

020

FILE NO. 56849

Mayor's Vets  
OF  
Council Bill 21872

FILED JUN 5 1914  
BY  
ADDRESS H. W. CARROLL  
CITY COMPTROLLER AND EX-OFFICIO CITY CLERK  
BY *Robert* DEPUTY

ACTION OF THE COUNCIL

REFERRED JUN 8 1914	TO PUBLIC SAFETY
REFERRED	TO
REPORTED	REPORT ADOPTED
REPORTED	REPORT ADOPTED
REF. FOR ORD.	C. B. ORD.
JUN 29 '14	DISPOSITION Sustained

REPORT OF COMMITTEE

Mr. President:  
Your *Public Safety* Committee  
to which was referred the within *Mayor's Vets of C. B. No. 21872*  
would respectfully report that we have considered the same and respectfully recommend that *said Vets*  
*be sustained.*

CHAIRMAN

020



CITY OF SEATTLE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE

June 4th, 1914.

To the Honorable City Council,  
City of Seattle.

Gentlemen:

I return herewith, without my approval, Council Bill  
No. 21872, entitled:

" An Ordinance amending Ordinance No. 24597  
entitled, " An Ordinance regulating travel  
and traffic on the streets of the City of  
Seattle, providing penalties for the violation  
thereof, and repealing ordinances or parts  
of ordinances in conflict therewith,"  
approved July 14, 1910.

This ordinance makes it unlawful to cross, except at  
intersections, First, Second, Third and Fourth Avenues from  
Yesler Way to Pine Street, and Pike and Pine Streets from First  
Avenue to Eighth Avenue.

While I thoroughly agree with you as to the desirability  
of an ordinance forbidding such crossing, I do not think it can  
be effectively limited to such a small portion of the city.

From my own observations, this ordinance is more generally  
violated in congested narrow streets where the danger is the greatest,  
especially south of Yesler Way; and Jackson Street, opposite the  
Depots.

**FILED**  
At.....o'clock.....M  
JUN 5 1914  
H. W. CARROLL  
CITY COMPTROLLER  
AND EX-OFFICIO CITY CLERK

CITY OF SEATTLE  
EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Hon. City Council.  
Sheet 2.

I also believe it would be found absolutely impossible to even enforce the ordinance educationally, ( and its greatest value would be educational) where one might safely cross the streets in ninety nine per cent of its area and violate a law in one per cent.

My own idea is that the working of this ordinance will depend largely upon the discretion of the Police Force, as it was with the anti-expectoration ordinance, which seems to me to be a parallel case. That ordinance covers the entire city, and the citizens were given an opportunity to understand it and it is now generally observed.

I believe this ordinance should be drawn to cover the entire city, and think its effectiveness would be destroyed, if it were in any way limited in its scope.

For the above mentioned reasons, the bill is returned herewith, without my approval.

Yours very respectfully,



MAYOR.