious injuries risk being suspended for 4 weeks or more, or expelled from the Association without a refund. Players who threaten the referee and players who fight may receive a 1 year minimum suspension. Please read our Reckless Play rules and discuss them with your teammates before registering your team.



McKinley Blues Div. 4 manager Phil Darlington (bottom right) after balling in the mud to their 3-0 Winter '02 Championship win over the Trick Magic. Beginning in Div. 8, the team has won three championships and will be in Div. 20 this spring. They will play in Div. 2 this Summer.

TEAM NAMES & DIVISIONS

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 1
Breakaway
FTI-Roanoke
Mustangs
Rumpelstiltskin
Socceratic Method
Spelberg
Strategy
Tall Paul & The Banbow Pirates

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 2A
FC Clash
FC Hart Crowder
Gang Green
Home Cook'n
O'Shea's
Tsunami

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 2B
Beached Belugas
Coopers United
Dirty Bar
Last Call
Last Ditch Effort
Rock Bottom Brewers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 2C
Beck's Fine Steaks And Seafood
Big Daddy's Place
E Team
GS FC
Ice Weasels
Rockers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 3A
Wednesday

Green Manalisha
McCorry's

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 3B
3 Ugly Ones
BC Silver Bullets
FC Collective
Foot Fetish
Say Uncle
Sweeney's Meanies
Who's Your Daddy?
XYZ

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 4A
Any Given Monday
Carulean Orbs
Football Moto
Mystery Machine
Shake It Lul
TREC

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 4B
Big Balou
Blue Lightning V
Flying Circus
RealNetworks Sound
Seattle Stackers
Shaka
Shinshank Redemption
Spruce Trees
Team Bang
Team Chi
Team MITHUN

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 4C
Elysian Brew Pub
ESPN.com
Injured Reserve
On The Ball
PWC
Zeeks Pizza

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 5A
Champions Of Winning Super
Diablos Rojas
Hail Nuts
Imposters
OBD
Wedgwood Ale House

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 5B
Chunder Bay
Dead Tired
Hamster Attack
Landsharks
Malum Insane
Red Hooks
Roanoke FC Pugs
Streamline
Team
Tom Geiger's Army

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 5C
Accenture
Black & Blue
Geltin' Jiggy
Here For The Beer
Isotopes
Leaning Jowlers
Magic Carpet
Panthers
Roanoke FC
Triangle Pub Supermodels
"X" The Unknown

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 5D
Baugh Skanks FC
Blue Lightning IV
Evasive Eleven
Flying Dutchmen
Happy Hour Hooligans
Missing Persons

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6A
Cosmo
Dirt Devils
FC Bok Choy
FC Chuckie
FC Hedgepodge
IBU FC
MADNESS
Pleasure Palace
Red Card Ale
Slackers
Team Out

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6B
Feast Or Famine
Loons
Monday Night Fever
Photons
Schmidt United
Spillane

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6C
Beaumonts
Cats Go Meow
Gas House Gorillas
Linda's Tavern
Mudgarden
Reckless Abandon

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6D
Acapulco Gol
FC Bailey
Inter The Pub
Killer Toristas
Krazy Krunkazees
That Team

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6E
Bill Pierre F.C.
Callions aka Deer
Mud Stain
Positions Optional
Swedish Scrubs
Wham!

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 6F
L'Cham
Pete's Nightmare
Screaming Red Machine
Spino's Killer Rabbits
Yellow Fever
Zouk Machine

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7A
Diversions FC
drugstore.com
Expedia.com Red Eyes
FC Aldo
Green Lantern
Purple

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7B
Bazooka Joe
Earthcorps
Loose Cannons
Pep Jedisn
Smalleys
Zoom

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7C
Cutters
Kick Arsenal
Monkey Angels FC
Plan 9
Shootin' Blanks
Team Onyx

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7D
Amazing Wildbeasts!
Captura
FC Black Mesa
Fred
Hoi Poloi
Skinny Legs & All

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7E
Big House
Bootleggers
FC Mad Pizza
Godzilla United
No CLU
Old Snowy

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7F
Drel
Field Hazard
Football Follies
Satsuma Ball Wrestlers
Slugs Of Mystery
Tang With A Bang

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 7G
Calle Ladio
Few And Far Between
Friends And...
Full Monkey
Knuckleheads
URS United

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8A
Bernie's Hedgepodge
Black Dog Jr.
Hit & Miss
Pissoso Triggerfish
Soccer Puppets
ZymoGenetics

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8B
Northern Aggression
Orange Crush
Que Pasa
ReAgent Orange
Sofa Kings
Steal Toad

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8C
Green Grizzlies
Mud, Sweat & Beers
SC SP
Squirrelicious
Trendwest FC
VMRC Vortexers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8D
FC Rastatouille
Mother Ship
Papaya Punters
Red Menace
Run Red Run
Slamm'n' Shootin' Slugs

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8E
FC Maling
Green Grinches
KirkowJenningsInc.
Moss Adams LLP
Red Devils
Voodoo

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8F
About Mandarin
Better Off Red
Chard
Cheddar
Squididazzlers
Woody Buggers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 8G
Adobe Ackerslays
Chaos United
FC Doom
KPMG Krunchers
MultyvannyG2
Tub Thumpers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9A
FC D'oh!
FC Flowbe
Hillers
Purpicious
Star-Spanpled Monkey Machines
Turbulence

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9B
Bavarian Bandits
Big Tub O' Goo
Chico's Ball Bonds
Felsly Feet
Invisible Storm
Manchester Divided

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9C
click2learn
FC OTAK
Mudpuppies
Ryther Child Center
Two Short
Vandelay Industries

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9D
Ack Chool
Bulldogs
Clockwork Yellow
Hebbie Jeebies
Kind Of Blue
Squirrel Nut Kickers

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9E
Comedy Of Errors
FC Legion
Jitterbugs
Just For Fun
NCAA DIV I Red Shirts
Worker Bees

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9F
FC GoTech
Garden Belgars
Purple Haze
Six Degrees
Smurf Attack
We're Better On Grass

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIV 9G
Atomic Fireballs
FC Toad
Mayhem
Orange Monkey Dishwashers
Purple Hairs
Purple Reign

30 & OVER WN CO-REC DIV 1
ICOS
Loco Motion
Looking For Grass
Moby Squimel
Murphy's Pub Rovers FDC 1994
Piacora's Slugs

30 & OVER WN CO-REC DIV 2
Bruisers
FC Bob
Fiddlers
Futbol Central
Team Sol
Toxic Avengers

30 & OVER WN CO-REC DIV 3
Energizers
FC Dust Cloud
Natural Devices
Newt's Nightmare
Orange Kool-Aid
Puddle Jumpers

30 & OVER WN CO-REC DIV 4
Decomps United
Hazards
Hansons Masonry
Pacific Crest
Reddy Ready For A Sub
Wiggin' Taters

30 & OVER WN CO-REC DIV 5
CD & L
Great Scott!
NELA Walters
Nightcrawlers
Starbucks Double Shot (TM)
Yam I Am

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 1
Blue Lightning
Braumbies
Culo And The Gang
Dreamers
Jatsons
Scrambled Leggs

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 2
Big Red
Charlie's Angels
Cutting Crew
Dora Doral
FC Convenience Store
Kamikazes
NW Haze
Oxymorons
Skip 'N Go Naked
Social Rejects
Spam Egg Sausage & Spam

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 3
Blue Fish
George
Hot Pants FC
Jailbreak
Simple People
Wrecking Crew

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 4
Dressed I-Formation
Magic Hat
Pain In The Grass
Rancheros
Rochambeau
Sunday Funnies

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 5
22 Left Feet
Azul
Black Dog
Love Monkey
Tumbleweeds
Wheezers

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 6
Crazy Monkey Attack
En Fuego
Hot Wings
Soccer Ladies
Spanking Machine
Tighty Whites

WEEKEND CO-REC DIV 7
Amigos
Citrus United
Crazy Legs
Daddy Pantzz
Goonies
Hand Of God
Mars Attacks
Naranja Mecanica
Whatever
Yellow Jello

30 & OVER WE CO-REC DIV 1
Gamaka
Hip Replacements
Ruthless Tiki Gods
Sinister Muffin People
Solid Gold Dancers
Taler Babies

WOMEN'S LEAGUE DIV 1
Athenas
Dirty Ones RCSC
Emerald City Sounders
Mr. Dog
Northwest Naturals
Pussyfoots

WOMEN'S LEAGUE DIV 2
Bainbridge United
Blue Lightning SC VII
FC Extreme
Fox Force
Fred's XXs
Headers
Joans
Nothin' But Crossbar
Piranhas
Seven-Eleven
Steel Toes

WOMEN'S LEAGUE DIV 3
Charlotte's Starlettes
Fembot
Girls To Women
Seattle Fitness Kicks
Soccer Betty's
Twanda

30 & OVER WOMEN'S DIV 1
Elements
Flames
Generic Women
Girls Night Out
Hornets
Lungchicks
Red Alert
Scout

MEN'S LEAGUE DIV 1
Add It Up
Ballard Free-Rangers
Batipol
Coppers
FC Globo
FC Pilaner
howspicy.com
Lemonheads
Royal Flush
World United SC
Yella!

