Council Bill No. 116496

AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington; providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.

Related Legislation File: Date Introduced and Referred: To: (committee): Open Governme To: (committee): Date Re-referred: To: (committee): Date Re-referred: Date Presented to Mayor: Date of Final Action: Date Returned to City Clerk: Date Signed by Mayor: 5.6.09 5.6.09 Date Vetoed by Mayor: **Published by Title Only Published in Full Text** Date Passed Over Veto: Date Veto Published: Date Returned Without Signature:

Date	Committee Action: Recommendation	Vote
4.17.09	Pass as Amendal	4-0
This file is comp	slete and ready for presentation to Full Council.	
	slete and ready for presentation to Full Council. Full Council Action: Decision	Vote
This file is comp	Full Council Action:	Vote 8 - C

Law Department

7 |

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

ORDINANCE 122969

AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington; providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.

WHEREAS, the City is fully committed to a 'culture of compliance' where public records that can be provided to the public are provided in as timely, open, efficient and effective way as possible; and

WHEREAS, the City intends to show that it is consistent with Title 42, Chapter 56 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW 42.56), concerning the provision of public records, by requiring each department to adopt rules to indicate how they will implement certain requirements of this Act; and

WHEREAS, the City seeks to adopt measures that are consistent with its findings in Resolution 31049 concerning the adoption of plans and policies related to open and participatory government; and

WHEREAS the City has a policy and practice of assisting people with public records requests, producing records in a variety of formats and providing records promptly in response to requests; and,

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 requires all cities and public agencies to maintain and make available a current index of public records with limited exceptions; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 also provides that if a city finds that it does not maintain such an index because it would be unduly burdensome or would interfere with city operations, the city must issue and publish a formal Order specifying the reasons why and the extent to which compliance would be unduly burdensome or interfere with city operations; and

WHEREAS, the City is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems, that may include indexing of records and information; and.

1

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

1718

19

21

20

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 further provides that in spite of such an Order, any such index that is maintained by a city must be made available for public inspection and/or copying; and,

WHEREAS, the City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the

WHEREAS, by May 1, 2010 Council intends to review and assess how each City department has implemented the requirements of this bill related to improving access to public records,

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A new section 3.104.030 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

3.104.030 Public records disclosure – Department Policy.

A. By November 1, 2009, each City Department will, by rulemaking, adopt administrative procedures for providing access to public records in accordance with the provisions of RCW 42.56. These procedures will be made available in each department and on their respective websites. Departments may work together to adopt similar or identical rules to comply with this provision. Any such rulemaking will include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:

- 1. A process to respond promptly to all records requests as required by RCW 42.56.520;
- 2. Assigning a central point of contact to receive requests;
- 3. A system that informs a requesting party of the amount of time needed to respond to the request, a reasonable estimate of when responsive records would be available and, if applicable, the reasons why a requested record was not made available;

234

1

- 56
- 7 8

9

10

11 12

1314

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

25

26

24

27

- 4. To the extent deemed practicable by the department and without excessive interference with other essential departmental functions, procedures for (a) an internet-based approach that allows for a single step on each departmental website to submit a request for public records; (b) areas for the public to access frequently requested records, and (c) for records to be made available to requesters who request documents in electronic formats;
 - 5. How an appeal of a denial of a records request is submitted;
 - 6. Tracking of all staff time and expenditures related to responses to records requests;
 - 7. A list of applicable exemptions;
- 8. Charges for providing copies of responsive documents or records that includes waiver or reduced charges for small requests and reasonable charges that reflect actual copying costs for providing documents in an electronic format;
 - 9. The hours and dates when public records are available for inspection and reproduction;
- 10.Identifying the records retention policies that apply and providing access to applicable record retention policies; and
 - 11. Adoption of a form for submitting records requests.
- B. Following the adoption of any such rule, each Department shall submit a written report to the Council within 30 days of its adoption and provide an overview of how the rule complies with requirements for access to public records under RCW 42.56. Departments with substantially similar rules may submit a unified report. The rule shall also be filed with the City Clerk's office pursuant to Seattle Municipal Code 3.02.060.
- Section 2. A new section 3.104.040 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:
- 3.104.040 Public records index Findings.

