

Clerk File No.

309976

Clerk File No. 309976

**Department of Planning and Development
Director's Rule No. 7-2009, relating to seismic
survey and report requirements for buildings
undergoing substantial alterations or repairs.**

Related Legislation File: _____

Date Introduced and Referred:	To: (committee):
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date of Final Action:	Disposition: Filed

April 23, 2009
Date Filed with City Clerk
Emilia M. Sanchez
By

The City of Seattle – Legislative Department

Clerk File sponsored by: _____

Committee Action:


Date	Recommendation	Vote
------	----------------	------

This file is complete and ready for presentation to Full Council._____

Full Council Action:

Date	Decision	Vote
------	----------	------

FILED
CITY OF SEATTLE

DPD 2009 APR 23 PM 3:09 CITY OF SEATTLE		
Director's Rule 7-2009		
Applicant: City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development	Page 1 of 4	Supersedes: 32-96
	Publication: 4/6/09	Effective: 4/24/09
Subject: Seismic Survey and Report Requirements for Buildings Undergoing Substantial Alterations or Repairs	Code and Section Reference: Seattle Building Code SMC 3404.9.2	
	Type of Rule: Code Interpretation	
	Ordinance Authority: SMC 3.06.040	
Index: Building Code/Technical Requirements	Approved  Diane M. Sugimura, Director	Date 4.22.09

BACKGROUND:

For existing buildings undergoing substantial alterations or repairs, as defined by Section 3404.9 of the Seattle Building Code (SBC), a seismic retrofit design proposal may be provided to the building official in lieu of providing a design in compliance with SBC Section 1613. The proposal shall be based on a comprehensive report, as described in SBC 3404.9.2 Exception 2. The purpose of this director's rule is to describe the requirements for a seismic survey and report which can be used for such a proposal.

For substantial alterations to existing buildings, the seismic safety objective of the Department of Planning and Development is to provide substantial life safety or immediate occupancy, as indicated in Table 1 of this rule. In an earthquake, a building which has been substantially altered is not expected to significantly jeopardize life due to structural collapse, falling hazards or blocked routes of entrance or egress. Buildings which meet this objective may still suffer significant damage in an earthquake.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Seattle Building Code Chapter 34. This chapter controls the alteration, repair, addition, maintenance and change of occupancy of existing structures, with Section 3404.9 specifically addressing substantial alterations or repairs and Section 3404.9.2 specifically addressing seismic regulations.

Client Assistance Memo (CAM) 314. This CAM is intended to clarify the definitions of substantial alteration and provide guidance in how the Department of Planning and Development applies SBC Chapter 34.

ASCE 31-03 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings. This standard provides a three-tiered process for seismic evaluation of existing buildings in any level of seismicity.

ASCE 41-06 Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings. This standard specifies nationally applicable provisions for the seismic rehabilitation of buildings.

RULE

This rule addresses the report requirements, report contents, test requirements for unreinforced masonry buildings (URMs), special requirements for URMs, and requirements for parapets.

I. Report requirements

A seismic survey and report, where required by Section 3404.9.2, shall address the existence, nature and extent of structural deficiencies and shall include proposed solutions for mitigation of all structural deficiencies found. The proposed solutions are permitted to be conceptual only. Minimum design forces used for analysis shall be current SBC force levels or, if approved by the building official, both the force levels and evaluation procedure of an alternate approach are permitted to be used. Typical approved alternates to address seismic deficiencies are permitted to be one of the following standards:

ASCE 31-03 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings, with minimum seismic performance criteria as noted in Table 1 below.