MEN'S LEAGUE DIV 2
Blue Lightning SC VI
Centurons
FC Cosmos
FC Sib Good Times Co-op
FC Sure Shot
Mersey Blues
Quattro Formaggio
Stonewall RCSC
Toil
Wired FC

MEN'S LEAGUE DIV 3
Bloodhounds
Boias FC
Cannonballers
Effortless
Spider Chia
Sweet Fancy Moses

MEN'S LEAGUE DIV 4
Blew By You
Club TBC (Ram Bighorn)
FC Blue Hogs
Hat Trick Magic
Inter FC
Islanders
Law Dangs
Net Affect
Racer X
Red Tide

MEN'S LEAGUE DIV 5
Art Institute of Seattle
Bodagovs
Danny Nutters
FC Chaos
FC Teddy's Tavern
Lucky Dogs
Mojo Joos
Nimble FC
Prophecy Of Rage
Ripple Effect
Those Guys

CO-REC SOCCER ASSOCIATION REGULATIONS - Excerpts

XI. Reckless Play Rule

1. Reckless play that endangers any player is a foul... even when unintentional. Reckless play fouls include, but are not limited to...
 - a. tripping, kicking, pushing or colliding into players while "going for the ball"
 - b. slide tackling
 - c. kicking or running into the goalkeeper before, during or after playing the ball
 - d. running out of control, or too fast, and almost colliding with the goalkeeper
 - e. dangerously jumping over the goalkeeper
 - f. going through opponents, as a goalkeeper, to get the ball
 - g. bicycle-kicking
 - h. running into players that have just passed the ball
 - i. heading the ball from any other direction than straight up
 - j. ...at of control slipping or sliding
2. Reckless play fouls may be called even if there is no contact.
3. The penalty is a direct free kick.
4. Dangerous or repeated fouling of goalkeepers will be penalized by a yellow or red card and may carry a fine of \$25.

XII. Break-Away Rule

1. A player who fouls an opposing player in a break-away or goal scoring position will automatically be penalized by a red card.

XIII. Injuries

1. A player creating a foul that results in a serious injury risks ejection from the game, suspension, being fined, probation, or expulsion. CO-REC SOCCER retains the right to suspend a player even if a card was not issued.
 - a. Players involved in injuries must give their names to the referee.
 - b. Managers from both teams must report the injury.

XIV. Cards

1. Cautions (Yellow Cards)
 - a. First Yellow Card
 1. After a player receives a yellow card they must verbally give their name to the referee then substitute out of the game. They must wait for the NEXT dead ball for their team and show their legal identification in the form of a Driver's License, State of Washington Legal I.D. Card, Passport, or Immigration Green Card to the referee.

2. If a player does not have "legal I.D." they may not substitute back into the game.
3. The referee may decide to wait for the subsequent dead ball before checking "legal I.D."
4. The referee has the discretion to NOT let the player return to the game.
 - a. The team may substitute a different player into the game.
- b. Second Yellow Card
 1. The player may not return to the game.
 - a. The team may substitute a different player into the game.
- c. After a player receives three yellow cards for one team within one season there is a:
 1. Minimum penalty - The player must miss the next game of each team that they are on.
2. Ejections (Red Cards)
 - a. Minimum penalty - The player must miss the next game of each team that they are on.
 - b. If a man gets a red card a man cannot substitute for a woman for the rest of the game.
 - c. If a woman gets a red card a woman cannot substitute for a man for the rest of the game.
 - d. Managers must present a driver's license or other "legal I.D." for each red carded player to the referee at half-time or the end of the game.
3. Maximum Cards
 - a. A player receiving four or more cards may be suspended from all teams.
4. Players and managers must keep a record of the number of Yellow and Red Cards a player has received and must comply with the penalties. If a suspended player plays in a game they were supposed to miss, the game may be forfeited and the player may be expelled from CO-REC SOCCER. CO-REC SOCCER reserves the right to suspend, fine, place on probation, or expel players or teams without a refund.
5. Penalties carry over into the following season.
6. Players who threaten the referee verbally or physically will be suspended or expelled.
7. Players who give the referee a false name may be expelled.
8. Spectators who threaten the referee verbally or physically must be escorted by their team from the park or the game will be forfeited.

FIELDS & ABBREVIATIONS

CL	Cleveland High School - Grass 13th Ave. S. & S. Lucile St., Seattle	MAG N	Magnuson Park - Grass	RB	Rainier Beach High School - Synthetic Turf Rever Ave. S. & S. Henderson St., Seattle
DR	Delridge Playfield - All-weather sand / Lights S.W. Oregon & Delridge-Way S.W., Seattle (West Seattle)	MAG S	Sand Point Way N.E. & 65th N.E., Seattle (MAG N - north field, MAG S - south field)	RR	Ron Potts Park - All-weather sand / Lights 1501 Orcas Ave. S.E., Renton (I-405 to Hwy. 169, go south for 3 miles, left on 145th Ave. S.E. left on Orcas Ave. S.E.)
EHS	Eastgreen High School All-weather sand / Lights 630 S.W. 116th, Seattle (Burien)	MD#1	Meadowdale Playfields All-weather sand / Lights 168th St. S.W. & 68th Ave. W., Lynnwood (I-5, Exit #183, go west) (MD#1 - closest field, MD#2 - far field)	RWE	Robinson Park - Grass / Lights 2432 148th Ave. S.E., Bellevue (RWE - east field, RW W - west field)
FD#9	Fort Dent - All-weather sand / Lights Interurban Ave. S. & Fort Dent Way, Tukwila (I-5, Exit #158, go south)	ML	Miller Playfield - All-weather sand / Lights 20th E. & E. Republican, Seattle (Capitol Hill, east of Group, Heath)	SE	Seattle Stadium - Synthetic Turf S.W. Thistle St. & 27th Ave. S.W., Seattle (West Seattle)
GEN L	Gonness Playfields All-weather sand	MM#1	Margenoor Park - All-weather sand / Lights 6046 W. Lake Sammamish Parkway N.E., Redmond (off Hwy. 520)	SL#A	Shoreline Center Park - All-weather sand / Lights 1st Ave. N.E. & N. 190th, Shoreline (SL#A - north field, SL#B - south field)
GEN U	Synthetic Turf 46th S. & S. Gonness, Seattle	MM#2	Redmond (off Hwy. 520)	SL#B	Shoreline Center Park - All-weather sand / Lights 1st Ave. N.E. & N. 190th, Shoreline (SL#A - north field, SL#B - south field)
GLWN	Grass Lawn Park - All-weather sand / Lights N.E. Old Redmond Rd. & 148th Ave. N.E., Redmond (Hwy. 520, 148th Ave. N.E. Exit, go north)	MTR#1	Mountlake Terrace Field #1 All-weather sand / Lights 56th Ave. W. & 222nd Pl. S.W., Mountlake Terrace Located at Evergreen Park (Hwy. 220th St. S.W. Exit, go east)	SMI	South Mercer Island - All-weather sand / Lights S.E. 78th St. & 84th Ave. S.E., Mercer Island (I-90, Island Crest Way Exit, right on S.E. 84th St., left on 84th Ave. S.E.)
GRV	Grandview - All-weather sand / Lights S. 228th St. & Military Rd. S., SeaTac (I-5, S. 200th St. Exit)	MW	Maple Wood Playground - Grass Corson S. & S. Angeline, Seattle (Beacon Hill)	SNST	Sunset Playfield - Cintrex / Lights S. 136th St. & 16th Ave. S., SeaTac (1 block east of Des Moines Memorial Dr.)
GT	Georgetown - All-weather sand / Lights Corson S. & S. Homer, Seattle (Michigan St. Exit #162)	NEWP	Newport Hills Park - Grass / Lights 120th Ave. S.E. & S.E. 60th St., Bellevue (I-405, 112th Ave. S.E. Exit #9)	SP	South Park Playround - Grass 8th S. & S. Sullivan, Seattle
HIAW	Hialeha - All-weather sand / Lights California S.W. & S.W. Lander, Seattle (West Seattle)	NH	Nathan Hale High School - Synthetic Turf 35th Ave. N.E. & N.E. 110th St., Seattle (off Lake City Way)	SUM#1	Summit Playfields - Synthetic Turf 35th Ave. N.E. & N.E. 110th St., Seattle (off Lake City Way, north of Nathan Hale H.S.)
HP	High Point - All-weather sand / Lights 34th Ave. S.W. & S.W. Myrtle, Seattle (West Seattle)	NST#1	North SeaTac Park - All-weather sand / Lights 120th St. & 20th Ave. S., SeaTac (Hwy. 509, S. 128th St. Exit, go east) (NST#1 - north field, NST#2 - south field)	SUM#2	Summit Playfields - Synthetic Turf 35th Ave. N.E. & N.E. 110th St., Seattle (off Lake City Way, north of Nathan Hale H.S.) (Sum#1 - West field, SUM#2 - East field)
ING S	Ingraham High School - Synthetic Turf N. 130th St. & Ashworth Ave. N., Seattle	PET#1	Peterson Park - All-weather sand / Lights 168th Ave. S.E. & S.E. Petrowsky Rd., Renton (Kent Hwy. 167, S.W. 41st Exit, go east on S.W. 43rd St., which becomes S.E. Petrowsky Rd., for 5 miles) (PET#1 - closest field, PET#2 - far field)	SV	Shoreview Park - All-weather sand Innis Arden Way at 155th St., Shoreline (West of Shoreline Community College)
ING#2	(ING S-stadium, ING#1-north field, ING#2-south field)	PET#2	Peterson Park - All-weather sand / Lights 168th Ave. S.E. & S.E. Petrowsky Rd., Renton (Kent Hwy. 167, S.W. 41st Exit, go east on S.W. 43rd St., which becomes S.E. Petrowsky Rd., for 5 miles) (PET#1 - closest field, PET#2 - far field)	TP	Twin Parks Park - All-weather sand / Lights 1st Ave. N.E. & N.E. 155th St., Shoreline
LHS#1	Lynnwood High School All-weather sand / Lights	QA	Queen Anne Playfield - Synthetic Turf 3rd Ave. W. & W. Newell St., Seattle (Queen Anne Hill)	VA	Van Asselt Playround - Grass 32nd S. & S. Myrtle, Seattle (Beacon Hill)
LHS#4	Synthetic Turf / Lights 3001 184th St. S.W., Lynnwood (north of Alderwood Mall)	RAV	Ravensdale Park - All-weather sand / Lights Kent-Kangley Rd. & 272nd Ave. S.E., Ravensdale (I-405 to Hwy. 169, go south for 14 miles, left on Kent-Kangley Rd. - north field)	WI	Widun - All-weather sand / Lights Main St. & 124th Ave. N.E., Bellevue (I-405, N.E. 8th Exit, go east)
LW#2	Lower Woodland #2 - All-weather sand / Lights Stone Way N. & 50th N., Seattle			WP	Washington Park - All-weather sand / Lights Lake Wash. Blvd. E. & E. Madison, Seattle
LW#7	Lower Woodland #7 - All-weather sand / Lights Stone Way N. & Green Lake Way, Seattle				

