Ordinance No. 123356

ORDINANCE regulating commercial display of human remains, establishing that unlawful commercial display of human remains is a civil infraction, and creating a new section 14.14.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

## Related Legislation File:

Date Introduced and Referred:	To: (committee):
July 12, 2010	Housing, Human Services, Health, and Culture
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date of Final Action:	Date Presented to Mayor:
7-19,10	7.20.10
Date Signed by Mayor:	Date Returned to City Clerk:
July 27, 2010	July 28, 2010
Published by Title Only	Date Vetoed by Mayor:
Published in Full Text	
Date Veto Published:	Date Passed Over Veto:
Date Veto Sustained:	Date Returned Without Signature:

Date	Committee Action: Recommendation	Vote
P283	4-0 NL, SC, TR, BH	H1/10 (
This file is comp	lete and ready for presentation to Full Cou	ncil.
This file is comp	lete and ready for presentation to Full Cou	ncil.
This file is comp		
This file is comp	lete and ready for presentation to Full Coul  Full Council Action  Decision	
	Full Council Action Decision	

JBS:JBS:FV 07/07/10

(Ver. 2)

ordinance <u>123356</u>

AN ORDINANCE regulating the commercial display of human remains, establishing that unlawful commercial display of human remains is a civil infraction, and creating a new section 14.14.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

#### BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Findings.

The Seattle City Council finds and declares as follows:

- A. The Uniform Anatomical Gift Act, Ch. 68.64 RCW, requires any donor and specified authorized individuals to authorize the use of anatomical gifts for transplantation, therapy, research, and education purposes.
- B. Officials responsible for unclaimed human remains are required to make a good faith attempt to notify the next of kin of the decedent.
- C. The public commercial display of dead human bodies must be regulated to protect individual bodily integrity, as well as the social and cultural values of the City.

It is the intent of the City Council to require persons who participate in the public commercial display of dead human bodies to provide evidence of informed consent specific to the public exhibition and display of human remains from the decedent or relatives of humans whose remains are put on display, and to provide for the continued use of human remains in the educational, medical, and scientific communities to promote human health and safety.

**Section 2.** A new Chapter 14.14 is added to the Seattle Municipal Code as follows:

**Human Dignity** 

**Section 3.** A new Section 14.14.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code is added as follows:

**Commercial Display of Human Remains** 

- A. It shall be unlawful to display to the public human remains for consideration or commercial purposes without valid written authorization from the deceased, which consent may be given in the last will of the deceased, or by a person who has the right to control the disposition of the remains pursuant to Ch. 68.50 RCW or Ch. 68.64 RCW, or any successor legislation. The Director of Financial and Administrative Services or the Director's designee shall determine the adequacy of the documentation offered to establish consent.
  - B. The provisions of this Section shall not apply to the display of human remains:
  - (1) More than 100 years old;
  - (2) Consisting solely of human teeth or hair;
- (3) As part of the ordinary display or viewing of the deceased at a funeral establishment licensed by the Washington State Department of Licensing, or as part of a similar funeral or memorial service; or,
  - (4) As objects of religious veneration.
- C. There shall be a rebuttable presumption that human remains in the possession of an institution accredited by the American Association of Museums or in the possession of a museum facility of an accredited college or university are held in compliance with this Section.
- D. Violation of this Section shall be a civil infraction as contemplated by RCW Chapter 7.80 and subject as a Class 1 civil infraction under RCW 7.80.120(2) to a maximum monetary penalty and a default amount of \$250.00 plus statutory assessments. Each day of noncompliance shall be a separate violation, and the monetary penalties shall accumulate.
- E. The City Attorney may bring a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction to enjoin a continuing violation of this Section.





Form revised June 11, 2010

## FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	DOF Analyst/Phone:		
Legislative	Ben Noble / 4-8160	N/A		

#### **Legislation Title:**

AN ORDINANCE regulating the commercial display of human remains, establishing that unlawful commercial display of human remains is a civil infraction, and creating a new section 14.14.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

#### • Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation would prohibit the display of human remains within Seattle without the written permission of the deceased or an individual legally empowered to control the remains.

#### • Background:

There have been at least two commercial displays of human bodies hosted within the city. A number of residents have raised concerns that the bodies used in these displays were acquired without the consent of appropriately authorized individuals.

• Please check one of the following:

## This legislation does not have any financial implications

## X This legislation has financial implications.

### Appropriations:

Fund Name and Number	Department	Budget Control Level*	2010 Appropriation	2011 Anticipated Appropriation
TOTAL				

<sup>\*</sup>See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

**Notes:** This ordinance includes no appropriations. The proposed regulations will not require any significant proactive enforcement and existing personnel within the Department of Finance and Administrative services should be able to staff any specific complaints or certification requests without additional resources.



Ben Noble/bdn Body reg fiscal note - leg July 8, 2010 Version #1

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting From This Legislation:

Fund Name and Number	Department	Revenue Source	2010 Revenue	2011 Revenue
General Subfund		Admissions Tax	Kevenue	-\$45,000
TOTAL				

*Notes*: During its 2009-2010 tour, the "Bodies" exhibit which is the one known entity that would likely be affected by this ordinance generated about \$90,000 in revenue. The analysis presented here assumes that such an exhibit would otherwise visit the city every other year. If such an exhibit cannot meet the requirements set out in this legislation, the City will thus lose an average of \$45,000 per year. By current policy, 75% of admissions tax revenues are allocated to the Seattle Office of Arts and Cultural Affairs (OACA)<sup>^</sup>; and 25% to the General Fund. This implies a potential loss of \$34,000 per year for OACA and \$11,000 for the General Fund.

(^ The allocation to OACA is done on lagged basis. Seventy-five percent of the revenues received two years prior are allocated in the current calendar year, allowing a better basis for long-term planning. Thus, while there will be a two-year delay in the initial reduction, over time the lost revenue will directly impact the funds available to the Office.)

# <u>Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, Or Abrogated Through This Legislation, Including FTE Impact:</u>

Position Title and Department	Position # for Existing Positions	Fund Name & #	PT/FT	2010 Positions	2010 FTE	2011 Positions*	2011 FTE*
TOTAL							

*Notes:* This ordinance creates no positions.

## Spending/Cash Flow:

Func	d Name & #	Department	Budget Control Level*	2010 Expenditures	2011 Anticipated Expenditures
	TOTAL				

*Notes:* This ordinance involves no spending.

• What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation? Given that existing staff can implement these new regulations, no direct financial cost is anticipated.



Ben Noble/bdn Body reg fiscal note - leg July 8, 2010 Version #1

- <u>Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?</u>
  The Department of Finance and Administrative Services is the only one affected by this legislation.
- What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? County- or State-level regulation might provide an alternative approach to control the displaying of human remains without appropriate authorization.
- <u>Is the legislation subject to public hearing requirements</u>: No.