A. The City of Seattle is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems.

B. The City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance.

Section 3. A new section 3.104.050 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

3.104.050 Public Records Index - Order - Maintenance not required.

A. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City of Seattle (City) finds that it is not required to maintain a City wide current index of public records because the requirement is unduly burdensome and would interfere with City operations and such a list is nearly impossible to create and/or maintain.

B. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City shall make available all public records and any indexes created for internal use upon request by any citizen to the extent not exempt from disclosure pursuant to State law or any other applicable law. This includes providing access to applicable records retention schedules for all City departments in accordance with new section 3.104.030.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

1	Passed by the City Council the 21" day of April , 2009, and
2	signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this
3	27 day of April , 2009.
4	
5	
6	Presidentof the City Council
7	
8	
9	
10	Approved by me this 6th day of 2009.
11	ripproved by me tims day or
12	QQ ()
13	Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor
14	Gitgory J. Iviekeis, Iviayor
15	Filed by me this to day of Man, 2009.
16	
17	
8	Acting City Clerk
9	(Seal)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

5

Author's Name: Michael Jenkins

Date: April 2, 2009

Name of Companion Legislation: local implementation of public records act

Version #1

Form revised December 9, 2004

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	DOF Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Michael Jenkins/ 615-1674	

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington; providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.

Summary of the Legislation: This Council-generated legislation amends Seattle Municipal Seattle Municipal Code section 3.104 to require City departments to adopt rules illustrating how they comply with Revised Code of Washington (RCW) Section 42.56, the Public Records Act. The legislation also includes an Order exempting the City from developing and maintaining a current index of all records in their possession under RCW 42.56.070. This code establishes the requirement as well as the ability for jurisdictions to exempt themselves from the requirement if it is determined that compliance would be unduly burdensome or would interfere with normal operations. This legislation would fulfill this requirement.

• Background:

This legislation is designed to implement findings from the May 19, 2008 Washington State Auditor's Office report 'Open Public Records Practices at 30 Government Entities' and Attorney General's model rules of implementation of RCW 42.56.

Please check one of the following:

X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

Without adopting the current index exemption in RCW 42.56.070, there would be a significant financial implication on the City. Compliance with the indexing requirement would require staff resources to prepare such an index, along with maintaining and updating the index while making such an index available to the public.



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 17, 2009 New draft v2a with licata amendments

1

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

25

26

27

2.8

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

ORDINANCE

- AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington; providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.
- WHEREAS, the City is fully committed to a 'culture of compliance,' where public records that can be provided to the public are provided in as timely, open, efficient and effective way as possible; and
- WHEREAS, the City intends to show that it is consistent with RCW 42.56, concerning the provision of public records, by requiring each department to adopt rules to indicate how they will implement certain requirements of this Act; and
- WHEREAS, the City seeks to adopt measures that are consistent with its findings in Resolution 31049 concerning the adoption of plans and policies related to open and participatory government; and
- WHEREAS the City has a policy and practice of assisting people with public records requirements, producing records in a variety of formats and providing records promptly in response to requests; and,
- WHEREAS, Title 42, Chapter 56, of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW 42.56) requires all cities and public agencies to maintain and make available a current index of public records with limited exceptions; and
- WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 also provides that if a city finds that it does not maintain such an index because it would be unduly burdensome or would interfere with city operations, the city must issue and publish a formal Order specifying the reasons why and the extent to which compliance would be unduly burdensome or interfere with city operations; and
- WHEREAS, the City is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems, that may include indexing of records and information; and,



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 17, 2009 New draft v2a with licata amendments

1

2

3 4

56

7

8

10

1112

1314

15

1617

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

2.8

WHEREAS, the City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 further provides that in spite of such an Order, any such index that is maintained by a city must be made available for public inspection and/or copying,

