ORDINANCE No. 113550

Les Department

COUNCILBILL No. 106260

AN ORDINANCE relating to the Traffic Code, amending Section 11.56.020 of the Seattle Municipal Code to conform to State law providing an additional method of defining the crimes of driving while intoxicated and physical control.

July 28, 1987

Do Pess

| COMPTROLLER FILE No | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Introduced: JUN 2.9 1987 | By: C.t., Hitsas |
| Referred: JUN 2.9 1987 | To Walle SHIE |
| Fleterred: | To: |
| Fioterred: | To: |
| Reported: AUG 1 0 1987 | Second Reading: AUG 1 0 1987 |
| Third Reading:AUE 1 (1997) | Signed: AUG 1 0 1987 |
| Presented to Mayor: AUG 11 1987 | Approved: AUG 2.0 1987 |
| Pleturned to City Clerk: AUG 20 ISSE | Published: |
| Vetoed by Mayor: | Vego Published: |
| Passed over Veto: | Veto Sustained: |

The City of

| Honorable Pre | sident: | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-----|---|
| Your Committ | ee on | | |
| to which was report that we | | | |
| | | | |
| | Ĺ | ₩.E | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | • |

The City of Seattle--Legislative Department

| | RE | PORT OF CO | MMITTEE | _ | and Adopted |
|------------|---|-------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| President: | | | | | |
| | within Council Bi idered the same ar | | lly recommen | that the sar | ne: |
| 1 | 25 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | -7 | | |
| 1 | | A La Committee | chair | | - |
| • | | worming. | w 3211111 | | |

DBW:sr 6/22/87 IC:ORD5.

7

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

1 1

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25 26

27

28

ORDINANCE <u>113550</u>

AN ORDINANCE relating to the Traffic Code, amending Section 11.56.020 of the Seattle Municipal Code to conform to State law providing an additional method of defining the crimes of driving while intoxicated and physical control.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 11.56.020 of the Seattle Municipal Code (Section 2 of Ordinance 108200 as last amended by Ordinance 112959) is amended as follows:

11.56.020. Persons under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug - Chemical analysis - Tests, evidence and penalties.

- A. Driving While Intoxicated.
- 1. A person is guilty of driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug if ((he/she)) the person drives a vehicle within the City while:
 - a. ((He/she)) The person has 0.10 grams or more of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath, as shown by analysis of ((his/her)) the person's breath ((, blood, or other bodily substance)) made under the provisions of this section; or
 - b. The person has 0.10 percent or more by weight of alcohol in the person's blood as shown by analysis of the person's blood made under the provisions of this section; or
 - ((b)). c. ((He/she)) The person is under the influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor or any drug; or
 - ((c)). d. ((He/she)) The person is under the combined influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor and any drug.
- 2. The fact that any person charged with a violation of this subsection is or has been entitled to use such drug under the laws of this state shall not constitute a defense against any charge of violating this subsection.

B. Physical Control.

1 1

- 1. A person is guilty of being in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug if ((he/she)) the person has actual physical control of a vehicle within the City while:
 - a. ((He/she)) The person has 0.10 grams or more of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath, as shown by analysis of ((his/her)) the person's breath ((, blood, or other bodily substance)) made under the provisions of this section; or
 - b. The person has 0.10 percent or more by weight of alcohol in the person's blood as shown by analysis of the person's blood made under the provisions of this section; or
 - ((b)) <u>c.</u> ((He/she)) <u>The person</u> is under the influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor or any drug; or
 - ((c)) d. ((He/she)) The person is under the combined influence of or affected by intoxicating liquor and any drug.
- 2. The fact that any person charged with a violation of this subsection is or has been entitled to use such drug under the laws of this state shall not constitute a defense against any charge of violating this subsection. No person may be convicted under this subsection if, prior to being pursued by a law enforcement officer, ((he/she)) the person has moved the vehicle safely off the roadway.
- C. Implied Control. Any person who operates a motor vehicle within the City is deemed to have given consent, subject to the provisions of this section, to a test or tests of his or her breath or blood for the purpose of determining the alcoholic content of his or her breath or blood if arrested for any offense where, at the time of the arrest, the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe the person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor. The test or

tests of breath shall be administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe the person to have been driving or in actual physical control of a motor vehicle within the City while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor. However, in those instances where:

(1) The person is incapable due to physical injury, physical incapacity, or other physical limitation, of providing a breath sample; or (2) as a result of a traffic accident the person is being treated for a medical condition in a hospital, clinic, doctor's office, or other similar facility in which a breath testing instrument is not present, a blood test shall be administered by a qualified person as provided in RCW 46.61.506(4).