MON

CO-REC SOCCER ASSOCIATION

WEEKNIGHT CO-REC DIVISION 6B

SUMMER '02

TEAM NAMES	SHIRTS / SHORTS	DAY	DATE	TIME	FIELD	DIV	HOME TEAM	VS AWAY TEAM
Feast Or Famine	White / Black	Mon	6/17	7:15	GEN L	WN6B	Feast Or Famine	vs Spitfire
Loons	Red	Mon	6/17	7:15	LW#7	WN6B	Monday Night Fever	vs Photons
Monday Night Fever	White / Navy Blue	Mon	6/17	8:15	WP	WN6B	Schmidt United	vs Loons
Photons	Yellow	Mon	6/24	6:45	MTR#1	WN6B	Photons	vs Loons
Schmidt United	Red / Black	Mon	6/24	7:40	SMI	WN6B	Monday Night Fever	vs Spitfire
Spitfire	Red / Black	Mon	7/1	8:15	HP	WN6B	Loons	vs Monday Night Fever
		Mon	7/1	6:00	SMI	WN6B	Schmidt United	vs Spitfire
		Mon	7/1	6:30	WP	WN6B	Feast Or Famine	vs Photons
		Mon	7/8	6:45	NST#1	WN6B	Schmidt United	vs Feast Or Famine
		Mon	7/15	8:15	HP	WN6B	Loons	vs Feast Or Famine
		Mon	7/15	9:00	LW#2	WN6B	Spitfire	vs Photons
		Mon	7/15	6:45	MM#4	WN6B	Schmidt United	vs Monday Night Fever
		Mon	7/22	6:30	HIAW	WN6B	Loons	vs Spitfire
		Mon	7/22	6:45	MM#3	WN6B	Schmidt United	vs Photons
		Mon	7/22	8:15	SL#A	WN6B	Feast Or Famine	vs Monday Night Fever
		Mon	7/29	6:30	CL	WN6B	Loons	vs Schmidt United
		Mon	7/29	7:15	LW#2	WN6B	Spitfire	vs Feast Or Famine
		Mon	7/29	8:15	SL#B	WN6B	Photons	vs Monday Night Fever
		Mon	8/5	6:30	GT	WN6B	Loons	vs Photons
		Mon	8/5	6:30	HP	WN6B	Spitfire	vs Monday Night Fever
		Mon	8/5	8:30	NEWP	WN6B	Feast Or Famine	vs Schmidt United
		Mon	8/12	6:15	MD#2	WN6B	Spitfire	vs Schmidt United
		Mon	8/12	6:45	WI	WN6B	Monday Night Fever	vs Loons
		Mon	8/12	7:15	WP	WN6B	Photons	vs Feast Or Famine
		Sat	8/17	1:00pm	ING 5	WN6B	Feast Or Famine	vs Loons
		Sat	8/17	2:45pm	ING 5	WN6B	Monday Night Fever	vs Schmidt United
		Sat	8/17	9:30am	NH	WN6B	Photons	vs Spitfire
		Mon	8/26	6:45	HIAW	WN6B	Spitfire	vs Loons
		Mon	8/26	6:45	MM#2	WN6B	Monday Night Fever	vs Feast Or Famine
		Mon	8/26	8:30	SL#B	WN6B	Photons	vs Schmidt United

NOTES:

1. You may play 2 games in 1 week
2. We recommend wearing "cleats" on grass and all-weather sand fields, and "turf shoes" on synthetic turf fields. See back of page for field surfaces.
3. The home team must change their shirt color in the event of a color conflict.
4. Grace periods of 10 minutes will be included within the first 45 minute half. The clock starts at the kick-off time, but the team has 10 minutes for 7 players to be on the field for the game to be legal. If a team must forfeit, a refereed scrimmage will be played instead.
5. Half-times will vary between 2 and 5 minutes. Ask your referee.
6. At the center circle immediately after the game, team captains must confirm their score as it appears by their team's name on the referee's scorecard.
7. Standings are on the Internet at: Co-RecSoccer.com

CHAMPIONSHIP GAME

The seeding for the Championship Game will be based on the season standings thru Game 10. Managers of the 1st and 2nd Place teams will be called after all the above season games have been played. Please assume that the standings are close and that your team might place in the top 2 teams!

In the event of a tie in the Championship Game, the 1st Place team wins.

Fri 9/6 9:00 LW#2 WN6B 2nd Place vs 1st Place

Sports Specialties



**2319 2nd Ave Seattle, WA 98121
(206)441-8412**

**Open-Tuesday to Saturday 10am to 6pm
Closed Sunday/Monday**

MEMORANDUM OF RESPONSE: 02/25/02 (#2)

TO ERIC FRIEDLI
PLANNING AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR
SAND POINT MAGNUSON PARK
eric.friedli@ci.seattle.wa.us

RE: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS)
SAND POINT MAGNUSON PARK (SPMP); DRAINAGE,
WETLANDS/HABITAT, COMPLEX AND SPORTS FIELDS/COURTS
PROJECT
"Potential Negative Impacts"

FROM: McDonald W. SULLIVAN
REPRESENTATIVE, PARKPOINT CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION (PCA) AND
PARK VOLUNTEER

GENERAL STATEMENT OF SUPPORT

I write the memorandum for the record as the appointed representative of Parkpoint Condominium Association and as an active volunteer in many of the activities and items at issue currently under review and addressed in the EIS that is the subject of this memorandum. Parkpoint is a direct Park neighborhood. It is complex of 130 townhouse Condominiums on approximately 10 acres of land at Sand Point Way and 65th Street NE, directly across from the of the South West corner of SPMP at its SW entry.

For the most part I am highly supportive of the plan. I fully support the drainage, wetland and Habitat conclusions described in the EIS as well as the building of the athletic field, the use of artificial turf. These elements of the plan are very exciting and I commend the Staff of SPMP for the hard work and dedication to principles demonstrated in carrying out these aspects of the study culminating in Draft EIS. I would also like to commend the leadership of the Department of Parks for their wisdom in establishing SPMP as a independent division of the Department of Seattle Parks and extensive effort that they have gone through to involve the neighborhood in the planning and implementation process. I have never found the doors of the members of the SPMP staff closed to my efforts to communicate my ideas or concerns.

I also support and believe that SPMP as an asset of the people of Seattle, for their public use, requires that the Park must be considered a 'Regional Facility', as required by law regarding its use and its rights of access. At the same time SPMP functions as our local and community Park and has the impact (both positive and negative) that local parks can and do have on their neighborhoods. As an active volunteer I seek to strengthen the community side of the Parks role in its dual role as both a 'neighborhood & regional facility' and lessen those impacts that a regional facility will entail by its nature.

In this regard this memorandum discusses the potential negative impacts of the dual 'Park's Regional Roles' as related to the 'Park's Neighborhood Roles' and the conflicts inherent in Park Operation serving dual interest. This memorandum deals with the issue most critical to the immediate neighborhood, impacts relating to lighted nighttime activities at the Park (generally after 9PM), and specifically, the effects caused by lighted fields and their related activities. The 9 to 11 time period, which will be further amplified on, is not a "fixed period" because natural light variations vary greatly. In this memorandum, this two-hour time period is identified to demonstrate issues and concerns, not to rigidly block out on a year-round basis, lighted activities between 9 and 11PM.

Below are summarized 4 specific areas of concern:

- 1) The major issue confronting PCA are the public safety affects caused by extended hours of activities and their affects on traffic both by automobiles and pedestrians after 9PM. Parkpoint is a community consisting mostly of elderly individuals in their 70's and 80's. Widows living alone occupy a number of townhouses. Many have become residents of Parkpoint because our facility has been able to provide them with a level of security they feel comfortable with. After hour activities (9 to 11 PM) will present increased potential of public safety issues that could effect their property and persons.
- 2) A major consequence of field activities (9 to 11PM) on the neighborhood as a whole, also has large potential affects on property values on View Ridge. The EIS does not deal with the 'real facts' of the situation facing the Neighborhood nor the implications or impacts of loss of tax revenues to the County and City Treasury.

- 3) The organizational structure needed to find the proper balance on the issue of "late lights" is not addressed in the Draft EIS; in fact the need to find a balance is not considered because the Draft EIS states incorrectly that the affects are negligible.
- 4) The issue of 'nights lights' specifically in the 9 to 11PM period has the potential of creating hostility between the neighborhood and the Park. More then any other issues, 'lights' burn as the principle item of concern, and for good reason. The cost of creating an impact that will remind people of their hostility, and that is resented as being forced on surrounding homes, is not worth the value of extending playing time under the lights. The comparative value or worth in good feeling, cooperation, volunteer participation, plus lost public tax revenues, is heavily weighted toward the neighborhood, not in 2 hours of playing time under lights. Today the relationship between the Park and the Neighborhood can be generally described as positive. The importance of maintaining a positive neighborhood is encouraged in the Parks management plan. The value of the work of its administration to date is far greater to Parks than the value of extending 'lighted playing time' for regional interest. Parks is encouraged to work with an almost united neighborhood front that seeks compromise of a 9PM cut off time.

