

AN ORLINANCE establishing a Public Reinvestment Review Board, defining its membership and cryanization, declaring its dufies and powers, and providing for supplies, clerical assistance, and staffing for the performance of its functions.

.....

ENGROSSED

C.F. 283919

9783		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
00000.		
فتشتقه		
0000	******	
9 ANS		
		5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
/WWWW		
, and the second se		
CT		2
(),		
Ø,		Ŷ
9		¥
2		ų t
		÷
		÷
		16
		1
		Т
	- H	3E 16
	- H	36 1¢
	. 1	3E 16
	. 1	35 16
	. 1	35 16
	. 1	. 35 16
	. 1	* 35 16
	. 1	m 35 16
	. 1	m 35 16
	. 1	an 36 16
	. 1	an 35 16
	. 1	ŝ
	. 1	ŝ
	. 1	ŝ
••••		Par
••••		Par
••••		Par
		ŝ

			••••••	*****	

	*******	.			

	*******		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	******************			********	
					100 C
2.2			Sec. 393	Mar	
				A	
.			- C3		
			C		
PRIMALIT	Planning and Urb.		SECOND READING. M/// 0.0.1077	22 978	
.			Call		
				P	5. 34 Aug
1. Y			C. Mar		APPROVED. Mark
			Sec		
GR :				COMPANY CONTRACTOR	
				0 15 WY 2000	2.0 0000
				X	8~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			8.2.6800 PC	se - 1000 and 100	2
			(1.1.1)		X
					800-1000000
	a				SC 888888
÷.	<u> 2</u>		.		
÷					
•					
	2				
	2				
t	976				
b / c	376				
b / T	1976				
b /27	1976				
b /27	1976				
₿,C1 ,	1976				
8 /27 *	1976				9
å ∕~7 *;	1976				
å ∕27 *0	1976				5 12 12 12
\$ /27 *??	1976				8 0.50
\$ /27 *??	1976				30.0
\$/CT *03	1976				30.03
\$/CT *07	1976				108. 10.70
\$/CT *??	1976				NOE 10.70
\$/CT *ND 1	1976				AYOR. 2 10 xc
1. cu, traf	1976				MYOR 2 10.0
d/27 *22 13/0	1976				MATOR: 7
AL CO. 12/0	1976				MATOR.
0/2T *07 12/0	1976				2 2 10 70
4/2T *02 12/4	1976				0 MATOR 0 2 10/2
0061 CJ 13/0	1976				TO MATOR
ADEL CO. 12/8	1976				10 MAYOR
WUDEL CO., 12/0	1976				I D MATOR
0/CT (02 1300)	tober 25, 1976				D 10 MAYOR 12 0 2 10 5
C WUNCH C 7 13/0	tober 25, 1976	*			B to MATOR
W WUREI CO. 12/0	tober 25, 1976	Ð			TE TO MATOR
APPENDER CO' TAVA	tober 25, 1976	Đ			TED TO MATOR
ACTANCE CO' TAVA	tober 25, 1976	8			ME TO MYOR
AT MARKE CO' TOUR	tober 25, 1976	RED.			NTED TO MATOR
ALWURS CO JOURN	tober 25, 1976	KRD.			ENTED TO MAYOR.
W WUREI CO. 12/0	tober 25, 1976				SENTED TO MATOR.
ALLUNE CO. 13/4	tober 25, 1976	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			SENTED TO MATCH.
ACT (02 1200 73/0	tober 25, 1976	efekteb.			ESENTED TO MAYOR.
0/21 "12 13/00 T3/0	tober 25, 1976	(FIRED.			RESENTED TO MAYOR.
0/27 *03 1300 T3/0	tober 25, 1976	REFERED			PRESENTED TO MAYOR.
ALEN LO BUDAN	1976	REFEREDS		2	PRESENTED TO MAYOR.

Rev.

		*****	********	***********	********
				••••••	
			••••••		*******
			••••••		
			***********		********
	C		**********		

*********			**********		*********
				5	
	V				
				(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	
Â	N			202	
					100
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 20 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		1.1.1			
			S		
MUN	autor 2 2 1978	APPROVED.	8	VETO PUBLISHED.	VETO SUSTAINED
*******	X (Marine 1998)				
	5 (COM 1997)			**********	
******		8.2. 8 00.000			
2002.000	Se 1000000003	8 000000000			
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	********	*********		*******
*******		**********	***********		
	2/1				
					~~~~~
	8	4	500		
œ	2	æ	æ		
ib	78	80 80	8		
R	375	8 376	.8		
8	376	920			
ß	1976	36 10/6	80		£
828	1976	roe	ERK. ESE	a	ő
678	1976	AYOR. \$ 1878	ERK.	×.	ĝ
88	3 1976	1978	LERK. G. EJ.T.	B	ĝ
3 876	2 1978	MAYOR. 3 10:15	CLERK. G 1070	ğ	ČE ČE
3 878	2 1976	MATOR. 3 1975	CLERK.	ζ α	VED
3 1978	8. 2 1978	D MATOR	CLERK. 2.0.1070	AYD8.	VEIC
3 1976	22 1976	0 MATOR. 2 3 1976	Y CLERK. 2 G 10/2	MYOR.	I VEIO
3 2 1976	22 1978	IO MATOR	n clen. 24 E.T.	MAYOR.	K VEIO
22 1976	22 978	10 MAYOR. 2 3 1970	IT CER. 120 B.D.	MATOR	HE VEIC
32 1976	Para 1978	TO MATOR	CITY CLERK.	7 MAYOR	
122 1976	V22 1978	b to wayou V 2.3 1970	or cer. V 2 3 B/D	IT MATOR	DVER VEIC
V 2.2 1976	N22 1976	ID MATOR	e cin clerk. DV 2 0 1075	BY MAYOR.	OVIE VEIO
N 2 2 1976	1V 2 2 1975	TED TO MATOR. OV 2.3. 13/5	o cry clear. DV 2 G DVD	BY MAYOR.	OVER VETO
<u> </u>	варно. 0V 2.2 1978	ITED TO MATOR INV 2.3 1075	ID CITY CLERK. IDV 2 G ISTA) BY MATOR	DVER VEIC
<u>0V 2 2 1976</u>	10V22 1976	NED TO MAYOR	to cirv cielle. NDV 2:0: 10:75	D IY MAYOR	D OVER VEIO
10V 2 2 1976	2 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ENTE TO MATOR	TO CITY CLERK. NOV 2 G 1076	ED BY MAYOR.	ED OVER VEIO
NOV 2.2 1976	N0V22 1975	NOV 2 3 10/6	NOV 2 C LERK	DED BY MAYOR.	SED OVER VEIO.
NOV 2.2 1975	W EVD 2 1976	NUV 23 127	D. TO CITY CLEHK. NOV 2 G 1070	OED BY MAYOR	ISED OVER VETO
NOV 2.2 1976	W0V22 978	SENTE TO MICE	ID. TO CITY CLERK. NOV 2 V 1275	TOB IT MATCH.	ALE OVER VEIO
NOV 2.2 1975	WWW22 1975	NUV 3 2 10 10	ero, to cirv ciere. NOV 2/0 12/2	FIGED BY MAYOR	ASSED OVER VEIO
NOV 2.2 1976	WW 22 1976	RESERVED TO MATCH. NOV 23 5 10 15	MOV 2 G ICC	VETCED BY MAYOR	PASED OVER VEIC.
NOV 2.2 1976	THIR RADING	HERING IN MATCH	NDV 2 5 1375	VETOED BY MAYOR	PASSED OVER VEID.
<u>NOV 2 2 1976</u>	THE RADING	MOV 2 3 1976	RED. TO CITY CLERK. NOV 2 G 1075	VETOED BY MAYOR	PASSED OVER VEIO
NOV 2 2 1976	THER READING. NOV 2:2: 1975	PERSITE TO MATOR. NOV 23 1075	MDV 2.0 EXE	VEIGED BY MAYOR	PASSED OVER VEICO