ASCE 41-06 Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, with Supplement #1 and minimum seismic performance criteria as noted in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Seismic Performance Criteria

Occupancy Category (Based on SBC Table 1604.5)	Performance Level for use with ASCE 31	Performance Level for use with ASCE 41
I	Life Safety (LS)	Life Safety (LS)
II	Life Safety (LS)	Life Safety (LS)
III	Note a, Note b	Note b
IV	Immediate Occupancy (IO)	Immediate Occupancy (IO)

- a. For Occupancy Category III, the ASCE 31 Screening Phase checklists shall be based on the Life Safety performance level.
- b. Acceptance criteria for Occupancy Category III shall be taken as 80 percent of the acceptance criteria specified for Occupancy Category II performance levels, but need not be less than the acceptance criteria specified for Occupancy Category IV performance levels.

For ASCE 31 and ASCE 41, the design professional is permitted to use the BSE-1 spectral response acceleration parameters as defined in Section 1.6.1.2 of ASCE 41-06.

Other alternate methodologies are permitted to be submitted for review and approval, provided adequate documentation is included to justify approval.

II. Report contents

The seismic survey and report shall be prepared by a structural engineer licensed in the state of Washington. The report shall, as a minimum, contain the following information:

- A. The street address of the building.
- B. A description of the building including the number of stories and the approximate floor area of each floor.
- C. The date the building was constructed and the dates of any significant additions, if known.
- D. A list of all occupancy types, both existing and proposed.
- E. Identification of all lateral force resisting systems with plans and elevations indicating locations, as appropriate.
- F. Condition of structural systems, such as identification of dry rot, deteriorated brick or mortar, cracked or spalled concrete, etc.
- G. Testing requirements needed to substantiate the conclusions of the structural report. Unless otherwise approved by the building official, test reports shall be submitted prior to permit issuance.
- H. Seismic response parameters and site class.
- I. Conclusions. The report shall include identification and prioritization of all significant deficiencies based on earthquake hazard. The report shall also include a conceptual remediation proposal for each deficiency. If the recommendations do not include full mitigation of identified deficiencies, then a request for a waiver or modification shall be submitted with justification of such waiver or modification. Justification may include cost benefit analysis, functional issues, total costs, testing, engineering judgment, redundancy, etc. The engineer shall also include a statement that indicates whether or not the intent of the recommendations is to meet the safety objective of this rule. If there are significant waivers or modifications such that the project will fail to meet the safety objective, then the engineer shall specify the level of risk reduction that is intended to be achieved. The level of risk reduction provided shall be included in the general notes on the structural drawings.

III. Test requirements for URM's

Where the shear stress check is non-compliant for an ASCE-31 Tier 1 Evaluation, unreinforced masonry walls used to carry vertical loads or resist lateral forces parallel and perpendicular to the wall plane shall be tested as specified in this subsection.

A. Mortar

1. The quality of mortar in all masonry walls shall be determined by performing in-place shear tests in accordance with UBC Standard 21-6. Alternative methods of testing are permitted to be used for masonry walls other than brick, subject to approval by the building official.
2. The shear tests shall be taken at locations representative of the mortar conditions throughout the entire building, taking into account variations in workmanship at different building height levels, variations in weathering of the exterior surfaces, and variations in the condition of the interior surfaces due to deterioration caused by leaks and condensation of water and/or by the deleterious effects of other substances contained within the building. The exact test location shall be determined at the building site by the engineer responsible for the structural design work. An accurate record of all such tests and their location in the building shall be recorded and these results shall be submitted by the structural engineer to the Department of Planning and Development for approval as part of the structural survey and report.

B. Wall Ties

Existing URM wall ties shall be tested in accordance with ASCE 31-03 or 2006 IEBC.

IV. Special requirements for URM's

Roof-to-wall ties and floor-to-wall ties shall be provided around the entire perimeter of unreinforced masonry buildings. Existing anchors must meet, or shall be upgraded to meet, the minimum requirements of ASCE 31-03 or Appendix A-1 of the 2006 IEBC.

V. Requirements for parapets

Parapets which exceed the H/T thresholds of the standard used for analysis shall be braced in accordance with ASCE 31-03 or 2006 IEBC.