The officer shall inform the person of ((his/her)) the person's right to refuse the breath or blood test, and of ((his/her)) the person's right to have additional tests administered by any qualified person of ((his/her)) the person's choosing as provided elsewhere in this section. officer shall warn the driver, that ((his/her)) the driver's privilege to drive will be revoked or denied if ((he/she)) the driver refuses to submit to the test. The officer shall warn the driver that ((his/her)) the driver's refusal to take the test may be used in a criminal trial. Except as provided in this section, the test administered shall be of the breath only. If an individual is unconscious or is under arrest for the crime of vehicular homicide as provided in RCW 46.61.520, or vehicular assault as provided in RCW 46.61.522, or if an individual is under arrest for the crime of driving while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or drugs in which another person has been injured and there is a reasonable

27 28

20

21

22

23

24

25

likelihood that such other person may die as a result of injuries sustained in the accident, a breath or blood test may be administered without the consent of the individual so arrested.

- D. Person Incapable of Refusal. Any person who is dead, unconscious, or who is otherwise in a condition rendering ((him/her)) the person incapable of refusal, shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by subsection C of this section and the test or tests may be administered, subject to the provisions hereof, and the person shall be deemed to have received the warnings required under subsection C of this section.
- E. Refusal to Submit to Test. If, following his/her arrest and receipt of warnings under subsection C of this section, the person arrested refuses upon the request of a law enforcement officer to submit to a test of his/her breath or blood, no test shall be given except as authorized under subsection C or D or this section. The law enforcement officer shall forward to the Department of Licensing a sworn report that ((he/she)) the officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the arrested person had been driving or was in actual physical control of a motor vehicle within the City under the influence of intoxicating liquor and that the person had refused to submit to the test upon the request of the law enforcement officer after being informed that such refusal would result in the revocation or denial of his/her privilege to drive.
- F. Admissibility of Evidence. Upon the trial of any civil or criminal action or proceeding arising out of acts alleged to have been committed by any person while driving or in actual physical control of a vehicle while under the influence of

intoxicating liquor or any drug, if the amount of alcohol in the person's blood or breath at the time alleged as shown by analysis of ((his/her)) the person's blood((7)) or breath ((erother bodily substance)) is less than 0.10 percent by weight of alcohol in the person's blood or 0.10 grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of the person's breath, it is evidence that may be considered with other competent evidence in determining whether the person was under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug. The breath analysis shall be based upon grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath. The foregoing provisions of this subsection shall not be construed as limiting the introduction of any other competent evidence bearing upon the question whether the person was under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug.

- G. Methods of Analysis. Analysis of the person's blood or breath to be considered valid under the provisions of this section shall have been performed according to methods approved by the State Toxicologist and by an individual possessing a valid permit issued by the State Toxicologist for this purpose.
- H. <u>Blood Tests</u>. When a blood test is administered in accordance with this section, the withdrawal of blood for the purpose of determining its alcoholic content may be performed only by a physician, a registered nurse, or a qualified technician. This limitation shall not apply to the taking of breath specimens.
- I. Right to Additional Tests. The person tested may have a physician or a qualified technician, chemist, registered nurse or other qualified person of his or her own choosing administer

26

27

28

one or more tests in addition to any administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer. The failure or inability to obtain an additional test by a person shall not preclude the admission of evidence relating to the test or tests taken at the question of a law enforcement officer.

J. Right to Information. Upon the request of the person who shall submit to a test or tests at the request of a law enforcement officer, full information concerning this test or

tests shall be made available to him/her or his/her attorney.