-

ENGROSSED

5408

••••

.

TW:kw 11/19/76

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $\mathbf{24}$

2.5

26

27

28

ordinance 105987

- AN ORDINANCE establishing a Public Reinvestment Review Board, defining its membership and organization, declaring its duties and powers, and providing for supplies, clerical assistance, and staffing for the performance of its functions.
- WHEREAS, in July 1975, the Central Seattle Community Council Federation released a report alleging disinvestment of older neighborhoods by lending institutions in Seattle; and since then numerous other community organizations and citizens have also alleged that disinvestment has occurred in Seattle;
- WHEREAS, on July 9, 1975, the Mayor along with Councilmembers John Miller and Paul Kraabel announced the formation of a Reinvestment Task Force to determine and document investment policies and any problems therewith, to evaluate policies in other cities, and to recommend actions to encourage policies in the best interests of Seattle;
- WHEREAS, on April 16, 1976, a draft report of the Reinvestment Task Force was promulgated, followed on June 8 with two separate final reports by the lender members of the Reinvestment Task Force and the community members of the Reinvestment Task Force which were submitted to the Mayor and City Council;
- WHEREAS, on August 19, 1976, the Mayor prepared a document entitled a Seattle Residential Loan Review Process, a copy of which is attached, that recommends adoption of certain appraisal and underwriting standards, establishment of a Lenders' Review Board and a Public Reinvestment Review Board, the publication of certain information by participating lending institutions, and the execution by participating lending institutions of a Statement of Participation; and the Statement of Participation subordinates the standards, policies, and procedures governing the loan review process to compliance with federal and state laws;
- WHEREAS, on August 24, 1976, the Mayor appointed the Lenders' Review Board to carry out the purposes and procedures of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process, and eight lending institutions agreed to participate on the basis of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process dated August 19, 1976.
- WHEREAS, a significant number of Seattle lending institutions did not wish to participate in the Lenders' Review Board on the basis of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process dated August 19, 1976, for reasons including: (1) potential conflict with antitrust and other federal and state laws, and (2) concerns raised in advisory letters from regulatory officials charged with the responsibility for the supervision of financial institutions making real estate loans;
- WHEREAS, on September 3, 1976, the Mayor requested an advisory opinion from the United States of America, Department of Justice, Anti-Trust Division "whether any provisions of the document appear to be in conflict with federal anti-trust laws" and made similar requests to state officials with regulatory authority over lending institutions chartered by the state; and the Mayor has indicated that if any provisions of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process are found to be inconsistent with federal or state law revisions shall be made;
- WHEREAS, on October 11, 1976, the Lenders' Review Board adopted bylaws, a copy of which is attached, which bylaws provide for the review of loan applications to determine whether they were "improperly based upon the geographical location of the security property or other non-economic considerations," provide for participation by lending institutions which agree to cooperate and support the bylaws and pay their fair share of the Board's expenses, and provide for membership, budget, and operations of the Lenders' Review Board; and most of the lending institutions which did not wish to participate on the basis of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process dated August 19, 1976, have agreed to participate in accordance with the bylaws; and

C88 19.2

WHEREAS, implementation of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process requires the establishment of a Public Reinvestment Review Board and establishment of such a Board and the procedures contemplated herein may contribute to stimulating reinvestment within Seattle neighborhoods and fulfilling community and business needs for credit; and would further the goals and purposes of the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 (89 Stat. 1125 et seq.; 12 USC 2801 - 2809), the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 633; 42 USC 5301 et seq.) and other federal laws and city policies implementing them; and may assist in preventing the occurrence of discrimination that would violate the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 241; 42 USC \$ 2000d), the Civil Rights Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 1521; 15 USC \$ 3604, 3605), and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1974 (88 Stat. 1521; 15 USC \$ 1691), the State Law Against Discrimination (RCW Chapter 49.60), and Ordinance 102843, Section 12A.44.020; and would serve the best interests of the City; Now, Therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 1. Definitions. The following terms shall have the following meanings, unless the context indicates otherwise:

(a) "Appraisal and underwriting policies" means the appraisal and underwriting standards set forth in Section II of the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process dated August 19, 1976, a copy of which is attached, as amended or supplemented from time to time in accordance with Section 7 of this ordinance, <u>provided</u> nothing therein shall authorize any action that would be contrary to federal or state law or city ordinance.

(b) "Board" means the Public Reinvestment Review Board established by this ordinance.

(c) "Lending Institution" includes any bank, insurance company, savings or building and loan association, credit union, trust company, mortgage company, or other person engaged wholly or partly in the business of lending money for the financing or acquisition, construction, repair or maintenance of real estate in Seattle or improvements thereon.

(d) "Lenders' Review Board" means the Board established by real estate lending institutions in the City of Seattle to review rejected loan applications.

Section 2. Establishment of a Public Reinvestment Review Board. There is hereby established a Public Reinvestment Review Board effective upon confirmation by the City Council of the Mayor's appointments to the Board.

C88 19.2

Section 3. Membership and Organization of the Board.

(a) Membership. The Board shall be composed of nine (9) members who are Seattle residents, who have demonstrated a commitment to neighborhood preservation and revitalization, and who are knowledgeable about reinvestment issues. Women and racial minorities shall be adequately represented on the Board. The presiding officer of the Lenders' Review Board shall serve as an <u>ex-officio</u> nonvoting member of the Board in addition to the nine regular members. An alternate selected by the Lenders' Review Board may serve as a temporary substitute in the absence of such <u>ex-officio</u> member. No officer or employee of a lending institution or of the City shall hold any position as a voting member of the Board.