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A new section 3.104.030 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

3.104.030 Public records disclosure – Department Policy.

A. By November 1, 2009, each City Department will, by rulemaking, adopt administrative procedures for providing access to public records in accordance with the provisions of RCW 42.56. Departments may work together to adopt similar or identical rules to comply with this provision. Any such rulemaking will include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:

- 1. A process to respond promptly to all records requests as required by RCW 42.56.520;
- 2. Assigning a central point of contact to receive requests;
- 3. A system that informs a requesting party of the amount of time needed to respond to the request, a reasonable estimate of when responsive records would be available and, if applicable, the reasons why a requested record was not made available;
- 4. To the extent practicable, an internet-based approach that allows for (a) a single step on each website to access the process to submit a request for public records; (b) the submittal for frequently-requested records; and (c) for those records to be made available online to requesters and for records to be provided in any other electronic format;



9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

2.8

- 5. How an appeal of a denial of a records request is submitted:
- 6. Tracking of all staff time and expenditures related to responses to records requests;
- 7. A list of applicable exemptions;
- 8. Charges for providing copies of responsive documents or records that includes waiver or reduced charges for small requests;
 - 9. The hours and dates when public records are available for inspection and reproduction;
- 10. Providing access to applicable records retention policies and identify the records retention policies that apply; and
 - 11. Adoption of a form for submitting records requests.
- B. Following the adoption of any such rule, each Department shall submit a written report to the Council within 30 days of its adoption and provide an overview of how the rule complies with requirements for access to public records/under RCW 42.56. Departments with substantially similar rules may submit a unified report. The rule shall also be filed with the City Clerk's office pursuant to Seattle Municipal Code 3.02.060.
- Section 2. A new section/3.104.040 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:
- 3.104.040 Public records/index Findings.
- A. The City of Seattle is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems.
- B. The City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance.



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 17, 2009 New draft v2a with licata amendments

1

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

follows:

Section 3. A new section 3.104.050 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as

3.104.050 Public Records Index - Order – Maintenance not required.

A. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City of Seattle (City) finds that it is not required to maintain a City wide current index of public records because the requirement is unduly burdensome and would interfere with City operations and such a list is nearly impossible to create and/or maintain.

B. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City shall make available all public records and any indexes created for internal use upon request by any citizen to the extent not exempt from disclosure pursuant to State law or any other applicable law. This includes providing access to applicable records retention schedules for all City departments in accordance with new section 3.104.030.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the ____ day of ____ , 2009, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this day of , 2009.

President of the City Council



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 17, 2009 New draft v2a with licata amendments *[*, 2009. Approved by me this ____ day of ____ Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor Filed by me this ____ day of _ , 2009. City Clerk (Seal) Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 2, 2009 New draft v2

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

ORDINANCE

- AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington; providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.
- WHEREAS, the City is fully committed to a 'culture of compliance' where public records that can be provided to the public are provided in as timely, open, efficient and effective way as possible; and
- WHEREAS, the City intends to show that it is consistent with RCW 42.56, concerning the provision of public records, by requiring each department to adopt rules to indicate how they will implement certain requirements of this Act; and
- WHEREAS, the City seeks to adopt measures that are consistent with its findings in Resolution 31049 concerning the adoption of plans and policies related to open and participatory government; and
- WHEREAS the City has a policy and practice of assisting people with public records requirements, producing records in a variety of formats and providing records promptly in response to requests; and,
- WHEREAS, Title 42, Chapter 56, of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW 42.56) requires all cities and public agencies to maintain and make available a current index of public records with limited exceptions; and
- WHEREAS, RCW 42/56.070 also provides that if a city finds that it does not maintain such an index because it would be unduly burdensome or would interfere with city operations, the city must issue and publish a formal Order specifying the reasons why and the extent to which compliance would be unduly burdensome or interfere with city operations; and
- WHEREAS, the City is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems, that may include indexing of records and information; and,



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 2, 2009 New draft v2

1

2

4

56

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 further provides that in spite of such an Order, any such index that is maintained by a city must be made available for public inspection and/or copying,