K. Penalty.

Every person who is convicted of a violation of the provisions of this section shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than twenty-four consecutive hours nor more than one year, and by a fine or not less than Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) and not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00). Unless the judge finds the person to be indigent, Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00) of the fine shall not be suspended or deferred. The person shall, in addition, be required to complete a course at an alcohol information school approved by the Department of Social and Health Services. If, after completing an alcohol evaluation at the alcohol information school, the convicted person is found to have a serious alcohol problem, the alcohol information school may recommend more intensive alcoholism treatment in a program approved by the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services. In the alternative, the court may bypass alcohol information school if the court determines that more intensive alcoholism treatment in a program approved by the Department of Social and Health Services is appropriate. Twenty-four consecutive hours of the jail sentence shall not

be suspended or deferred unless the judge finds that the imposition of the jail sentence will pose a risk to the defendant's physical or mental well-being. Whenever the mandatory jail sentence is suspended or deferred, the judge must state, in writing, the reason for granting the suspension or deferral and the facts upon which the suspension or deferral is based. The court may impose conditions of probation that may include non-repetition of the offense, alcohol or drug treatment, supervised probation, or other conditions which may be appropriate.

2. Upon a second or subsequent conviction for a

2. Upon a second or subsequent conviction for a violation of the provisions of this section within a five-year period, a person shall be punished by imprisonment for not less than seven days or more than one year and by a fine of not less than Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) and not more than Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). Unless the judge finds the person to be indigent, Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) of that fine shall not be suspended or deferred. The jail sentence shall not be suspended or deferred unless the judge finds that the imposition of a jail sentence will pose a risk to the defendant's physical or mental well-being. Whenever the mandatory jail sentence is suspended or deferred, the judge must state, in writing, the reason for granting the suspension or deferral and the facts upon which the suspension or deferral is based. If, at the time of a second or subsequent conviction, the driver is without a license or permit because of a previous suspension or revocation, the minimum mandatory sentence shall be ninety days in jail and a Two Hundred Dollar (\$200.00) fine. The penalty so imposed shall not be suspended or deferred. The person shall, in addition be required to

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complete diagnostic evaluation at an alcoholism program approved by the Washington State Department of Social and Health Services or other diagnostic evaluation as the court designates. If the person is found to have an alcohol or drug problem requiring treatment, the person shall complete treatment at an approved alcoholism treatment facility or approved drug treatment center.

In addition to any non-suspendable and non-deferrable jail sentence required by the preceding paragraph relating to a second or subsequent conviction, the court shall sentence a person to a term of imprisonment not exceeding one hundred and eighty days and shall suspend but shall not defer the sentence for a period exceeding one year.

The suspension of the sentence may be conditioned upon non-repetition, alcohol or drug treatment, supervised probation, or other conditions that may be appropriate. The sentence may be imposed in whole or in part upon violation of a condition of suspension during the suspension period.

L. <u>Refusal Admissible</u>. The refusal of a person to submit to a test of the alcoholic content of ((his/her)) the person's blood or breath under Seattle Municipal Code Section 11.56.020 C is admissible into evidence at a subsequent criminal trial.

O

1 1

(To be used for all Ordinances except Emergency.)

| | nd be in force thirty days from and after its passage and all take effect at the time it shall become a law under the |
|--|---|
| Passed by the City Council the | day of 1987 |
| and signed by me in open session in authentication | |
| Agust | |
| Approved by me this approved day of | President of the City Council. |
| Filed by me this day of | Mayor. |
| | Attest: Noward & Brokes City Comptroller and City Clerk. |
| (SEAL) | |
| Published | By heres Dunbas Deputy Clerk. |

PUBLISH II DO NOT PUBLISH

-9-

C# 8.1.4

THE CITY OF SEATTLE

LAW DEPARTMENT

MUNICIPAL BUILDING . SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104

AREA CODE 206 TELEPHONE 625-2402

DOUGLAS N. JEWETT, CITY ATTORNEY

June 22, 1987

The Honorable Norm Rice Chair, Public Safety Committee Seattle City Council

Re: Proposed Ordinance Amending SMC 11.56.020

(Driving While Intoxicated)

Dear Councilman Rice:

Enclosed is a proposed ordinance adopting recent changes in the State driving while intoxicated statute. The only substantive change is the addition of a new definition of DWI: "The person has 0.10 percent or more by weight of alcohol in the person's blood as shown by analysis of the person's blood."

Prior to 1986, the offense of DWI was defined as "0.10 percent or more by weight of alcohol in the person's blood." Because breath tests were used to determine a driver's blood-alcohol level, it was necessary in every case to prove that a breath test accurately measured a person's blood-alcohol level.