(b) Appointments, Terms of Office. Within ten (10) days after the effective date of this ordinance, the Mayor shall issue a public announcement that nominations will be accepted for appointments to the Board. The announcement shall state a reasonable period of time during which nominations will be received and shall solicit nominations from interested persons and organizations and from the public at large. After the close of the stated nomination period, the Mayor shall appoint members of the Board, subject to confirmation by the City Council. Appointments shall be for a term of three (3) years, provided that of the first voting members so appointed, three (3) shall serve for a term ending December 31, 1977, and three (3) shall serve for a term ending December 31, 1978. Vacant and expired terms will be filled in the same manner as original appointments.

(c) Organization, Meetings. The Board shall elect its officers, establish rules and regulations for its organization and procedures appropriate to the conduct of its duties. Its rules and regulations shall include policies and practices, consistent with federal and state laws, to protect the privacy of loan applicants and to prevent unnecessary disclosure of confidential information. A majority of the Board shall constitute a quorum. The Board shall hold meetings at least quarterly; its Chairperson may call additional meetings. Meetings of the Board shall be open to the public, provided executive sessions limited to the loan applicant(s) and the affected lending institution(s) may, upon request of the loan applicant(s), be held to

C35 19.2

consider and conciliate a referral or appeal from the Lenders' Review Board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(d) Compensation. Members of the Board shall serve without compensation. Section 4. Duties of the Board. The Board shall have the powers and duties set forth in this Section 4.

(a) <u>Referrals and Appeals from the Lenders' Review Board</u>. The Board shall review in a timely manner loan applications and any other matters referred by or appealed from the Lenders' Review Board. The review shall be conducted in accordance with the appraisal and underwriting policies. The following guiding principles shall govern appeal procedures:

(1)Whenever, after considering the evidence presented, the Board concludes that a loan should have been or should be granted upon the basis of the appraisal and underwriting policies, the Board will endeavor to secure such a loan for the applicant(s) in accordance with the application made. If the Board concludes from the evidence that certain modifications in certain terms and conditions of extending credit or on the amount of credit extended would or reasonably should make credit, otherwise denied, available to the applicant(s) on the basis of the appraisal and underwriting policies, the Board may inform the applicant(s) and the affected lending institution(s), and at the request of the applicant(s), the Board will recommend a basis for settlement of the appeal or referral to the applicant(s) and the affected lending institution(s) and, if the applicant(s) be willing, the Board will endeavor to secure a loan for the applicant(s) in accordance with its recommendation. In either endeavor, the Board may, among other alternatives, request the affected lending institution(s) to reconsider, recommend further review and consideration of the matter by the Lenders' Review Board, offer conciliation services, and/or seek placement with lending institutions.

(2) If the Board concludes on the basis of the appraisal and underwriting policies that an application for a loan was properly denied and the Board determined that the process of seeking modifications in order to procure a loan does not seem feasible or should not be pursued further, the Board will inform the

-4-

C88 19.2

applicant(s) and the affected lending institution(s) of its decision and the reasons for its decision.

(3) If the Board concludes that conditions on the block or in the immediate vicinity of the property to be purchased, improved, or secured by the requested loan form the basis for a denial of an application for a loan or cause adverse terms or conditions for the extension of credit, the Board will bring the conditions and the problems caused to the attention of those public agencies and/or private persons and interests which have the authority and resources to improve the conditions.

(4) The Board will review and decide all appeals within twenty-one (21) working days after receipt of the notice of appeal and supporting documentation.

(b) <u>Complaints Regarding Lending Institutions</u>. The Board shall review complaints that are brought before it regarding the financing of the purchase or improvement of real estate in accordance with the appraisal and underwriting policies, <u>provided that</u> whenever the applicant(s) in filing a complaint seek a loan pursuant to an application, the complaint shall first be reviewed by the Lenders' Review Board.

]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(c) <u>Monitoring and Reporting on Disclosure Information</u>. The Board shall:

 Monitor disclosure information reported by lending institutions pursuant to the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 (89 Stat. 1125; 12 USC S 2801-2809) and any other available information similar thereto, analyze or compile the same, and make such reports as deemed appropriate; and

(2) Present to the Mayor, the City Council, the Lenders' Review Board and participating lending institutions an annual report upon residential lending patterns in Seattle based on the disclosure information monitored by the Board and any other relevant information, including among other matters, an analysis and documentation of trends in denials of applications for loans and of variations from the appraisal and underwriting policies. The first report shall be due no later than December 31, 1976. (d) <u>Review of Applications to Regulatory Agencies</u>. The Board may review and make recommendations to state and federal regulatory agencies on applications filed by lending institutions for issuance of charters, for coverage by deposit insurance, for approval of branch offices, relocation or expansion of offices, for approval of acquisitions and mergers, and for taking other actions that require authorization or consent by such regulatory agencies and may affect the credit available to Seattle neighborhoods, based on:

 The lending institution's record in meeting the credit needs of Seattle neighborhoods;

(2) The lending institution's efforts to determine the current and future credit needs of Seattle neighborhoods;

(3) The lending institution's policy and program commitments to meet the current and future credit needs of Seattle neighborhoods; and

(4) The lending institution's participation in and cooperation with the Lenders' Review Board and cooperation with the Board in fulfilling its duties under this ordinance.

Section 5. Public Hearings. In carrying out its duties, the Board may conduct public hearing and accept public testimony, provided that such hearings are conducted in a manner to protect the privacy of loan applicant(s) and prevent unnecessary disclosure of confidential information.

Section 6. Staff Support. The City shall provide the Board adequate support for the execution of its duties, including clerical asistance, staff and office supplies, through an office or department of City government designated by the Mayor.

Section 7. Amendment of Appraisal and Underwriting Policies. The Board may amend the appraisal and underwriting policies employed by the Board, and when such amendments are filed with the City Comptroller they shall have the force and effect of amendments to the appraisal and underwriting policies employed by the Board.

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

-6-

Section 8. Review of Board. In accordance with Ordinance 99003, the Board shall submit to the City Council two years after its establishment, or at the discretion of the City Council at an earlier time, a report on the function and activities of the Board, and the report shall be reviewed by the City Council to determine whether the Board should be continued.

Section 9. This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty days from and after its passage and approval, if approved by the Mayor; otherwise it shall take effect at the time it shall become a law under the provisions of the city charter.

Passed by the City Council the λ	2	Y / November	, 1 976 .,
and signed by me in open session in auth November	nentication of its passage		day of
Approved by me this	of	nt of the C	City Council.
		neu	Mayor.
Filed by me this 29	November	, 19 76 . PN-12-	
	Attest:	6 K. 1 3~	And Marine

City Comptroller, and City Clerk.