In elaborating the four prints above some are self-explanatory, (point 4) and others require additional explanation.

Concerning the issue of public safety. It is accepted in State Crime statistics that the majority of crime is caused by young men of the ages that will use the fields at night. Additionally, public safety problems increase the later after dark activities end. If the time period of "lighted sports activities" was extended beyond 9PM additional police response would be required in emergency situations, ether regarding Public Safety or in other disturbances requiring police response.

PCA believes that reasonable time to request that our residential neighborhood returns to its "quiet state" is 9PM. As a self-governing association, Parkpoint in its "Standards of Conduct" abides by a 9PM standard quiet time, when residents are asked to conduct their affairs in such a manner that others will not be disturbed. As stated above this is especially true of Parkpoint, but it also applies to many of the condominiums that line Sand Point Way, part of the 1700 residential units that make up our larger community. Issues of Public Safety

would have a negative impact on the value of Condominiums Units. If owners and potential buyers do not feel safe or are confronted by statistics of increased problems to their persons and property, an essential element of the perceived value of living at Parkpoint will be lost and values will diminish (or be affected negatively). The approximate gross tax revenues for Parkpoint are \$400,000 per year. Negative impacts created by continuous late night athletic events in the Park and related activities could affect values by 5% to 10%. Over time the diminished tax revenues (even with inflation) could represent annual losses in revenues of between \$20,000 and \$40,000 per year.

The potential 'lost value effect' on Parkpoint is small compared to the homes on View Ridge. The values of houses on View Ridge are increased substantially because of the views they provide the owners. Depending on the properties' view the estimate of increase value can range from 10% to 30%. The relationship between View Ridge values and Sand Point Magnuson Park is special. The Draft EIS demonstrated this by including photo pages, identifying significant viewpoints, and including general comments. However, the Draft EIS fell short of describing the 'sense of connection, the poetry of the site, the joy one gains when driving West to East at the top of the Ridge, the panorama of Mountains, lake and expanse of the Park all laid out before them.

In its description of supporting recreation sports over competitive sports, the reduced number of spectators was cited as an important consideration. That fact that the homes on View Ridge overlooking lighted sports fields can not avoid viewing activities (i.e. being spectators) was never considered. In this regard Sand Point's lighting issue is unlike any other setting and comparisons do not apply. The EIS description of minor impacts is flawed in not suggesting that different hours of the day or night have significant effects, and that season changes or daylight savings will effect the relationship between View Ridge and the Park. The vast majority of our Community does not accept this conclusion. We know it is incorrect. We are seeking valid solution to an important problem that has been inadequately addressed.

This writer accepts the fact that Sand Point will have lighted sports fields. As an ex-athlete I am in support of the sports fields and generally in favor of the sports programs described in the Draft EIS that emphasizes recreational sports, not competitive sports. The difference in of recreational sports vs. competitive sports if managed sensitively can be very great. I feel our children need good fields and I support them.

The Principles of the fields are positive. The potential management of how the fields will be used, the hours, and the affects of extending hours beyond the 9PM cut-off period will produce negative impacts far beyond any value the Park and community gain in extending hours. I believe that the Community will accept 9PM even though it will have some negative impact and will cost the County and City millions in lost revenue over the next decade.

Arriving at Working Base Numbers: Good views add value but the reverse is also true, 'negative views and impacts' diminish value. If View Ridge overlooked a steel mill or industrial area rather the Park and Lake Washington, the same house could command a 10% to 30% negative factor in market value to a base market price without views. The same physical property within the property lines could vary 20% to 60%. Overlooking a steel mill a home identical to a \$500,000 View Ridge property might command only \$200,000 or less. Extending 'lights-on' 15 minutes beyond a "reasonable hour" increases the negative effect on View Ridge property. Lights past a 'reasonable hour' as seen from View Ridge homes on a continuous basis will effect the value most of the homes with the best view.

I have been advised in discussions with real estate professionals that conservatively the number of houses on the Ridge overlooking Sand Point Magnuson Park is in excess of 650. Furthermore I have been given an average value that easily exceeds \$500,000. Taking what I believe to be conservative numbers the 'view factor' of View Ridge has a potential value as follows:

View Value of View Ridge Home = $650 * \$500,000$, multiplied by 0.20 or \$65,000,000. The County tax Levy Rate in the City of Seattle for 2002 is 10.66176. Estimated Gross Tax on the Value attributed to views for 650 homes is = \$ 693,000 per year.

This tabulation is only a 'raw' estimate of the gross value of the views. Many factors can push the numbers either way. A better base number could be established by spreadsheet analysis of the times of the year and how much lighting was used at different times of the day or night, each month requires a separate set of numbers. In addition the amount of value can vary with individual perception, how the home is used etc. and most important, the actions and directions of administration of the lighting in the Park.

The issue here is what is the percentage effect of the '\$693,000 in 'view value' on extending 'lights-on' in different time periods, and what are their equivalent negative affects. The affects on Tax Revenues of certain percentages of the total "view value" are listed below:

1-%	\$6,930 annual revenue loss tax	\$69,300 /10 year lost
3%	\$20,790	\$207,900
5%	\$34,650	\$346,500
10%	\$69,300	\$693,000
15%	\$103,950	\$1,039,500

Having made the assumption that field lights are part of the neighborhood – the question is what is the value to the Park of keeping 'lights-on' beyond time periods that the community believes will have serious adverse effect. Adverse effects will establish, to some extent, in having lights at all. The issue of 'what the neighborhood will tolerate', pushing the levels will clearly negatively effect values in some way (i.e. lowering the values of sales with field lights vs. no lights)

If the Park and the community continue to maintain a positive working relationship, if field lights are managed in a manner that is considered 'reasonable', if the community feels they are participants, not just bystanders to the resolution of problems, the positive aspects of Sand Point Magnuson will outweigh negative and conflicting uses that the Parks regional uses will entail.

MEMORANDUM OF RESPONSE: 02/25/02 (#2)

TO ERIC FRIEDLI
PLANNING AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR
SAND POINT MAGNUSON PARK
eric.friedli@ci.seattle.wa.us

RE: DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT (EIS)
SAND POINT MAGNUSON PARK (SPMP); DRAINAGE,
WETLANDS/HABITAT, COMPLEX AND SPORTS FIELDS/COURTS
PROJECT
"Potential Negative Impacts"

FROM: McDonald W. SULLIVAN
REPRESENTATIVE, PARKPOINT CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION (PCA) AND
PARK VOLUNTEER

GENERAL STATEMENT OF SUPPORT

I write the memorandum for the record as the appointed representative of Parkpoint Condominium Association and as an active volunteer in many of the activities and items at issue currently under review and addressed in the EIS that is the subject of this memorandum. Parkpoint is a direct Park neighborhood. It is complex of 130 townhouse Condominiums on approximately 10 acres of land at Sand Point Way and 65th Street NE, directly across from the of the South West corner of SPMP at its SW entry.

For the most part I am highly supportive of the plan. I fully support the drainage, wetland and Habitat conclusions described in the EIS as well as the building of the athletic field, the use of artificial turf. These elements of the plan are very exciting and I commend the Staff of SPMP for the hard work and dedication to principles demonstrated in carrying out these aspects of the study culminating in Draft EIS. I would also like to commend the leadership of the Department of Parks for their wisdom in establishing SPMP as a independent division of the Department of Seattle Parks and extensive effort that they have gone through to involve the neighborhood in the planning and implementation process. I have never found the doors of the members of the SPMP staff closed to my efforts to communicate my ideas or concerns.

I also support and believe that SPMP as an asset of the people of Seattle, for their public use, requires that the Park must be considered a 'Regional Facility', as required by law regarding its use and its rights of access. At the same time SPMP functions as our local and community Park and has the impact (both positive and negative) that local parks can and do have on their neighborhoods. As an active volunteer I seek to strengthen the community side of the Parks role in its dual role as both a 'neighborhood & regional facility' and lessen those impacts that a regional facility will entail by its nature.

In this regard this memorandum discusses the potential negative impacts of the dual 'Park's Regional Roles' as related to the 'Park's Neighborhood Roles' and the conflicts inherent in Park Operation serving dual interest. This memorandum deals with the issue most critical to the immediate neighborhood, impacts relating to lighted nighttime activities at the Park (generally after 9PM), and specifically, the effects caused by lighted fields and their related activities. The 9 to 11 time period, which will be further amplified on, is not a "fixed period" because natural light variations vary greatly. In this memorandum, this two-hour time period is identified to demonstrate issues and concerns, not to rigidly block out on a year-round basis, lighted activities between 9 and 11PM.

Below are summarized 4 specific areas of concern:

- 1) The major issue confronting PCA are the public safety affects caused by extended hours of activities and their affects on traffic both by automobiles and pedestrians after 9PM. Parkpoint is a community consisting mostly of elderly individuals in their 70's and 80's. Widows living alone occupy a number of townhouses. Many have become residents of Parkpoint because our facility has been able to provide them with a level of security they feel comfortable with. After hour activities (9 to 11 PM) will present increased potential of public safety issues that could effect their property and persons.
- 2) A major consequence of field activities (9 to 11PM) on the neighborhood as a whole, also has large potential affects on property values on View Ridge. The EIS does not deal with the 'real facts' of the situation facing the Neighborhood nor the implications or impacts of loss of tax revenues to the County and City Treasury.

