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AN ORDINANCE related to charging a debt management fee for expenses related to the Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee, repealing
Section 3.76.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code.
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## ordnance 120980

AN ORDINANCE related to charging a debt management fee for expenses related to the Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee, repealing Section 3.76 .030 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

WHEREAS, in December 1982, the Council passed Ordinance 110908, creating a new section 3.76 .030 of the Seattle Municipal Code, authorizing the Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee (DMPAC) to charge a debt management fee for its various services; and

WHEREAS, the Executive and Council now wish to bring the revenue collection for DMPAC expenditures in line with the City's general cost allocation methodology for the City's central services; and

WHEREAS, the companion Resolution to this Ordinance adopts a new policy for funding DMPAC expenditures consistent with the City's general cost allocation methodology; NOW THEREFORE,

## BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Effective January 1, 2003, Section 3.76 .030 of the Seattle Municipal Code is hereby

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty (30) days from and after its approval by the Mayor, but if not approved and returned by the Mayor within ten (10) days after presentation, it shall take effect as provided by Municipal Code Section 1.04.020.

Passed by the City Council the $18^{\frac{\text { th }}{} \text { day of November 2002, and signed by me in open session }}$ in authentication of its passage this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of fopemiter, 2002.


Approved by me this $\partial \sqrt{ }$ day


Filed by me this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of Doueulber, 2002.
(Seal) City Clerk
(Seal)
-1.

City of Seattle

## Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

## Office of the Mayor

September 23, 2002
Honorable Peter Steinbrueck
President
Scattle City Council
Municipal Building, $11^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Dear Council President Steinbrueck:
The attached ordinance allows for the establishment of a new cost recovery methodology for fees collected by the City's Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee (DMPAC), by repealing a conflicting section of the Seattle Municipal Code.

In December 1982, the Council passed Ordinance 110908, creating a new section 3.76.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code authorizing DMPAC to charge a debt management fee for its various services. In order to bring the revenue collection for DMPAC expenditures in line with the City's general cost allocation methodology for the City's central services, the attached ordinance repeals this section of the Municipal Code. A companion resolution to this ordinance יpdates the City's debt management policies to reflect this new revenue recovery methodology.

Thank you for your consideration of this legislation. Should you have questions please contact Diane Clausen at 684-8151.


## Fiscal Noie

Each piece of legislation that is financial in nature requires a fiscal note. The fiscal note should be drafted by department staff and should identify operating, capital, revenue, and FTE impacts of the legislation. After preparation by departmental staff, the Department of Finance (DOF) will review and make necessary revisions before transmittal to Council.

| Department: <br> Finance | Contact Person/Phone: <br> Diane Clausen 4-8151 | DOF Analyst/Phone: <br> Sherri Crawford 4-8-75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE related to charging a debt management fee for expenses related to the Debt Management Policy Advisory Committee, repealing Section 3.76.030 of the Seattle Municipal Code.

Summary of the Legislation: This legislation repeals the following language in the Seattle Municipal Code:
"The [Debt Management Policy Advisory] Committee is authorized to charge a debt management fee for its various services to each bond issue or other debt financing action in accordance with a schedule and at levels authorized in the Annual Budget. Such fees shall be deposited in the appropriate expenditure account in the General Fund."

Background (Include justification for the legislation and funding history, if applicable): Current policy requires the Department of Finance (DOF) to assess a Debt Management Policy Advisory (DMPAC) fee on all revenue and general obligation debt issued by the City or guaranteed by the City. These fee proceeds are used for meeting the costs of issuance, management, and administration of debt. One problem with this method of generating revenue is that the amount of revenue generated has no necessary correlation to DMPAC expendi ares. This has resulted in periodic swings in fund balances dedicated to this project.

In order to more closely tie revenue collections to DMPAC expenditure needs, an's to bring this fee collection in line with the City's general cost allocation methodology for its va- .......entrai services, the Mayor's Proposed Budget for 2003-04 collects for the expenditures of the DMPAC via a six fund allocation based on the number of revenue and general obligation bond sales over the preceding five years. This ordinance, and its companion resolution, change debt policies to conform to this new revenue collection methodology.

## Public Private Partnership Review Status:

Is the project referenced in the legislation subject to P4 review? No. If yes, identify P4 review to date.

Is the legislation subject to public hearing requirements? No. If yes, what public hearings have been held to date?

Fiscal Sustainability Issues (related to grant awards):
Estimated Expenditure Impacts:

| FUND (List \# and/o: Account) | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 |

One-time \$ $\qquad$ On-going \$ $\qquad$

Estimated Revenue Impacts:

| FUND (List \# and/or Account) | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 |

One-time \$ $\qquad$ On-going \$ $\qquad$

Estimated FTE Impacts:


## Do positions sunset in the future? If yes, identify sunset date?

Other Issues (including long-term implications of the legislation):
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