CSS 8.1.6

B₁ Deputy Clerk.

(SEAL)

Published

SEATTLE RESIDENTIAL

LOAN REVIEW PROCESS

August 19, 1976

. :

SECTION I

SEATTLE RESIDENTIAL LOAN REVIEW PROCESS

Participation in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process will be on the basis of the following purposes and procedures:

1

A. Purposes

- 1. Adherence to appraisal and underwriting standards which assure credit worthy loan applicants access to conventional residential loans for property located in any Seattle block on the same terms such loans are available in the suburbs.
- 2. Provision of an appeals process for Seattle residential loan applicants who believe that a participating lending institution has improperly applied these appraisal and underwriting standards.
- 3. Development of a common interpretation of these appraisal and underwriting standards by a review of loan applications which participating lending institutions reject or for which they offer terms less favorable than their uniform loan terms.
- 4. Identification of any Seattle blocks which represent risks that justify exceptions from these appraisal and underwriting standards so that steps can be taken, if possible, to eliminate the causes of those risks.
- 5. Expansion of informed consumer choice among lending institutions through the publication of loan terms for conventional and FHA-VA loans.

B. Participation in the Seattle Loan Review Process

- Initially, each lending institution serving Seattle through real estate lending will be invited by the Mayor to participate in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process through the adoption of the revised appraisal and underwriting standards and agreement to the purposes and procedures governing the Loan Review Process.
- The chief executive officer of each lending institution which wishes to participate in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process will sign and return to the Mayor the attached participation form.
- 3. Each lending institution which participates in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process may nominate one employee to the Lenders' Review Board.
- 4. Lending institutions which join the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process after its initiation may do so by following the procedures specified in 1, 2 and 3 of this section (B). Each institution will also be entitled to nominate one employee for membership on the Lenders' Review Board as vacancies arise.
- C. Membership and Organization of Lenders' Review Board
 - 1. The Lenders' Review Board will be composed of nine lender members and one

ex-officio representative from the Mayor's Office of Policy Planning. At least four of the lender members will be women and minorities.

- 2. The lender members will be appointed by the Mayor from the nominations submitted by lending institutions participating in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process.
- 3. The Mayor will appoint the Board within 10 days of receipt of the initial nominees. Appointments will be for terms of not less than one nor more than three years.
- 4. Should a Review Board member terminate his or her affiliation with a participating lending institution then membership on the Review Board shall be terminated.
- 5. Vacant and expired terms will be filled in the same manner as the original appointments.
- 6. Members of the Lenders' Review Board will elect a chairperson and any other officers necessary to carry out the Board's responsibilities.
- 7. The Lenders' Review Board will adopt organizational procedures necessary for the orderly conduct of its business. These procedures will be included in all materials advertising the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process.
- 8. The Lenders' Review Board will prepare minutes describing the considerations and actions of the Board and distribute them to the Mayor, the City Council, all the participating financial institutions, and to the Public Review Board.

D. Loan Review Process

- a. Each loan applicant will be provided a statement of the uniform loan terms in effect at that time and a brochure describing these appraisal and underwriting standards and the loan review process.
 A loan applicant is considered any individual making an in person inquiry about a residential loan.
 - b. At that time, the person will be informed orally of the right to appeal any loan decision by the lending institution whether their decision relates to a written or oral request for a loan.
 - c. If a lending institution rejects a loan application or offers terms at variance with its uniform terms, it will so notify the applicant in writing and indicate the specific appraisal and underwriting standard(s) and credit criteria which were used in arriving at that decision. The written notice will include a statement explaining that person's right to have the lending institution's decision appealed and the procedure to be used in the appeal.
 - d. Any person appealing a lending institution's decision is entitled to be represented or otherwise assisted before the Review Boards.
 Examples: officers of community organizations, ministers, friends, attorneys, engineers, contractors, architects.

2

If the L nders' Review Board determines that the lending institution which rejected the loan or offers terms at variance with its uniform terms has not properly applied the appraisal and underwriting standards contained in Section II, and that the loan should be made, the Board shall recommend that the loan be made.

e. .

If the lending institution does not make the loan in accordance with the recommendation, the Lenders' Review Board will place the loan with another participating institution.

If a participating lending institution does not follow the recommendations of the Lenders' Review Board, it will provide the Board with a written explanation of its decision which shall be incorporated into the minutes of the Lenders' Review Board.

- f. If the Lenders' Review Board determines that the lending institution which rejected the loan application or offered terms at variance with its uniform terms has made its judgement in accord with these appraisal and underwriting standards, the lending institution will give the applicant written notice of the Lenders' Review Board decision along with its documented reasons supporting the rejection or alteration in terms. The written notice will include a statement explaining that person's right to have the decision appealed to the Public Review Board and the procedure to be used in the appeal.
- g. If the Public Review Board concludes that the lending institution and the Lenders' Review Board did not act in accord with these appraisal and underwriting standards, it will attempt to persuade the lending institution to reconsider its evaluation.
- h. If the Public Review Board concurs that a rejection of the loan application or modification in terms is consistent with these appraisal and underwriting standards, written notice of this decision along with the documented reasons will be forwarded to the applicant through the lending institution which received the application.
- i. If the Lenders' and Public Review Boards determine that the condition(s) on the block warrant a rejection or alteration in terms, the Boards will bring the problem(s) to the attention of those public agencies and/or private interests which have the authority and resources to improve the conditions.
- j. During the first six months the Seattle Loan Review Process is in operation, participating lending institutions will solicit the authority of loan applicants to forward to the Lenders' Review Board all firm applications and supporting documentation for loans which were rejected or whose terms were altered. The Lenders' Review Board will analyze this information to determine whether the decisions of participating institutions were made in accord with these appraisal and underwriting standards and whether the appeal process should be strengthened. At the end of the six months period, the Lenders' Review Board will make a report and appropriate recommendations to participating lending institutions, the Public Review Board, and Mayor.

- 2. Applicants who appeal to the Lenders' and Public Review Boards will have a determination from the appropriate Board(s) no later than 21 working days after the Board(s) has received the applications.
- 3. The Lenders' and Public Review Boards will adopt policies consistent with Federal and State laws to protect the confidentiality of information provided by loan applicants.

E. Publication of Uniform Loan Terms

The participating lenders will submit to the Public Review Board twice monthly the uniform loan terms, down payments, repayment periods, interest rates and loan fees for conventional and FHA-VA residential loans for all participating lending institutions.

SECTION II

APPRAISAL AND UNDERWRITING POLICIES OF THE LENDING INSTITUTIONS PARTICIPATING IN THE SEATTLE RESIDENTIAL LOAN REVIEW PROCESS

The following appraisal and underwriting policies will apply to all first mortgage, rehabilitation and home improvement loans (both secured and unsecured) on single-family and multi-family residential structures.