In 1986, the Legislature amended the law to define DWI as "0.10 grams or more of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath, as shown by analysis of [the person's] breath." This eliminated the need to convert breath-alcohol test results to a corresponding blood-alcohol level.

However a problem with the new law quickly became apparent: in those few cases involving <u>blood</u> tests, the test results now had to be converted into a breath-alcohol reading. For example, an expert needed to testify that a .15 percent by weight of alcohol in a person's blood was equivalent to .15 grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath.

The Honorable Norm Rice June 22, 1987 Page 2

This amendment adds a definition to use in blood-test cases.

Two other bookkeeping changes are made. First, the clumsy "he/she" references are changed to the language used by the State. Second, I have added titles to each section for clarity.

Prompt adoption of this ordinance is recommended. The State law becomes effective July 26, 1987. Although no obvious conflict arises if our amendment is not effective by then, a delay would create unnecessary problems.

Very truly yours,

DOUGLAS B. WHALLEY

Director, Criminal Division

DBW:sr IC:MEM6. Enclosure

Affidavit of Publication

Á

STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY—SS.

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter refered to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

| The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below |
|---|
| stated period. The annexed notice, a |
| Ordinance No. 113550 |
| *************************************** |
| was published on August 27, 1987 |
| P |
| |
| ************************************** |
| R. Salina |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me on |
| August 27, 1987 |
| - Alshan G. (Sant) |
| Notary Public for the State of Washington, residing in Seattle. |

City of Seattle

AN ORBINANCE reading to the Code amounting Section if Section of the Section Marketing Section is selected in State law providing an additional method of defining the critical of defining the critical of defining while in Concepts and physical control.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE ASFOLLOWS.

Section 1. Section 11 98-029 of the Seattle Municipal Lode (Section 2 of Ordinance 108309 as less arrended by Ordinance 112953) is amended as follows:

11 58 928 PERSONS UNDER THE IN-FLUENCE OF INTOXICATING LIQUOR OR ANY DRUG — CHEMICAL ANALYSIS — TESTS, EVIDENCE AND PENALTIES

A DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

i. A person is guilty of driving while
inder the influence of intoxicating liquor
or any drug if (the/she) THE PERSOn
drives a vehicle within the City while

a (Hershe) THE PERSON has 6.10 grams or more of alcohol per two his dred her iters at breath per two his dred her iters at breath as shown by analysis of (Ris/her) THE PERSON'S breath (1 blood, er other budity substance) made under the provisions of this section.

B THE PERSON HAS 0.10 PERCENT OR MORE BY WEIGHT OF ALCOHOL IN THE PERSON'S BLOOD AS SHOWN BY ANALYSIS OF THE PERSON'S BLOOD MADE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION, OR (Me/she) THE PERSON IS

((b)), C ((He/she)) THE PERSON is under the influence of at affected by in-textrating liquor or say drug, or

((e)) D. ((He/she)) THE PERSON is under the combined influence us or af-fected by intoxidating liquor and any

2. The fact that any person charged with a violation of this subsection is or has been entitled to use such drug under the laws of this state shall not constitute a defense against any charge of violating this subsection.

B PHYSICAL CONTROL

1. A person is guilty of being in actual physical control of a motor vehicle while under the influence of interfeating liquer of any drug if the she) THE PERSON actual physical control of a vehicle within the City while.

a (Mar/she)) THE FERSON has 0.16 grams or more of skeppin per two nundred ten liters of breath, as shown by analysis of (his/her)) THE PERSON breath ((blood ex other bedity substance)) made under the provisions of this section, or

b THE PERSON HAS 8 IS PERCENT OR MORE BY WEIGHT OF ALCOHOL IN THE PERSON'S BLOOD AS SHOWN BY ANALYSIS OF THE PER-SON'S BLOOD MADE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION, OR

(bi) C ((He/she) THE PERSON is under the influence of or affected by interesting injurior arry drug; us

((c)) D ((He/she) THE PERSON is under the combined influence of or affected by influxirating figuor and any drug.

2. The fact that any person charged with a violation of this subsection is or has been mittled to use such drug under the laws of his state shall not constitute a defense gainst any charge of violating this subsection. No person may be convicted under his subsection it, price to being pursued as law enforcement officer, (the shell HE PERSON has moved the vehicle safely off the roadway.