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A new section 3.104.030 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

3.104.030 Public records disclosure – Department Policy.

A. By November 1, 2009, each City Department will, by rulemaking, adopt administrative procedures for providing access to public records in accordance with the provisions of RCW 42.56. Departments may work together to adopt similar or identical rules to comply with this provision. Any such rulemaking will include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:

- 1. A process to respond promptly to all records requests as required by RCW 42.56.520;
- 2. Assigning a central point of contact to receive requests;
- 3. A system that informs a requesting party of the amount of time needed to respond to the request, a reasonable estimate of when responsive records would be available and, if applicable, the reasons why a requested record was not made available;
- 4. To the extent practicable, an internet-based approach that allows for (a) a single step on each website to access the process to submit a request for public records; (b) the submittal for frequently-requested records; and (c) for those records to be made available online to requesters and for records to be provided in any other electronic format;



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 2, 2009 New draft v2

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

1112

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

26

25

27

28

Form Last Revised on December 17, 2008

- 5. How an appeal of a denial of a records request is submitted;
- 6. Tracking of all staff time and expenditures related to responses to records requests;
- 7. A list of applicable exemptions;
- 8. Charges for providing copies of responsive documents or records that includes waiver or reduced charges for small requests;
 - 9. The hours and dates when public records are available for inspection and reproduction;
 - 10. Applicable records retention policies; and
 - 11. Adoption of a form for submitting records requests.
- B. Following the adoption of any such rule, each Department shall submit a written report to the Council within 30 days of its adoption and provide an overview of how the rule complies with requirements for access to public records under RCW 42.56. Departments with substantially similar rules may submit a unified report. The rule shall also be filed with the City Clerk's office pursuant to Seattle Municipal Code 3/02.060.
- Section 2. A new section 3.104.040 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:
- 3.104.040 Public records index Findings.
- A. The City of Seattle is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems.
- B. The City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdersome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance.



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 2, 2009 New draft v2

Section 3. A new section 3.104.050 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

3.104.050 Public Records Index - Order – Maintenance not required.

A. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City of Seattle (City) finds that it is not required to maintain a City wide current index of public records/because the requirement is unduly burdensome and would interfere with City operations and such a list is nearly impossible to create and/or maintain.

B. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City shall make available all public records and any indexes created for internal use upon request by any citizen to the extent not exempt from disclosure pursuant to State law or any other applicable law.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the ____ day of ________, 2009, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this ____ day of _______, 2009.

President of the City Council



Michael Jenkins Public Records Act April 2, 2009 New draft v2 Approved by me this _____ day of _________, 2009. Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor __, 2009. Filed by me this ____ day of ____ City Clerk (Seal)



STATE OF WASHINGTON - KING COUNTY

238572 CITY OF SEATTLE, CLERKS OFFICE No.

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:122969 ORDINANCE

was published on

05/11/09

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of \$ 283.00, which amount

has been paid in full.

05/11/09

Notary public for the State of Washington,

Subscribed and s

residing in Seattle

City of Jeattle

ORDINANCE 122969

AN ORDINANCE relating to local implementation of the Public Records Act for the State of Washington, providing findings, specific rules and requirements towards making public records available in a timely and orderly manner; and adding new sections to Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 3.104, City Records and Books.

WHEREAS, the City is fully committed to a 'culture of compliance' where public records that can be provided to the public are provided in as timely, open, efficient and effective way as possible; and

WHEREAS, the City intends to show that it is consistent with Title 42, Chapter 56 of the Revised Code of Washington (RCW 42.56), concerning the provision of public records, by requiring each department to adopt rules to indicate how they will implement certain requirements of this Act; and

WHEREAS, the City seeks to adopt measures that are consistent with its findings in Resolution 31049 concerning the adoption of plans and policies related to open and participatory government; and

WHEREAS the City has a policy and practice of assisting people with public records requests, producing records in a variety of formats and providing records promptly in response to requests; and,

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 requires all cities and public agencies to maintain and make available a current index of public records with limited exceptions; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 also provides that if a city finds that it does not maintain such an index because it would be unduly burdensome or would interfere with city operations, the city must issue and publish a formal Order specifying the reasons why and the extent to which compliance would be unduly burdensome or interfere with city operations; and

WHERAS, the City is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems, that may include indexing of records and information; and,

WHEREAS, the City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance; and

WHEREAS, RCW 42.56.070 further provides that in spite of such an Order, any such index that is maintained by a city must be made available for public inspection and/or copying; and.