- A. Appraisal and Underwriting Standards for Evaluating Neighborhood and Property Conditions and the Credit Worthiness of Applicants.
- B. Uniformity of Downpayments, Repayment Periods, Interest Rates and Finance
 Charges Throughout Seattle and the Suburbs.
- C. Disclosure to Loan Applicants: Appraisals and Credit Evaluations, Appraisal and Underwriting Standards, Uniform Loan Terms and Description of Loan Review Process.

A. Appraisal and Underwriting Standards for Evaluating Neighborhood and Property Conditions and the Credit Worthiness of Applicants.

1. Neighborhood Conditions:

All types of real estate loans will be made available on every block in Seattle without alteration in loan terms (down payments, repayment periods, interest rates and finance charges). If there is reason to believe that the condition(s) on a block warrant a rejection of the loan or alteration in terms, a description of the nature and extent of the risk(s) will be prepared. Also, an analysis will be prepared which shows that the degree of risk justifies the loan rejection or alteration in terms. Examples of such risk factors are adverse geologic and atmospheric conditions such as slides and air and noise pollution and extensive housing abandonment. Both the description and analysis of the condition(s) on the block will be submitted to the Lenders' Review Board.

b. In reviewing a lending institution's description and analysis of risk factors, the Lenders' Review Board will look specifically for constructive efforts to mitigate any adverse conditions on the block. These constructive efforts may include, but should not be limited to, self-help improvement efforts of residents on the block, provision of basic housekeeping services by the City, housing rehabilitation and other neighborhood improvement programs sponsored by community organizations, the City, lenders or other organizations. In those cases where the Lenders' Review Board can document reasonable efforts to eliminate the cause(s) of risk on the block, it will support the loan applicant.

- c. If the Lenders' Review Board concurs that the condition(s) on the block warrant a rejection or alteration in loan terms, the Public Review Board will review the description and analysis of the block condition(s) and all mitigating factors, and make a recommendation to the lending institution.
- d. If the Lenders' and Public Review Boards concur that the condition(s) on any block warrant a loan rejection or alteration in terms, the Boards will bring the problem(s) to the attention of those public agencies and/or private interests which have the authority and resources to improve the conditions so that conventional lending can be resumed without alteration in loan terms.

6

- e. Participating lending institutions will instruct appraisers: not to provide information on the racial, ethnic and economic composition of neighborhood residents, the overall age of neighborhood housing or appraisers' estimates of the quality of neighborhood schools; and, if it is provided, the lender will disregard it.
- f. Legitimate neighborhood factors to be used in the selection of comparable properties may include topography, view and proximity to shopping and public resources such as parks, recreational facilities and public transportation.
- 2. Property Conditions

- a. Loans secured by real estate will be made on every residential structure in Seattle whose physical condition can reasonably be expected to protect the health and safety of the occupants.
- b. The following sources may be used to determine the physical condition of residential structures: Appraisal reports, surveys by private building inspection firms and advisory inspections conducted by the City Department of Buildings.
- c. If residential properties are not in safe and sanitary condition, but are economically feasible to repair, financing for property repairs will be made available to loan applicants. The economic feasibility of such repair loans will be determined by two criteria:
 - i. Underwriting policies for credit worthiness which are incorporated into the appraisal and underwriting standards adopted by participants in the Seattle Loan Review Process. (See Section I. A. 3. Credit <u>Worthiness of Applicants.</u>) These policies include an evaluation of <u>applicants' financial capacity to maintain the property in a safe and sanitary condition and replace worn out structural and mechanical components as well as repay the indebtedness.</u>
 - ii. That the market value of the subject property after rehabilitation is not less than a total of the repair cost and the outstanding indebtedness on the subject property.

Applicants for relair loans which satisfy the criteria for economic feasibility must enter into binding agreements with the lending institutions to make the needed repairs on a mutually agreed upon schedule.

- d. When considering a loan application for a residential structure whose as-is or after-repair condition represents a higher degree of improvement than other residential structures on the block, the appraisal policy will be to base the estimated market value of the subject property on comparisons with similarly improved properties. The estimated market value of the subject property should not be reduced because other properties on the same block are not improved to the same level.
- 3. Credit Worthiness of Applicants
 - a. Credit standards will be maintained which provide reasonable assurances that borrowers will repay the loans.
 - b. The following guidelines will be used in evaluating the credit worthiness of loan applicants for owner occupied units:
 - i. Borrowers must have established a credit record which reflects proper regard for repayment of obligations.
 - ii. When a review of the credit record indicates that an applicant has not met past credit obligations, a diligent effort will be made to determine whether the reasons for the delinquencies were beyond the control of the applicant with a minimal probability of recurrence.
 - iii.Borrowers must have clearly established a verified source of funds to make the down payments and to pay all other costs incidental to closing a loan.
 - iv. The monthly payment for the loan, taxes and insurance should generally not exceed 35 percent of gross income.
 - V. Borrowers' income sources should be adequate to cover operations, maintenance and replacement of worm out structural and mechanical components in addition to the monthly payment for the loan, taxes and insurance. (The outlays for operations, maintenance and replacement will vary depending on the age and condition of the house and the type and use of utilities.)
 - vi. The total of the mortgage payment and any other installment obligations extending beyond 10 months generally should not exceed 35 percent of verified gross monthly income.
 - vii.Joint incomes of wives and husbands as well as income from second jobs held for reasonably long periods of time will be given full consideration, unless it can be determined that such income can not be expected to continue.

c. Standard income and expense analyses will be used to evaluate the income potential of rental units whose owners apply for loans.

8

- B. Uniformity of Down Payments, Repayment Periods, Interest Rates and Finance Charges Throughout Seattle and the Suburbs.
 - Each institution will maintain uniform requirements in its lending policies and practices for down payments, repayment periods, interest rates and finance charges. These terms will, as a matter of policy, be applied uniformly to properties, borrowers and blocks throughout Seattle and the surrounding suburbs. A lending institution may grant different terms on a case by case basis when special circumstances warrant; however, in no case will the terms be more stringent than the published uniform terms.
 - 2: There may be some variance in conventional residential loan terms among lending institutions, but each institution will apply its terms uniformly throughout Seattle and the suburbs. Example: A bank participating in the loan review process may establish minimal downpayment requirements at 10 percent and a participating savings and loan association may set its minimal requirement at 15 percent. However, both institutions will uniformly apply these requirements to all borrowers and properties throughout the City and suburbs.
 - 3. The loan terms of each institution may vary from time to time, depending on fluctuations in interest rates and the availability of funds. However, changes in loan terms will be applied uniformly. Example: A savings and loan association participating in the loan review process may ration its supply of lendable funds, because of deposit outflows. The rationing takes the form of increased downpayment requirements. The revised downpayment requirements will be applied equally to borrowers and properties throughout the City and suburbs.
 - 4. Loan terms may be adjusted according to the financial needs of applicants as long as the modified rates are uniformly available to all applicants. Example: A mutual savings bank participating in the loan review process may establish its minimal required downpayment at 10% and the maximum interest rate at 9.25 percent. However, applicants who make downpayments of 25% may be entitled to a reduced interest rate of 9.00 percent. These variations in rates will be uniformly available throughout the City and suburbs.
 - 5. Loan terms required on non-owner occupied properties may be more stringent than those required on owner-occupied residential properties. However, the loan terms on non-owner occupied properties will be applied uniformly throughout Seattle and the suburbs.