Veff the roadway

C. BMFLIED CONTROL. Any person who operates a motor vehicle within the lity is deemed to have given consent, subset to the previsions of this section, in a set or tests of his or her breach or based or the purpose of determining the alcoholic content of his or the breach or based or the purpose of determining the alcoholic content of his or be breach or blood if arrested for any offense where at the time of the arrest, the acresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe the person had been driving or was it satuatively about of a motor vehicle while inder the influence of microraling inquir. The test or tests of hereaft shall be administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer having reasonable grounds to believe the person to have been driving or in actual physical control of a motor while within the City while under the influence of an intoricating liquid. However, in those instances where (I) The person is incapable due to physical incapable of the physical incapable due to physical influence of an intoricating liquid influence of the providing a hreats acrylic or there is incapable due to physical incapable of a traffic acrisem the person is being treated for a medical condition in a biospiral, thise, doctors of fice or other similar facility in which a breath testing medicane is not present. A broad in the providing a present acrise shall be administered by a qualified person as provided in RCW W 66 st. 1004.

The officer shall inform the porson of this hero. The EEESON S. right to rehose

The officer shall inform the person of (bis/her) THE PERSON'S right to refuse the hreath or blood test and of (his/her) THE PERSON'S right to have additional tests administered by any qualified person of (bis/her) THE PERSON'S choose of (bis/her) THE PERSON'S choose the control of the person of

Item has a second of the period in the provisions hereof and the provisions hereof and the provisions hereof and the period of the provisions hereof and the period and the provisions hereof and the period and the per

REFUSAL TO SUBMIT TO TEST II. following his/her arest and receipt of warnings under subsection. On this section, the person arrested rebuses upon the rought of a swarper of the section the person arrested rebuses upon the rought for a less of this her breath or blood no test shall be given except as authorized under subsection. Co. Do fits section. The law enforcement of the shall forecard to the Department of the shall forecard to the Christian of the Strict of the Strict of the shall been failing or was in companied to submit to the shall be person had refused to submit to the test upon the request of the law enforcement uffeet are being inforted that such refusal would result in the revocation or denial of the ferromain of the shall be principled to discount to the shall be revocation or denial of the ferromains of the shall be revocation or denial of the ferromains of the shall be revocation or denial of the ferromains of the shall be revocation or denial of the ferromains of the shall be revocation or denial of the shall be recombined to the shall be reported to the shall be recombined to the shall be researched to the shall be recombined to the shall be researched to the shall be recombined to the shall be recombined to the shall be researched to the shall be recombined to the shall be recombined to the shall be recombe

result in the revocation or denial of his her privilege to drive.

F. ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE.

Upon the trial of any civil or criminal action of proceeding arising out of activities and the process of the first open committee by any person while onlying or in actual physical scatter of a vehicle width under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drive, if the amount of alcohold in the person school or breath at the time alleged as shown by analysis of (this her). HE PERSONS blood (1) OR breath (or other booth) relativists of (this her). HE PERSONS blood (2) OR breath (or other booth) relativists of (this her). HE PERSONS blood (3) OR breath (or other booth) per two hundred ten liters of the service breath, it is evidence that may be considered with other competent evidence in determining whether the person has under the influence of intractability liquor or any drug. The breath snatures shall be hased upon grams of alcohol per two hundred ten illers of breath. The foregoing provisions of the statement and person shall not be constituted as limiting the introduction of any other competent evidence bearing upon the question whether the person was under the influence of introduction given or any drug.

C METHODS OF ANALYSIS. Analysis of the persons bonded.

G. METHODS OF ANALYSIS. Analysis of the person's blood or breath to be considered valid under the provisions of his section shall have been performed according to methods approved by the State Posiciologist and by an individual possessing a talket perform bessets by sections of the State Posiciologist and by an individual possessing a talket performs issued by the State Posiciologist for this purpose.

BLOOD TESTS. When a blood test is administered in accordance with this section, the withortess of almost feet the purpose of determining its sicinfold content may be performed only by a physician. This limitation sheal not apply to the taking of breath specimens.

I RIGHT TO ADDITIONAL TESTS. The serious tested may have a physician or a qualified technicism of demist registered nurse or other qualified persons of his or her own choosing administer one or more tests in addition in any administered at

the state of the s

ARGET TO INFORMATION Upon the request of the person who shall submit to a test or tests at the request of a law entorcement officer, built information continuement officer, built information continuement officer, built information continuement of the state of the shall be made esting this test or tests shall be made esting this test or tests shall be made esting this test or this their attorney as aliable to him/her or flisther attorney.