- 3) The organizational structure needed to find the proper balance on the issue of "late lights" is not addressed in the Draft EIS; in fact the need to find a balance is not considered because the Draft EIS states incorrectly that the affects are negligible.
- 4) The issue of 'nights lights' specifically in the 9 to 11PM period has the potential of creating hostility between the neighborhood and the Park. More then any other issues, 'lights' burn as the principle item of concern, and for good reason. The cost of creating an impact that will remind people of their hostility, and that is resented as being forced on surrounding homes, is not worth the value of extending playing time under the lights. The comparative value or worth in good feeling, cooperation, volunteer participation, plus lost public tax revenues, is heavily weighted toward the neighborhood, not in 2 hours of playing time under lights. Today the relationship between the Park and the Neighborhood can be generally described as positive. The importance of maintaining a positive neighborhood is encouraged in the Parks management plan. The value of the work of its administration to date is far greater to Parks than the value of extending 'lighted playing time' for regional interest. Parks is encouraged to work with an almost united neighborhood front that seeks compromise of a 9PM cut off time.

In elaborating the four prints above some are self-explanatory, (point 4) and others require additional explanation.

Concerning the issue of public safety. It is accepted in State Crime statistics that the majority of crime is caused by young men of the ages that will use the fields at night. Additionally, public safety problems increase the later after dark activities end. If the time period of "lighted sports activities" was extended beyond 9PM additional police response would be required in emergency situations, ether regarding Public Safety or in other disturbances requiring police response.

PCA believes that reasonable time to request that our residential neighborhood returns to its "quiet state" is 9PM. As a self-governing association, Parkpoint in its "Standards of Conduct " abides by a 9PM standard quiet time, when residents are asked to conduct their affairs in such a manner that others will not be disturbed. As stated above this is especially true of Parkpoint, but it also applies to many of the condominiums that line Sand Point Way, part of the 1700 residential units that make up our larger community. Issues of Public Safety

would have a negative impact on the value of Condominiums Units. If owners and potential buyers do not feel safe or are confronted by statistics of increased problems to their persons and property, an essential element of the perceived value of living at Parkpoint will be lost and values will diminish (or be affected negatively). The approximate gross tax revenues for Parkpoint are \$400,000 per year. Negative impacts created by continuous late night athletic events in the Park and related activities could affect values by 5% to 10%. Over time the diminished tax revenues (even with inflation) could represent annual losses in revenues of between \$20,000 and \$40,000 per year.

The potential 'lost value effect' on Parkpoint is small compared to the homes on View Ridge. The values of houses on View Ridge are increased substantially because of the views they provide the owners. Depending on the properties' view the estimate of increase value can range from 10% to 30%. The relationship between View Ridge values and Sand Point Magnuson Park is special. The Draft EIS demonstrated this by including photo pages, identifying significant viewpoints, and including general comments. However, the Draft EIS fell short of describing the 'sense of connection, the poetry of the site, the joy one gains when driving West to East at the top of the Ridge, the panorama of Mountains, lake and expanse of the Park all laid out before them.

In its description of supporting recreation sports over competitive sports, the reduced number of spectators was cited as an important consideration. That fact that the homes on View Ridge overlooking lighted sports fields can not avoid viewing activities (i.e. being spectators) was never considered. In this regard Sand Point's lighting issue is unlike any other setting and comparisons do not apply. The EIS description of minor impacts is flawed in not suggesting that different hours of the day or night have significant effects, and that season changes or daylight savings will effect the relationship between View Ridge and the Park. The vast majority of our Community does not accept this conclusion. We know it is incorrect. We are seeking valid solution to an important problem that has been inadequately addressed.

This writer accepts the fact that Sand Point will have lighted sports fields. As an ex-athlete I am in support of the sports fields and generally in favor of the sports programs described in the Draft EIS that emphasizes recreational sports, not competitive sports. The difference in of recreational sports vs. competitive sports if managed sensitively can be very great. I feel our children need good fields and I support them.

The Principles of the fields are positive. The potential management of how the fields will be used, the hours, and the affects of extending hours beyond the 9PM cut-off period will produce negative impacts far beyond any value the Park and community gain in extending hours. I believe that the Community will accept 9PM even though it will have some negative impact and will cost the County and City millions in lost revenue over the next decade.

Arriving at Working Base Numbers: Good views add value but the reverse is also true, 'negative views and impacts' diminish value. If View Ridge overlooked a steel mill or industrial area rather the Park and Lake Washington, the same house could command a 10% to 30% negative factor in market value to a base market price without views. The same physical property within the property lines could vary 20% to 60%. Overlooking a steel mill a home identical to a \$500,000 View Ridge property might command only \$200,000 or less. Extending 'lights-on' 15 minutes beyond a "reasonable hour" increases the negative effect on View Ridge property. Lights past a 'reasonable hour' as seen from View Ridge homes on a continuous basis will effect the value most of the homes with the best view.

I have been advised in discussions with real estate professionals that conservatively the number of houses on the Ridge overlooking Sand Point Magnuson Park is in excess of 650. Furthermore I have been given an average value that easily exceeds \$500,000. Taking what I believe to be conservative numbers the 'view factor' of View Ridge has a potential value as follows:

View Value of View Ridge Home = $650 * \$500,000$, multiplied by 0.20 or \$65,000,000. The County tax Levy Rate in the City of Seattle for 2002 is 10.66176.
Estimated Gross Tax on the Value attributed to views for 650 homes
is = \$ 693,000 per year.

This tabulation is only a 'raw' estimate of the gross value of the views. Many factors can push the numbers either way. A better base number could be established by spreadsheet analysis of the times of the year and how much lighting was used at different times of the day or night, each month requires a separate set of numbers. In addition the amount of value can vary with individual perception, how the home is used etc. and most important, the actions and directions of administration of the lighting in the Park.

The issue here is what is the percentage effect of the '\$693,000 in 'view value' on extending 'lights-on' in different time periods, and what are their equivalent negative affects. The affects on Tax Revenues of certain percentages of the total "view value" are listed below:

1-%	\$6,930 annual revenue loss tax	\$69,300 /10 year lost
3%	\$20,790	\$207,900
5%	\$34,650	\$346,500
10%	\$69,300	\$693,000
15%	\$103,950	\$1,039,500

Having made the assumption that field lights are part of the neighborhood – the question is what is the value to the Park of keeping 'lights-on' beyond time periods that the community believes will have serious adverse effect. Adverse effects will establish, to some extent, in having lights at all. The issue of 'what the neighborhood will tolerate', pushing the levels will clearly negatively effect values in some way (i.e. lowering the values of sales with field lights vs. no lights)

If the Park and the community continue to maintain a positive working relationship, if field lights are managed in a manner that is considered 'reasonable', if the community feels they are participants, not just bystanders to the resolution of problems, the positive aspects of Sand Point Magnuson will outweigh negative and conflicting uses that the Parks regional uses will entail.

From: "Andrew Reddaway" <AReddawa@starbucks.com>
 To: <stephanie.pure@ci.seattle.wa.us>, <Mayors.Office@ci.seattle.wa.us>
 Date: 8/8/02 12:43PM
 Subject: Joint Athletic Field Development Program (JAFDP)

Dear Ms. Pure, members of the Seattle City Council and Mayor Nickels:

I was fortunate to attend the hearing last night regarding the JAFDP, however I had to leave before it was 'my turn' to speak, thus the reason for my e-mail today.

After the hearing, I believe the main arguments for and against the JAFDP can be summarized as follows:

For

- The Co-Rec Soccer Assn. presently has access to only 8 lighted soccer fields in the city. A representative from the Ultimate Frisbee league also mentioned a lack of fields for their sport. I did not hear anyone say that there are insufficient baseball/softball fields (I believe there are 27 in the city). This shortage of soccer fields means that the Co-Red league can only schedule 30% of their games within the city, while the majority of the members live and work within the city
- The soccer fields that we play on in the Co-Rec league are dangerously full of potholes. Hazards that have caused serious injury and will continue to cause serious injury until they are addressed
- Youth soccer leagues often share these lighted fields. I have seen what appears to be upwards of three teams sharing one field for practice near my home in West Seattle, and I heard similar concern from two young ladies who practice at the Lower Woodland and Nathan Hale fields

Against

- The lights are very bright and stay on until 11pm, sometimes later
- The lights potentially harm nearby wildlife
- The fields create noise and parking problems in the neighborhoods
- A small percentage of the population play team sports thus the majority do not utilize the fields
- Synthetic turf is too expensive and this is a waste of the cities budget
- Soccer = 'naked football'

Of course there were other opinions but I believe that all the comments I've outlined above summarize the majority of the opinions I heard last night.

As a life-long soccer player--28 years so far and many more to ahead--I find myself in the 'for' camp yet I sympathize with those 'against.' Either way, I believe there is a win:win solution.

My opinion does not address all of the concerns of those against however please consider:

- If there were more lighted soccer fields, would they even need to be on until 11?
- Noise and parking problems are virtually inevitable around a park setting. Those who choose to live near a park have no right to expect otherwise. Furthermore, noise should only be an issue if it is late at night which I believe it wouldn't need to be if we had sufficient fields (point 1 above)
- The current situation for soccer players means more traffic. We presently drive to Kent and Shoreline and Redmond (etc.) to play 70% of our games. This is fuel wasted. This is money that the city of Seattle loses when our teams go out for a bite after our games. The neighbors of those parks where we play soccer late at night in Kent, Shoreline and Redmond were not invited to the meeting. We, the residents of Seattle who are forced to drive excessive distances to play our beloved sport, are not their problems yet those against don't acknowledge this situation
- A small percentage of the population plays teams sports, sure. So why should so much money go towards this small percentage? Well, a small percentage of the population rides the bus... shall we do away with Metro? A small percentage of the population plays softball... shall we do away with the softball fields? We are a small percentage, yes, but we are only asking for a small chunk of the city's total budget
- Synthetic turf = less injuries. One of our players just broke his leg after stepping in a pothole at Hiawatha playfield. I wonder if he has any right to sue the city for not properly maintaining the field?? Don't

worry, if he was going to he probably would have already. And maybe he doesn't have the right, but it's on my mind so I'm writing it...