Disclosure to Loan Applicants: Apparaisal and Credit Evaluations, Appraisal and Underwriting Standards, Uniform Loan Terms and Description of Loan Review Process.

1. Appraisals

When a lending institution rejects a loan application or offers terms at variance with the uniform terms because of a property appraisal the loan applicant will be provided a copy of the property appraisal along with a letter indicating the specific reasons for the rejection or modification of the loan terms.

9

2. Credit Reports

Applicants who wish to review their credit records and correct any misinformation will be provided with the name, address and phone number of the consumer credit reporting agency which is the source of the credit records. (The federal Fair Credit Reporting Act of 1971 gives consumers the right to review their credit files and correct any misinformation. Under the Act, the review and correction must be done at the consumer credit reporting agency which issued the credit report and not at the lending institution which granted or denied credit on the basis of that report.) (See above stated policy I.A.3.b.ii.)

3. Appraisal and Underwriting Standards, Uniform Loan Terms, Loan Review Process

Lenders shall provide a statement of the uniform loan terms which are in effect at that time and a brochure describing these appraisal and underwriting standards and the Seattle loan review process. A loan applicant is any individual making an in-person inquiry into the possibility of a residential loan.

STATEMENT OF PARTICIPATION IN SEATTLE RESIDENTIAL LOAN REVIEW PROCESS AND NOMINATION FOR APPOINTMENT TO LENDERS" REVIEW BOARD

(name of lending institution)

indicates its participation in the Seattle Residential Loan Review Process, its willingness to share in the reasonable costs of the Lenders' Review Board and its commitment to use these appraisal and underwriting standards and to adhere to the purposes and procedures governing the Loan Review Process so long as federal and state laws do not specifically prohibit compliance with these standards and procedures. It is understood that the lending institutions' participation is a voluntary, non-contractual commitment.

(date)

(Chief Executive Officer)

BYLAWS

OF

THE GREATER SEATTLE RESIDENTIAL LENDERS REVIEW BOARD

SECTION I

Name.

The name of this board will be the Greater Seattle Residential Lenders Review Board.

SECTION 2

Purposés

The purposes of this board are:

A. To review, upon written request by applicants, rejected single family residential loan applications to determine whether such rejections were improperly based upon the geographical location of the security property or other non-economic considerations.

B. To review, upon written request by applicants, approved single family residential loan applications when the borrower believes that the proposed loan terms granted are adverse to the borrower based upon the geographical location of the security property or other non-economic considerations.

C. In those cases where the Board, after review, makes a determination that a loan application was improperly rejected or granted on adverse terms upon the bases described in paragraphs 2A and 2B above, to attempt to persuade the lender to reconsider such application or to place such loan with another lender.

D. To publicize the commitment of lenders to fair housing lending practices and the role and function of the board.

SECTION 3

Participating Institutions

All federally insured lending institutions and all Federal Housing Administration approved lenders may become a participating lender by agreeing to cooperate and support the purposes of the board and to pay its fair share of the expenses of the board.

Membership of Review Board

A. The Lenders Review Board shall be composed of nine persons, each of whom shall be an employee of a different participating lender. The membership shall be fairly representative of each segment of participating lenders, i.e., representatives from two commercial banks, three savings and loan associations, two mutual savings banks, and two mortgage companies.

B. The members shall initially be selected by the mayor of the City of Seattle from persons nominated by participating lenders.

C. Vacancies shall be filled by the members of the board, nominating persons and forwarding names of such nominee or nominees, to the mayor for his appointment. Candidates for nominees shall be provided by participating lenders to maintain representation in accordance with paragraph A of this section. If the mayor fails to appoint members from nominees within ten days of the submission to him of such names, the remaining members of the board shall fill such vacancy or vacancies from the nominees submitted to the mayor.

D. Term of Office. Members shall serve for a term of two years. Four of the initial board shall serve for one-year terms, the other five for two-year terms. The length of the original term shall be determined by lot.

E. The Mayor of Seattle may designate a representative from his office to serve as an ex-officio non-voting member for a term of one year.

SECTION 5 Budget and Expenses

A. The board shall prepare an annual budget for submission to the participating lenders.

B. The actual and budget expenses shall be apportioned to participating lenders on a fair and equitable basis.

C. Participating institutions shall pay their apportioned amount upon call from the board. Failure to pay within 30 days of call shall result in appropriate sanctions including but not being limited to expulsion from the board.

SECTION 6 .

Operations -- Procedures

A. The board shall elect from its members a chairman, vice-chairman and secretary/treasurer who shall serve for terms of one year, and until their successors are selected.

B. The board shall have regular meetings, closed to the public, not less frequently than twice a month at a time and place established by the board.

C. Special meetings of the board may be called by the chairman or by three members upon reasonable notice to all members of the board.

D. A quorum shall be a majority of the members of the board.

E. The board shall adopt and publicize its review procedures and operating rules.

F. The rules contained in the current edition of Robert's Rules of Order newly revised shall govern the board in all cases to which they are applicable and to which they are not inconsistent with these Bylaws and any special rules of order the board may adopt.

G. Minutes of each meeting of the board shall be prepared describing its considerations and actions and shall be distributed to the mayor and City Council of Seattle, to all participating lenders, to a duly constituted public review board established to monitor the Lenders Review Board, and to any other municipality in the Greater. Seattle area, if requested.

SECTION 7

Amendments

These Bylaws may be amended by a two-thirds vote of the board at any regular or special meeting provided reasonable notice shall have been given to all members of the proposed amendment in advance of such meeting.

The City of Seattle--Legislative Department

MR. PRESIDENT:

CSS 20.14

Your Committee on PLANNING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Date Reported and Adopted NOV 22 1976

Chairman

Committee

to which was referred

C.B. 97832 Establishi

Establishing a Public Reinvestment Review Board, defining its membership and organization, declaring its duties and powers, and providing for supplies, clerical assistance, and staffing for the performance of its functions.

RECOMMEND THAT THE SAME DO PASS AS AMENDED

P&UD Chairman

Committee

Affidavit of Publication

C-696

STATE OF WASHINGTON, KING COUNTY-SS.