K PENALTY

E. PENALTY

1. Every person who is convicted of a vinistion of the provisions of this section shall be published by imprisonment for so less than twenty controlled the published by imprisonment for so less than twenty controlled the published by imprisonment for so less than twenty controlled the published by imprisonment for so less than twenty controlled the published by imprisonment for so less than twenty controlled the first boliars \$250,000 and not mare than One Thousand Dollars \$250,000 of the first shall not be person to be indigent. Two thingred Fifty Dollars \$250,000 of the first shall not be person to be indigent. Two thingred Fifty Dollars \$250,000 of the first shall not be person to be indigent. Two thingred Fifty Dollars \$250,000 of the first shall not be person to be indigent. Two thingred Fifty Dollars \$250,000 of the first shall not be person to be indigent. Two thingred the person shall need the person to complete a shall not be person to complete the course at an also hot information of the problem, the should information at the altrihol information at the altrihol information should problem, the should information should problem, the should information should problem, the should information of the alternative the court may bryons alcohol information of Social and Health Services. In the alternative the court may bryons alcoholism treatment to a program approved by the Department of Social and Health Services in appropriate. Twenty four conventive hearts of the fall sentence shall not be suspended or deferred unless the judge finds that the imposition of the jail sentence will pose a risk to the defendant a physical or market well-being. Whenever the manufatory jail sentence shall not be suspended or deferred unless the judge finds that the imposition of the jail sentence will pose a risk to the defendant a physical or market well-being. Whenever the manufatory jail sentence shall not be suspension or deferred, the judge must state in writing the reason for granting the suspension or deferred

drug freatment, supervised probatics, or other conditions which may be appropriate.

2. Upon a second or subsequent contrettion for a violatine of the provisions of this section within a five year period, a person shall be pushed by imprisonment for not less than sever days or more than for each section within a five year period, a person shall be pushed by imprisonment for not less than sever days or more than five than five of the section of the section within a five year and by a fine of not less than five fixandred Bollars (\$560.00) and not more than Two Thousand Dollars (\$500.00) of that fixe shall not be suspected or deterred. The just sentence shall not be suspected or deterred. The just shall not be suspected or deterred. The just sentence shall not be suspected or deterred. The just sentence is suspended or deterred the just state, in writing the recognition of a fail sentence the mandatury in the suspection or deferred the just state, in writing the suspension or deferred and the facts upon which the suspension or deferral sound of a three time of a second or subsequent conviction, the driver is writhout a lineage or person't because of a previous suspension or revocation the minimum anadatory sentence shall be minimum and the fixed as a second or subsequent conviction, the driver is provided as a lineage or person't because of a previous suspension or revocation the minimum anadatory sentence shall be printed days in jail and a five fill-order [The person shall, in addition, he required to complete diagnostic evaluation as in a subschiption Scate Department of Social and Health Services or other diagnostic evaluation as the court designates. If the person shall, in addition to require the wealth of such as a subschiption sentence is a new order of ring problem requiring treatment the person shall conclude treatment at an inproved alcoholism treatment addition approved alcoholism treatment and the suspected by the wealth of the sentence to the minimum and the sentence of the person is found to have an

In addition to any non-suspendable and on-deferrable jail sentence required by the preceding paragraph relating to a second or subsequent conviction, the tour shall sentence a person to a term of imprisonment not exceeding one hundred and eighty days and shall suspend but shall an defer the sentence for a period exceeding one year.

The suspension of the sentence may be conditioned upon non-receition, shothed or drug treatment supervised probation, or other conditions that may be appropriate the sentence may be imposed in whole or in part upon violation of a condition of suspension during the suspension period.

refuse of a person to submit to a the of the alcoholic content of (bis/ker? THE PERSON'S blood OR BREATH under Seattle Municipal Code Section 11.56 CBC is admissible into evidence at a subse-quent criminal trial.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take of lect and be in force thirty days from and after its passage and approval, if approve by the Mayor, otherwise it shall take of text at the time it shall become a law under the provisions of the city charter.

Passed by the City Council the 18th day of August, 1887, and signed by me in open excume in authentication of its passage this 18th day of August, 1887.

SAM SMITH