* Contrary to comments at the hearing, soccer is not 'naked football' and we are all respectable citizens (most of us citizens of Seattle, by the way)

So to conclude, I believe that there is a small minority on both sides that will be unhappy with your decision, however I am confident that there is a win-win for the majority of both sides. Thank you for considering my views. I genuinely feel that the main issue is that lights are on until 11pm. Hey, with more soccer fields, I don't think the lights need to be on that late.

Thanks for reading...

Andrew Reddaway
project manager - business alliances
* 206-318-7019 direct
* areddawa@starbucks.com
www.starbucks.com

Try not. Do, or do not. There is no try.

Yoda

From: "Karin Stricker" <KStricke@starbucks.com>
To: <stephanie.pure@ci.seattle.wa.us>
Date: 8/8/02 10:35AM
Subject: Written testimony in support of JAFDP

I would like to submit the following testimony in support of the 2002 Joint Athletic Facilities Development Program (JAFDP).

I live and work in Seattle and enjoy playing co-rec soccer. I would like to commend the mayor and the city counsel for considering this program. I have outlined my comments below.

1. Soccer players now play more than half of their weeknight games (6-7 of 10) in cities outside of Seattle and deserve to play all 10 of their games in the city just like the Park Department softball leagues have done for the last 50 years. Softball currently has 27 lighted fields and the most popular sport, soccer, only has 8. In the last six months I have traveled to Renton, Shoreline, Redmond and Seatac to play.
2. The JAFDP has the potential to create a balance between the number of lighted fields provided to softball and the lighted fields provided to soccer. According to the City Auditor the Park Department has so many lighted softball fields that their youth and adults are able to split the use of the fields 50% to 50%. The unfair split between non-department soccer youth and adults is 75% youth to 25% adult. If soccer had as many lighted fields as softball, youth soccer practices could be spread out over more fields so soccer adults could have their fair share of weeknight games. Park policy should treat both sports equitably.
3. The new fields will provide more opportunities for youth and adult sports teams to play and get in shape. There is currently an obesity problem within our population and sports help people stay active longer and lose weight. This in turn makes our society more productive and reduces health expenses.
4. The new fields improve the quality of a sports park. Currently many of the sites are sand and most are in poor condition with huge craters that create a dangerous situation every time some plays on the fields.
5. The synthetic sports fields clean the water! The fields are designed using a deep sand base which filters the rain water as it drains down through the field. Test were done at Thornton Creek by Nathan Hale Playfields and the Park Department has proven that the design works. The creek is getting cleaner every day! We now have fields that will enhance the ecosystem of a sports park. Filtered by the fields the fresh water is then distributed to the plant and animal life which become healthier and stronger. Congratulations to Seattle Parks and their design firms for this achievement.
6. Sports parks attract productive young adults who are active and creative. Many of them leave the city for the amenities of the suburbs. People who live in the congested city need to be able to get out and run, compete and socialize.
7. Developing the fields through the JAFDP supports the business plan outlined by Mayor Nickels who wants local businesses to stay and the city to attract new ones. Sports teams help local businesses by spending money for uniforms, equipment and meals after games. The businesses serving teams will expand as more sports parks are developed. The local businesses will then employ more residents and pay more funds to the City's tax base. Co-Rec Soccer employs over 50 people and has provided the same service for the soccer community for over 20 years (coordinating over 70,000 games) that local government, through the Seattle Parks City Wide Athletics Office and 28 Community Centers, has provided for other sports, such as softball.

Thank you for taking the time to review my comments.

Karin Stricker
3230 SW Avalon Way, #404
Seattle, WA 98126
206.923.0955

RESOLUTION 30530

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and



1 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
2 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
3 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
4 adequately mitigated; and

5
6 WHEREAS, the City Council supports a 10 p.m. shut off time for lighted athletic fields
7 located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening playing hours at
8 some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be increasing with
9 the installation of certain field improvements; and

10
11 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
12 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
13 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
14 existing time slots allocated to adult players; and

15
16 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
17 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
18 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and

19
20 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
21 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
22 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
23 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
24 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
25 Plan; and

26
27 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
28 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
29 for City fields; and

30
31 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
32 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields; and

33
34 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
35 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
36 existing facilities within the City; and

37
38 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
39 SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

40
41 Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in
42 the following manner:

- 43
44 A. Replace old lighting systems where light spills onto adjacent residential properties (this
45 does not include replacing old lighting systems where light does not fall directly onto
46 adjacent residential properties). If there are several fields that fall into this category,
47 Parks can limit the number of lighting replacement projects to 50% of the funding



1 Park's requests during a budget biennium for athletic field improvements (does not
2 include pro parks levy funding or grant specific funding for a particular field).

3
4 B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to
5 adjacent residences relative to other sites and, once those sites have been identified,
6 install improvements at those sites in the following order:

- 7
8 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at
9 those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and
10 synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
11 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have
12 demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet
13 demand relative to other sports.
14 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible
15 and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to
16 other areas.

17
18 Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first
19 quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner.
20 Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above
21 prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding
22 for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why
23 improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of
24 greater priority than other fields.

25
26 Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

27
28 To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall
29 track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a
30 report to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- 31
32 • Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age
33 group
34 • Number of games that organizations play outside the City due to lack of field availability
35 within the City of Seattle
36 • Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
37 • Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
38 • Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league
39 • When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an
40 organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack
41 of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less
42 concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

43
44 For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following
45 information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in
46 hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3)
47 age group that will benefit from increase in hours.



Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

Council requests that Parks turn lights off at 10 p.m. at all City athletic fields located within residential zones Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

Council requests that Parks change its scheduling policies so that Department-sponsored and non-Department sponsored leagues are given equal access to City athletic fields. During the 2003-04 budget review process, Parks shall submit a plan showing how an equal access policy for Department sponsored and non-Department sponsored sports group would be implemented and what the changes under such a policy would be.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted a public workshop for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts. During the public workshop, Parks will describe the proposed improvements and the increase in hours of use and traffic that are anticipated. Parks will also mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent practicable.

Section 7. Underutilized Fields

Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at underutilized fields within the City.

Adopted by the City Council the _____ day of _____, 2002, and signed by
me in open session in authentication of its adoption this _____ day of _____, 2002.



Valles Clark
JAFDP Reso
9/23/2002
V #6

President of the City Council

THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

Mayor

Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2002.

City Clerk

(Seal)



RESOLUTION 30530

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and



1 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
2 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
3 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
4 adequately mitigated; and

5 WHEREAS, the City Council supports a 10 p.m. shut off time for certain lighted athletic
6 fields located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening playing
7 hours at some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be
8 increasing with the installation of certain field improvements; and

9 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
10 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
11 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
12 existing time slots allocated to adult players; and

13 WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the
14 fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and

15 WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential
16 impacts on critical habitat areas are significant such that critical habitat areas should
17 not be lit except as a last resort; and

18 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
19 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
20 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and

21 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
22 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
23 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
24 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
25 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
26 Plan; and

27 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
28 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
29 for City fields; and

30 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
31 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields; and

32 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
33 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
34 existing facilities within the City; and

35 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
36 SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:



Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in the following manner:

- A. Replace old lighting systems where light and glare impacts adjacent residential properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per budget biennium. If Parks believes that a lighting replacement project that addresses player safety is a higher priority than lighting replacement projects that address spill, it may propose funding for these projects and explain its rationale during that time.
- B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to adjacent residences relative to other sites and, once those sites have been identified, install improvements at those sites in the following order:
 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.
 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a report to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league
- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).



For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after January 1, 2003, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, and Loyal Heights playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

Council requests that Parks change its scheduling policies so that Department-sponsored and non-Department sponsored leagues are given equal access to City athletic fields. During the 2003-04 budget review process, Parks shall submit a plan showing how an equal access policy for Department sponsored and non-Department sponsored sports group would be implemented and what the changes under such a policy would be.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted a public workshop for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshop, Parks will describe the proposed improvements and the increase in hours of use and traffic that are anticipated. Parks will also mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent practicable.

Section 7. Underutilized Fields

Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in

1 the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at
2 underutilized fields within the City.
3

4 Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of ____, 2002, and signed by
5 me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day of ____, 2002.
6

7
8 _____
9 President of the City Council

10 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

11
12 _____
13 Mayor

14 Filed by me this ____ day of ____, 2002.
15

16
17 _____
18 City Clerk

19 (Seal)

RESOLUTION 30530

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic field capacity but it has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and



1 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
2 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
3 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
4 adequately mitigated; and
5

6 WHEREAS, the City Council supports a 10 p.m. shut off time for certain lighted athletic
7 fields located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening playing
8 hours at some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be
9 increasing with the installation of certain field improvements; and
10

11 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
12 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
13 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
14 existing time slots allocated to adult players; and
15

16 WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the
17 fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and
18

19 WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, Parks
20 should study any potential impacts of lights on fields situated near critical habitat
21 areas before proceeding with lighting these fields and should mitigate any impacts to
22 the extent practicable; and
23

24 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
25 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
26 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and
27

28 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
29 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
30 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
31 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
32 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
33 Plan; and
34

35 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
36 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
37 for City fields; and
38

39 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
40 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields; and
41

42 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
43 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
44 existing facilities within the City; and
45

46 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
47 SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:
48



Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in the following manner:

- A. Replace old lighting systems where light and glare impacts adjacent residential properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per budget biennium. If Parks believes that a lighting replacement project that addresses player safety is a higher priority than lighting replacement projects that address spill and glare, it may propose funding for these projects and explain its rationale during that time.
- B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to adjacent residences relative to other sites and install improvements at these sites first. Improvements at these sites should then be installed in the following order:
 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.
 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a report to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league
- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack



of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after the adoption of this resolution, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, Loyal Heights, Judkins, and Soundview playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

During the 1st quarter of 2003, Parks shall submit a report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sport groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is geographically based vs. based on some other affiliation.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted public workshops for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will describe the proposed improvements, the increase in hours of use and traffic that are anticipated and various options to mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent practicable.