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.

The notice in the exact form annexed, was published regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below

	<u></u>	INANCE	exed notice,	87		
******			****			
was	published	on	<u>Nav 30</u>	1976	*********************************	
				,		

 \mathcal{C} -2.17

Subscribed and sworn to before me on

Nov 30 1976

> all beaching Notary Public for the State of Washington, residing in Seattle.

OTTIMATOR JOSEPS

AN ORDINANCE establishing a Public Reinvestment Review heard defining its membership and organization declaring its duties and powers and provid-ing for supples derival as-elestance, and staffing for the performance of its functions.

WHEREAS in July 1975, the Central Sealtile Community Council Federation released a report alloging distrivestment of older naighborhoods by lend-ing institutions in Seattle and since then numerous other community organizations and citizens have also alleged that distrivestment has occurred in Seattle Seatfle:

WHEREAS on July 8, 1975, the Mayor along with Councilmem-bers John Miller and Paul Kra-abel announced the formation of a Reinvestment Task Forms to determine and document in-vestment policies and any prob-lems therewith, to evaluate policies in other citles, and to recommend actions to encour-age policies in the best inter-ests of Scattle:

WHEREAS on April 16, 1975 a draft report of the Reinvest-ment Task Force was promul-sated followed on June 8 with two semarate final reports by the lender members of the Re-investment Task force and the rommunity members of the Re-investment Task Force which were submitted to the Maror and City Council

and City Council WHEREAS, on August 19, 1976 the Mayor prepared a document entitled a Seattle Residential Loan Review Process, a copy of which is attached that rec-ommends adoption of certain appraised a nd underwriting standards, establishment of a Lenders Review Board and a Public Reinvestment Review Roard the publication of cer-tain information by participation the institutions of a Siste-ment of Participation attac-ing institutions of a Siste-ment of Participation attac-ordinates the standards sol-ordinates the standards sol-ing the ion review process to complement with federal and state laws

WHEREFAS, on August 24, 1976, the Mator armonial the Lend-ers' Review Board to carry out the purposes and procedures of the Scattle Residential Loan Review Process and sight islding institutions agreed to marticipata are the hasts of the Sentile Residential Loan Re-view Process dated August 13, 1976

- 1976 WHERLAS a significant number of Saattle Inding Institutions did not wish to participate in the Leaders' Review Board on the bases of the Scattle Resi-dencial Loan Review Process dated August 19, 1978, for rea-sons including. (1) notential conflict with antitrast and oth-er federal and state laws, and (2) converns reject to advisory returns from regulatory off-tals charged with the respon-schille for the supervision of financial Institutions making real estate lams.
- real estate bans
 whileREAS, on September 5, 1975, the Mayor requested an advis-ory up inform from the United States of Amarica, Department of Instices, anti-Trust Division whether any provisions of the document appear to be in con-flict, with foderal anti-trust laws and made atmiliar ra-quests to state officials with regulatory authority over lead ing institutions chartered by the state; and the Mayor bas indicated that if any provi-sions of the Seattle Periodential Loan Review Process are found to be moundated with federal or state law, revisions shall be made

WHEREAS on October 11 1976 the Lenders Review Board Admits by Laws a copy of which is attached which by

WHEREBAS, Implementation of the Scattle Person contents the scattle residential Learn Review Process contents the stability of the scattle residential Learn Review Process content and the proceedings content and the proceedings content and the proceedings content and the proceedings content and the proceeding content and the proceeding and the proceeding and the the scatter of the Home Mort Scatter Disclosure Act of 1875 (59 Stat 1135 ET SEQ. 12 USC 101-2809) the Housing and Community Development Act of 1877 (59 Stat 1135 ET SEQ. 12 USC 101-2809) the Housing and Community Development Act of 1877 (59 Stat 1135 ET SEQ. 12 USC 101-2809) the Housing and Community Development Act of 1877 (58 Stat 133, 12 ESC 533) ET SEQ. and other federal two and city policies implementing the nouthrance of discrimination that would violate the Civil Rights Act of 1864 (73 Stat 21 137) (137 137) and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1874 (13 Stat 21 137) (137 137) and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act of 1874 (137 Stat 214) (137 137) and would serve the State Law A sainst Discrimination Act of 1874 (20 and would serve the best interests of the Civy Now Therefores
 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY of the Civil Content of 1874 (20 Stat 214) (20 Stat 215) (20 S

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY OF SEATTLE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Definitions. The fol-lowing terms shall have the fol-lowing meanings, unless the con-text indicates otherwise.

(a) "Appraisal and under-praisal and under-traisal and underwriting stand-ards set forth in Section II of the Southle Rendential Loan herew Process dated August 15, 1376, a copy of which is at lached, as another of apple-mented from the is the in-section of the set of the set of the set of the set of the in-contrast is defined as the instance in the set of the instance is defined as the instance in the set of the set of the instance is defined as the instance is defined as the instance in the set of the set of the instance is defined as the instance.

(b) Board means the Pub-lic Reinvestment Review Board established by this ordinance.

established by this ordinance (c) "Lending Institution" in-cludes an v bank insurance company savings or building and loan association, credit un-loa, trust company, mortgage company or other person en-gaged wholly or partly in the basiness of lending money for the financing of acquisition construction, repair or main-tenance of real estate in Se-attic or improvements thereon.

(d) Landers' Review Board' means the Board established by real estate lending institu-tions in the City of Seattle to review rejected loan applica-tions tions

Section 2 Establishment of a Public Belayastment Raview Board There is hereby astab-ished a Public Reinvestment Re-view Board effective upon con-firmations by the City Council of the Mayor's appointments to the Board.

Section 3. Membership and Or-ganization of the Board.

Easization of the Board. (a) Membership. The Board shall be composed of pine (3) members who are Seathe resi-dents, who have demonstrated a commit in set to neighborhead preservation and revitalization. and who are knowledgeable about reinvestment facenes. Wom-en and racial minorities shall be adequately represented on the length The presented of the length The presented of the length of the set of the length. Sector of the Lenders better Board shall serve as an EX-OPPICTO nea-

with member of the Board in addition to the nine regular mam-bors. An alternate selected by the Lowdew Review Board may serve as a temporary constitute in the absence of such EX-OF-FICIO member. No officer or employee of a lending institution or of the City shall hold any po-sition as a young member of the Board.