WHEREAS, by May 1, 2010 Council intends to review and assess how each City department has implemented the requirements of this bill related to improving access to public records,

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. A new section 3.104.030 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

- 3.104.030 Public records disclosure Department Policy.
- A. By November 1, 2009, each City Department will, by rulemaking, adopt administrative procedures for providing access to public records in accordance with the provisions of RCW 42.56. These procedures will be made available in each department and on their respective websites. Departments may work together to adopt similar or identical rules to comply with this provision. Any such rulemaking will include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:
- 1. A process to respond promptly to all records requests as required by RCW 42.56.520;
- 2. Assigning a central point of contact to receive requests;
- 3. A system that informs a requesting party of the amount of time needed to respond to the request, a reasonable estimate of when responsive records would be available and, if applicable, the reasons why a requested record was not made available;

State of Washington, King County

- 4. To the extent deemed practicable by the department and without excessive interference with other essential departmental functions, procedures for (a) an internet-based approach that allows for a single step on each departmental website to submit a request for public records; (b) areas for the public to access frequently requested records, and (c) for records to be made available to requesters who request documents in electronic formats;
- 5. How an appeal of a denial of a records request is submitted;
- Tracking of all staff time and expenditures related to responses to records requests;
 - 7. A list of applicable exemptions;
- 8. Charges for providing copies of responsive documents or records that includes waiver or reduced charges for small requests and reasonable charges that reflect actual copying costs for providing documents in an electronic format;
- 9. The hours and dates when public records are available for inspection and reproduction;
- 10.Identifying the records retention policies that apply and providing access to applicable record retention policies; and
- 11. Adoption of a form for submitting records requests.
- B. Following the adoption of any such rule, each Department shall submit a written report to the Council within 30 days of its adoption and provide an overview of how the rule complies with requirements for access to public records under RCW 42.66. Departments with substantially similar rules may submit a unified report. The rule shall also be filed with the City Clerk's office pursuant to Seattle Municipal Code 3.02.060.

Section 2. A new section 3,104,040 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

- 3.104.040 Public records index -Findings.
- A. The City of Seattle is comprised of three distinct branches of government, numerous departments as well as several boards and commissions that independently receive and maintain numerous complex and separate record keeping and filings systems.
- B. The City produces or receives such a significant amount of records each day that the development and maintenance of a current index of all City records would be costly and burdensome and provide little benefit to the public compared to the expense associated with its creation and maintenance.

Section 3. A new section 3.104.050 is hereby added to the Seattle Municipal Code, as follows:

- 3.104.050 Public Records Index Order – Maintenance not required,
- A. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City of Seattle (City) finds that it is not required to maintain a City wide current index of public records because the requirement is unduly burdensome and would interfere with City operations and such a list is nearly impossible to create and/or maintain.

B. Pursuant to RCW 42.56.070, the City shall make available all public records and any indexes created for internal use upon request by any citizen to the extent not exempt from disclosure pursuant to State law or any other applicable law. This includes providing access to applicable records retention schedules for all City departments in accordance with new section 3.104.030.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the 27th day of April, 2009, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its passage this 27th day of April, 2009.

Richard Conlin

President of the City Council

Approved by me this 6th day of May, 2009,

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

Filed by me this 6th day of May, 2009.

(Seal) Judith Pippin

City Clerk

Publication ordered by JUDITH PIPPIN, City Clerk

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, May 11, 2009. 5/11(238572)

Page 2 of affidavit