Section 7. Underutilized Fields

Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at underutilized fields within the City.

Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of ____, 2002, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day of ____, 2002.

President of the City Council

THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

Mayor

Filed by me this ____ day of ____, 2002.

City Clerk

(Seal)



1
2 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
3 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
4 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
5 adequately mitigated; and
6

7 WHEREAS, the City Council supports a 10 p.m. shut off time for certain lighted athletic
8 fields located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening playing
9 hours at some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be
10 increasing with the installation of certain field improvements; and
11

12 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
13 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
14 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
15 existing time slots allocated to adult players; and
16

17 WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the
18 fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and
19

20 WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, Parks
21 should study any potential impacts of lights on fields situated near critical habitat
22 areas before proceeding with lighting these fields and should mitigate any impacts to
23 the extent practicable-potential impacts on critical habitat areas are significant such
24 that critical habitat areas should not be lit except as a last resort; and
25

26 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
27 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
28 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and
29

30 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
31 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
32 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
33 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
34 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
35 Plan; and
36

37 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
38 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
39 for City fields; and
40

41 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
42 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields; and
43

44 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
45 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
46 existing facilities within the City; and
47

RESOLUTION 30530

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic field capacity but it has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in the following manner:

- A. Replace old lighting systems where light and glare impacts adjacent residential properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per budget biennium. If Parks believes that a lighting replacement project that addresses player safety is a higher priority than lighting replacement projects that address spill and glare, it may propose funding for these projects and explain its rationale during that time.
- B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to adjacent residences relative to other sites and install improvements at these sites first. ~~Improvements at these sites should then be installed, once these sites have been identified, install improvements at those sites in the following order:~~
 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.
 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a report to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport

- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league
- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after January 1, 2003 the adoption of this resolution, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, and Loyal Heights, Judkins, and Soundview playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

~~Council requests that Parks change its scheduling policies so that Department sponsored and non-Department sponsored leagues are given equal access to City athletic fields. During the 1st quarter of 2003-04 budget review process, Parks shall submit a plan report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if an equal access policy for Department sponsored sport groups are not given scheduling priority over and non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sports groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is geographically based vs. based on some other affiliation would be implemented and what the changes under such a policy would be.~~

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted a public workshops for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides

1 residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will
2 describe the proposed improvements, ~~and the increase in hours of use and traffic that are~~
3 ~~anticipated and various options to.~~ Parks will also mitigate any adverse impacts identified
4 to the extent practicable.

5
6 Section 7. Underutilized Fields

7
8 Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as
9 Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in
10 the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at
11 underutilized fields within the City.

12
13 Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2002, and signed by
14 me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day of _____, 2002.
15

16
17 _____
18 President of the City Council

19 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

20
21 _____
22 Mayor

23
24 Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2002.

25
26 _____
27 City Clerk

28 (Seal)

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate an increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playfield and lights and synthetic turf at West Magnolia Playfield; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic field capacity but it has not received adequate information to endorse specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP, participation has increased in certain sports and declined in others, and improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much unmet demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and

1 WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential zones and
2 increasing the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact
3 neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not
4 adequately mitigated; and
5

6 WHEREAS, the City Council supports scheduling games to end at 10 p.m. at shut-off time
7 ~~for~~ certain lighted athletic fields located in residential areas and while this is a
8 reduction in evening playing hours at some fields, the overall number of hours
9 available for play will be increasing with the installation of certain field
10 improvements; and
11

12 WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to
13 youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be
14 scheduled in the afternoons and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve
15 existing and future time slots allocated to adult players; and
16

17 WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the
18 fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and
19

20 WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, Parks
21 should study any potential impacts of lights on fields situated near critical habitat
22 areas before proceeding with lighting these fields and should mitigate any impacts to
23 the extent practicable; and
24

25 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports
26 teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since
27 Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and
28

29 WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan
30 proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the
31 proposed improvements and potential impacts to the residential neighborhood, the
32 City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the
33 athletic fields when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master
34 Plan; and
35

36 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Seattle's user fees for athletic fields should not
37 be significantly less than King County's user fees to avoid creating outside demand
38 for City fields; and
39

40 WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely
41 recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields and that reflect the
42 various costs of different field types and lights; and
43

44 WHEREAS, the City Council believes that Parks should explore potential options for using
45 underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of
46 existing facilities within the City; and
47
48

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

Section 1. Prioritizing Field Improvements: Parks should prioritize field improvements in the following manner:

- A. Replace old lighting systems where light and glare impacts adjacent residential properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per budget biennium. If Parks believes that a lighting replacement project that addresses player safety is a higher priority than lighting replacement projects that address spill and glare, it may propose funding for these projects and explain its rationale during that time.
- B. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts to adjacent residences relative to other sites and install improvements at these sites first. Improvements at these sites should then be installed in the following order:
 1. Parks should install synthetic turf at sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.
 2. Parks should install improvements that increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.
 3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2005-06 budget biennium, provide a report during each budget biennium to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league

- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after the adoption of this resolution, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, Loyal Heights, Judkins, and Soundview playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

During the 1st quarter of 2003, Parks shall submit a report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sport groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is geographically based vs. based on some other affiliation.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields. Parks should also consider the costs associated with different field types when setting user fees.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted public workshops for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will describe the proposed improvements, the increase in hours of use and traffic that are

1 anticipated and various options to mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent
2 practicable.

3
4
5 Section 7. Underutilized Fields

6
7 Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as
8 Memorial Stadium, and present a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in
9 the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use at
10 underutilized fields within the City.

11
12 Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2002, and signed by
13 me in open session in authentication of its adoption this ____ day of _____, 2002.
14

15
16 _____
17 President of the City Council

18 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

19
20 _____
21 Mayor

22
23 Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2002.

24
25 _____
26 City Clerk

27 (Seal)

Peter Steinboeck
Pink

- When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/team/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (denials for particular fields are of less concern than denials for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

For city athletic fields that receive new lights after the adoption of this resolution, Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field if security lighting is not available. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should also end games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled at Miller, Ballard, Bitter Lake, Loyal Heights, Judkins, and Soundview playfields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to advance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

During the 1st quarter of 2003, Parks shall submit a report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sport groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is geographically based vs. based on some other affiliation.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs associated with operating and maintaining athletic fields and how much King County is charging for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fee levels for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. non-lighted fields. Parks should also consider the costs associated with different field types when setting user fees.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields shall be based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate it has conducted public workshops for residents within one-eighth (1/8) of a mile of the affected field to solicit comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts in a manner that provides residents a full and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will describe the proposed improvements, the increase in hours of use and traffic that are

STATE OF WASHINGTON - KING COUNTY

--SS.

150868
City of Seattle, Clerk's Office

No. RESOLUTION IN FULL

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:RESOLUTION 30530

was published on

10/18/2002

J. Hedman
Subscribed and sworn to before me on

10/18/2002

Melissa M. Pascua
Notary public for the State of Washington,
residing in Seattle

Affidavit of Publication



WHEREAS, the City Council believes the Park sponsored and non-Park sponsored sports teams should be given equal access to City owned athletic fields, especially since Parks does not sponsor certain sports; and

WHEREAS, the City Council will separately review the athletic field development plan proposed for Sand Point Magnuson Park and, considering the magnitude of the proposed improvements and potential impacts in the residential neighborhood, the City Council may want to impose unique conditions on the development of the athletic field when approving changes to the Sand Point Magnuson Park Master Plan; and

WHILE the City Council believes the Seattle's user fee for athletic fields should not be significantly less than King County's user fee to avoid creating outside demand for City fields; and

WHEREAS, the City Council encourages Parks to establish user fees that more closely recover the costs of maintaining and operating athletic fields and that reflect the various costs of different field types and lighting and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes the Parks should explore potential options for using underutilized athletic facilities, such as Memorial Stadium, to maximize use of existing facilities within the City; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING
THAT:

Section 1. Prioritising Field Improvements: Parks should prioritise field improvements in the following manner:

A. Replaces old lighting systems with light and glare impacts adjacent residents' properties. Parks may limit funding the number of lighting replacement projects to two per design biennium. If Parks believes that lighting replacement projects that address power safety are a higher priority than light and glare, it may postpone funding for those projects and explain its rationale during the time.

5. Identify sites where impacts from improvements have fewer negative impacts adjacent residents relative to other sites or install improvements at those sites first. Improvements at these sites should then be installed in the following order:

1. Parks should install synthetic turf sites that currently have lights and install lights at those sites that already have synthetic or all weather turf before installing both lights and synthetic turf at sites that currently have neither.

2. Parks should install improvements to increase capacity for sports that have demonstrated a steady increase in participation over time or that have greater unmet demand relative to other sports.

2. Parks should distribute said improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate said capacity relative to other areas.

Parish shall report back to the Port Education, and Labor Relations Committee during the first quarter of 1994 with the proposed JAFEP improvement plan prioritized in the manner. Beginning in 1994, Parish must only have a JAFEP program that lists the six prioritization actions prior to submission requests for funding or increases to such funding for particular fields. For 1993 and subsequent requests, Parish shall provide a rationale why improvements for the particular field that Parish is requesting to improve in 1993 of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements To User Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track investments in transit, Parks should track the following information, and, beginning with the 2002-03 budget year, provide a report during each budget submission to Council that lists proposed improvements to existing transit facilities.