(b) Board
(b) Appointments. Terms of Office Within ten (10) days after the effective date of this ordinance, the Mayor shall leave a public announcement that norm-numerical tensors are public announcement that a norm-numerical tensor and the accepted for appointments to the Board. The same numerical form the state of t

filled in the same manual as original appointments (c) Organization, Meetings, The Board shall elect its officers, es-tablish rules and requilations for its organization and procedures appropriate to the conduct of its duties. Its rules and regulations shall induce policies and prac-tices, consistent with federal and state laws, to protect the privacy of loss applicants and to prevent homocoscies disclos-ures of confidential information. A majority of the East 4 a 1 constitute a subtrue the state and in the state state state of and the meetings at least guar-ters). Its Chairperson may call address meetings Meeting of the Board shall be open to the public, PROVIDED executive as-sions limited to the loan appli-cantics and the affected landing institutions(s) may upon request of the loan applicant(s), be held to consider and conciliate a re-ferral or appeard from the Lend-ers' Review Board.

(d) Compensation. Members of the Board shall serve without compensation.

Section 4. Duties of the Board. The Board shall have the powers and duties set forth in this Sec-tion 4.

1000 4.
(a) REFERENCE AND AP-PHALS FROM THE LENDERS REVIEW BOARD. The Board shall review in a limely manner loan applications and any other matters referred by or appealed from the Lenders' Review Board. The review shall be conducted in accordance with the appraisal and underwriting policies. The following guiding principles shall govern appeal procedures:

blowing guiding principles shall overn appeal procedures: (1) Whenever, after consid-ering the evidence presented, the Board concludes that a boan should a have here or should be granted upon the ha-sis of the approxal and un-derwriting publics, the Board will endescor to secure such a boar for the application in accordance with the ancileation made. If the Board concludes from the evidence that contain modifications in certain terms and conditions of extending credit at any the amount of credit extended would or reas-mably should make mains of the appreciation of the basis of the appreciation mathematics of the appreciation of the basis of the appreciation mathematics of the appleants) and the affected leading mathematics of the appleants of the same of the appleants of referration of the appleants; and the affect-ed leading or settlement of the appleants; and the affect-ed institution(s) and the appleants; and the affect-ed institution(s) and if the appleants; and the affect-ed leading mathematics of the appleants; and the affect-ed leading mathematics in the appleants; and the affect-ed leading mathemathematics in the appleants; and the affect-ed leading math

(3) If the Board convides on the basis of the sportsmall sof indervriting unlifter that so endlessing for a Loan was properly denied and the Board decompand that the process of essiving modifications is order to procure a boan does not seem feasible or should not be pursued further the Board with fifterm the soulicanity, and the affected heading institu-tionis of its decision and the reasons for the decision.
 (3) If the Board combines

reasons for its decision. (3) If the Board concludes that conditions on the block or in the immediate vicinity of the property to be pur-chased improved or secured by the requested loan form the basis for a denial of an ap-plication for a loan or cause adverse terms or conditions for the extension of credit the Evard will bring the conditions and the problems caused to the attention of those public agen-ces and/or private persons and interests which have the au-thority and resources to im-prove the conditions

(4) The Board will review and decide all appeals within iwenty-one (21) working dars after receipt of the notice of appeal and supporting dorumentation.

(b) COMPLAINTS RECARD-ING LENDING INSTITUTIONS The Board skall review com-lature list are brought before it regarding the financiar of the purchase or improvement of real setate in accordance with the appraisal and underwriting not-tices. PROVIDED THAT when ever the applicantist in filling a complaint seek a loar pursuant o an applicantist in filling a complaint seek a loar pursuant o an applicantist in the implant seek a loar pursuant o an application the second in the implant seek a loar pursuant o an application the second in the implant seek a loar pursuant

IN MUNITURING AND REPORTING OF DESIGNMENT IN THE DESIGN AND THE DESIGN AND

(1) Monthly disclosure infor-mation reported by lending in-stitutions put swamt to the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 (39 Stat. 1125, 12 USC 1 2501-3503) and any of her available information similar therete, analyze or compile the same and make such reports as desmad appropriate, and

as deemed appropriate; and (2) Present to the Mayor, the City Council the Lenders Be-view Board and participating hending institutions an annual report upon residential lend-ing patterns is Seattle based on the discipance information monitored on the Hoard and any other relevant informa-tion, including among other matters an analysis and doen mentation of trends in dentals of applications for loans and of variations from the apprais-al and underwithing policies The first tenorit shall be due to later than December 51, 1576 (d) REVIEW OF APPL/CA to later than December 31, 1976. (d) REVIEW OF APPLACA-TIONS TO RECULATORY ACTEN. (JER The Board may review and make recommendations for state and fields first regulatory state and fields first regulatory state and fields first by fir-state and fields for lasmance of charters for coverage by de-positions can applications filed by rending institutions for lasmance of charters for coverage by de-positions can applications for a paneton of offices for approval of caking other actions that require authorization or consent by such regulatory agencies and may affect like credit available to Sestile neighborhoods, based on cin :

The lending institution's record in meeting the credit needs of Sealtle neighborhoods;

(2) the lending institution's efforts to determine the sur-rent and future credit needs of Seattle neighborhoods.

(3) the lending institution's policy and program commit-ments to meet the current and future executive sends of Section reighborhands and (4) the londing institution e

participation is and for with the Lemmary Beause Doard and cooperation with the Board is failing is in the Uniter this orderation **** Section 5. Public Restings. In Carrying out its duties, the Board may conduct public hearing and accept public testimony. PROVID PD THAT such hearings are con-ducted in a manner to protect the privacy of boan applicant(s) and prevent unnecessary disclos-ure of confidential information.

Section 6. Staff Support. The City shall provide the Board ads-quate support for the execution of its duties, including circles) assistance staff and office sup-plies, through an office or de-pariment of City government designated by the Mayor

designates by the mayor Section 7. Amendment of Ap-praisal and Underwriting Poli-cies. The Board may amend the appraisal and underwriting poli-ties employed by the Board and when such amendments are filed with the City Commtroller they what have the force and affect of amendments to the appraisal and underwriting policies em-ployed by the Board

ployed by the Board Section 8 Review of Board In secondarce with Ordinance 39003 the Doard shall submit to the City Council two years after its establishment of the City Council at an establishment of the City Council at establishment of the City City Council at establishment of the City City City City and the continued

Section 9 This ordinance shall take effect and be in force thirty days from and after its passage and anguraval, if approved by the Maxwr otherwise it shall take affect at the time it shall become a law under the provisions of the stay under the provisions of the stay thatter.

Passed by the City Council the 12nd day at November, 1376, and signed by me in open session in submethation of its passage this 22nd day of November, 1375.

SAM SMITH. President of the City Council. Approved by me this 23th day of November, 1976.

WES UHLMAN Mayor.

Filed by me this 29th day of November, 1976.

Attest: E. L. KIDD City Comptroller and City Clerk

(Seal) By W. ANGEVINE Deputy Clerk.

Publication ordered by E. L. RIDD Comptroller and City Cirrs.

Date of Official Publication in the Fails Instruct of concession Seattle, November 18 1875