■ Number of immigrants who died on a mass beach down by 50%, longer, and 50%

* Number of games that organization play outside the City

- Annual hours scheduled on non-School District Property for non-school use
- Number and type of fields available

* Member of in-city and out-of-city juries for each organized league

• When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization desires to submit a request to make use of a space or practice due to lack of availability within the City of Seattle for the particular facility and/or how common it is to demand for any field availability through the City?

For the 2003-04 biennium budget process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAYDF related expenses listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) hours that will occur; 2) reports that likely be submitted during the addition; 3) age group that will benefit; 4) hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lig Fields

The city athletic director said residents higher after the adoption of this resolution. Council reports that all midnight games will be at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an optional 15 minutes to allow players to finish early from the field if quickly lighting is available. Council also could suggest that a "no light" policy on Sunday evenings now exists. As an example by the Mayor, street lights are turned on at 10 p.m. every day. The fields are scheduled at 10 p.m. on Sunday. The Mayor, Mayor Lahn, Lord, Heston, and Boardman played in the Sunday evening games with the current policy, the Council will consider other measures to address these concerns.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Field

During the 1st quarter of 2008, the staff submit a report showing how often practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinctions between youth and adult groups. Public staff also identify percentage of Department sponsored groups that are neighborhood based membership is geographically based within an area of the city.

Section 2. Establishing Your Fees

In developing new fees, Parks should consider the needs associated with sports and maintaining athletic fields and how King County is charging for athletic fees to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fees for youth and adult users and for lighted vs. unlighted fields. Parks should also make sure users associated with different fields when using new fees.

Section 8. Public Involvement Process

Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase playing capacity of athletic fields are based on a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also determine the desired public works for the site within one-eight (1/8) of a mile of the field to satisfy, accommodate and complement design and potential impacts in a manner provide reasonable and fair options to be heard. During the public works Parks will discuss the proposed impacts, the degree in light of use that may be anticipated and various mitigation or severe impacts identified and analyzed.

Section 7. Underutilized Fields

State of Washington

City of Seattle

THE JOURNAL OF THE

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarify the conditions under which Council extends its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the order that athletic fields will be improved and to collect additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of sports facilities fields to promote and increase in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal not to install lights at Queen Anne Bowl, Meadowbrook playground and light and synthetic turf at West Magnetic Playground;

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Routh Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue; and

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's subject fields represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic facility capacity but it has not received adequate information to evaluate specific sites and specific improvements at each site as presented in the 2002 Joint Athletic Facility Development Program ("JAFDP"); and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks") obtains funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDF; participation has increased in certain sports and disciplines; and, improvements at some athletic field sites may have fewer impacts to neighboring communities than others; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated

State of Washington

City of Seattle

RESOLUTION 2000

A RESOLUTION stating Council's support for improving the City's athletic field system to increase playing capacity, clarifying the conditions under which Council supports its support, and directing the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation to prioritize the work that athletic fields will be improved and to submit additional information that will more clearly link the proposed improvements with demand for particular sports.

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the development of more athletic fields to accommodate on leisure in sports participation by youth and adult players; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to install lights at Queen Anne Field, Montebello Park, and Lake and Olympic Park at West Olympic Park, and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the Mayor's proposal to improve West Seattle Stadium as both a football field and a track and field venue;

WHEREAS, installing lights and synthetic turf will significantly increase the number of hours that can be played on the City's athletic fields; and

WHEREAS, improving the City's athletic field system represents a multi-million dollar long term financial investment and therefore, the ability to install improvements at various fields will be limited by fiscal constraints; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the intent of the JAFDP to increase athletic field capacity but it has not provided adequate information to indicate specific sites and specific improvements to make also no proposed in the 2000-04 JAFDP; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes improvements at the City's athletic fields should be prioritized because it will be years before the Seattle Department of Parks and Recreation (Parks) begins funding for all the proposed improvements in the JAFDP; and because, in the interim, improvements at some athletic fields may have lower impacts to neighbors relative to other sites; and

WHEREAS, Parks has demonstrated an increase in the use of athletic fields but it has not provided data that shows how much current demand exists for each sport due to limited field availability; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, potential impacts on neighborhoods need to be considered and mitigated where necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Council believes field improvements should be distributed throughout the City to the extent possible; and

WHEREAS, the majority of the City's athletic fields are within residential areas and surrounding the number of hours of play at athletic fields could negatively impact neighborhoods by generating an increase in traffic, noise, lighting, and parking if not adequately mitigated; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports scheduling games to end at 10 p.m. at certain lighted athletic fields located in residential areas and while this is a reduction in evening playing hours at some fields, the overall number of hours available for play will be increased with the installation of certain field improvements; and

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the current Parks policy of giving priority access to youth sports teams but it believes that increases in demand for youth sports should be scheduled in the afternoon and early evenings to the extent possible to preserve existing and future time slots allocated to adult players; and

WHEREAS, Parks should encourage more scheduled use of fields during times that the fields are underutilized, such as on weekends; and

WHEREAS, while the City Council supports the development of athletic fields, Parks should study any potential impacts of lights on neighborhoods near critical habitat areas before proceeding with lighting these fields, avoid creating habitat areas where they might be impacted by field lights, and mitigate any impacts to the extent practicable; and

3. Parks should distribute field improvements throughout the City to the extent possible and install improvements in areas of the City that lack adequate field capacity relative to other areas.

Parks shall report back to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee during the first quarter of 2003 with the proposed list of JAFDP improvements prioritized in this manner. Beginning in 2004, Parks must specify how a JAFDP project fits into the above prioritization scheme prior to submitting requests for funding or requests to accept funding for particular fields. For 2003 budget requests, Parks shall provide a rationale for why improvements for the particular fields that Parks is proposing to improve in 2003 are of greater priority than other fields.

Section 2. Linking Field Improvements to Unmet Demand

To determine the appropriate level of investment and track increases in trends, Parks shall track the following information and, beginning with the 2003-04 budget biennium, provide a report during each budget biennium to Council that links proposed field improvements to unmet demand trends:

- Number of hours/games scheduled each season broken down by sport, league, and age group
- Number of games that organizations play outside the City
- Annual hours scheduled on Seattle School District Property for non-school sports
- Number and type of fields available each season for each sport
- Number of in-city and out-of-city players for each organized league

• When (time of day, weekend vs. weekday, and month) and how often an organization/league is denied a request to schedule a game or practice due to lack of field availability within the City of Seattle (relative to particular fields are of less concern than demand for any field availability throughout the City).

For the 2003-04 biennium budget review process, Parks shall submit the following information on any JAFDP related expenditures listed in the 2003-04 CIP: 1) Increase in hours that will occur; 2) Sports that will likely be scheduled during the additional hours; 3) Age group that will benefit from increase in hours.

Section 3. Shut-off Times for Lighted Fields

The city athletic fields that receive new lights after the adoption of this resolution. Council requests that all scheduled games end at 10 p.m. Monday through Thursday evenings. Lights can be left on for an additional 15 minutes to allow players time to safely leave the field if security lighting is not available. Council also encourages adopting a "no lights" policy on Sunday evenings for new fields. As proposed by the Mayor, Parks should aim and games at 10 p.m. every night that the fields are scheduled to be lighted. Ballfield, Soccer, Lacrosse, Softball, and Baseball fields. Should Parks continue with its current policy, the Council will consider other measures to enhance these recommendations.

Section 4. Scheduling Athletic Fields

During the 1st quarter of 2004, Parks shall submit a report showing how scheduling practices would be impacted if Department sponsored sports groups are not given scheduling priority over non-Department sponsored sports groups, distinguishing between youth and adult groups. Parks shall also identify the percentage of Department sponsored sports groups that are neighborhood based, i.e., membership is predominantly based on local or some other criterion.

Section 5. Establishing User Fees

In developing user fees, Parks shall consider the costs of maintenance and lighting and how much King County is sharing for athletic field use to ensure consistency, while at the same time setting fees at a reasonable level so as not to restrict access. Parks should continue its current policy of setting different fees for youth and adult users and the lighted vs. unlighted fields. Parks should also consider the costs associated with different field types when setting user fees.

Section 6. Public Involvement Process for Site Specific Planning Decisions

Specific improvements that increase the playing capacity of athletic fields should be preceded by a thorough public involvement process. Parks must also demonstrate a baseline of public involvement for residents within one-half (1/2) mile of a site of the athletic field to collect comments and suggestions on design and potential impacts to a manner that provides residents a fair and fair opportunity to be heard. During the public workshops, Parks will describe the proposed improvements, the impacts in terms of use and traffic that are anticipated and various options to mitigate any adverse impacts identified to the extent practicable.


Section 7. Underutilized Fields


Parks shall explore the possibility of scheduling underutilized athletic fields, such as Montebello Stadium, and provide a plan to the Parks, Education, and Libraries Committee in the first quarter of 2003 on various options and the feasibility of increasing use of underutilized fields within the City.

Adopted by the City Council the 7th day of October, 2002, and signed by me in open session in confirmation of its adoption this 7th day of October, 2002.

PETER STENHOLM,
President of the City Council.
THE MAYOR CONCURRING:
GREGORY J. JACKELA,
Mayor.
Filed by me this 18th day of October, 2002.
GEOFF SCOTT CLINE,
City Clerk.
Publication ordered by JUDITH PIPPIN,
City Clerk.

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, October 18, 2002.
(6/20/2002)





● PHOTO TAKEN AT
250 FEET ABOVE
SEA LEVEL

■ 250 FOOT CONTOUR

■ 125 FOOT CONTOUR

□ LIGHTED SPORTS FIELDS,
PARKING LOTS & TENNIS
COURTS

■ 300 FOOT CONTOUR

Scale in Feet
0 1000 2000

Scale in Miles
0 0.5

JUANITA

RESOLUTION FILE 30530

2 Sheets MAPS - OverSized

for 35 mm filming

removed from file here