CONFIDENTIAL

CERTIFICATION




I CERTIFY that I am Custodian of the Records for the Seattle Department of Planning & Development and that I am the designated custodian of the records of that office; that this is a record kept by the Seattle Department of Planning & Development in the regular course of business of the Seattle Department of Planning & Development and that it is in the regular course of business of that Department that such records are maintained.

THAT this is a true and correct copy of a record maintained by the Seattle Department of Planning & Development pertaining to the property named.

Date 4/23/09

Jill Vanman
Custodian of the Records
City of Seattle
Dept. of Planning & Development

FILED
 CITY OF SEATTLE

<div style="border: 2px solid black; padding: 5px; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; text-align: center;">DPD</div>	<div style="text-align: center;"> <div style="display: inline-block; text-align: left; margin-right: 10px;"> 09 APR 23 PM 3:09 CITY CLERK </div> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">Director's Rule 7-2009</div> </div>					
Applicant: City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development	Page 1 of 4	Supersedes: 32-96				
	Publication: 4/6/09	Effective: 4/24/09				
Subject: Seismic Survey and Report Requirements for Buildings Undergoing Substantial Alterations or Repairs	Code and Section Reference: Seattle Building Code SMC 3404.9.2					
	Type of Rule: Code Interpretation					
	Ordinance Authority: SMC 3.06.040					
Index: Building Code/Technical Requirements	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">Approved</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">  Diane M. Sugimura, Director </td> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: bottom;"> 4.22.09 </td> </tr> </table>		Approved	Date	 Diane M. Sugimura, Director	4.22.09
Approved	Date					
 Diane M. Sugimura, Director	4.22.09					

BACKGROUND:

For existing buildings undergoing substantial alterations or repairs, as defined by Section 3404.9 of the Seattle Building Code (SBC), a seismic retrofit design proposal may be provided to the building official in lieu of providing a design in compliance with SBC Section 1613. The proposal shall be based on a comprehensive report, as described in SBC 3404.9.2 Exception 2. The purpose of this director's rule is to describe the requirements for a seismic survey and report which can be used for such a proposal.

For substantial alterations to existing buildings, the seismic safety objective of the Department of Planning and Development is to provide substantial life safety or immediate occupancy, as indicated in Table 1 of this rule. In an earthquake, a building which has been substantially altered is not expected to significantly jeopardize life due to structural collapse, falling hazards or blocked routes of entrance or egress. Buildings which meet this objective may still suffer significant damage in an earthquake.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Seattle Building Code Chapter 34. This chapter controls the alteration, repair, addition, maintenance and change of occupancy of existing structures, with Section 3404.9 specifically addressing substantial alterations or repairs and Section 3404.9.2 specifically addressing seismic regulations.

Client Assistance Memo (CAM) 314. This CAM is intended to clarify the definitions of substantial alteration and provide guidance in how the Department of Planning and Development applies SBC Chapter 34.

ASCE 31-03 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings. This standard provides a three-tiered process for seismic evaluation of existing buildings in any level of seismicity.

ASCE 41-06 Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings. This standard specifies nationally applicable provisions for the seismic rehabilitation of buildings.

RULE

This rule addresses the report requirements, report contents, test requirements for unreinforced masonry buildings (URMs), special requirements for URMs, and requirements for parapets.

I. Report requirements

A seismic survey and report, where required by Section 3404.9.2, shall address the existence, nature and extent of structural deficiencies and shall include proposed solutions for mitigation of all structural deficiencies found. The proposed solutions are permitted to be conceptual only. Minimum design forces used for analysis shall be current SBC force levels or, if approved by the building official, both the force levels and evaluation procedure of an alternate approach are permitted to be used. Typical approved alternates to address seismic deficiencies are permitted to be one of the following standards:

ASCE 31-03 Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings, with minimum seismic performance criteria as noted in Table 1 below.

ASCE 41-06 Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, with Supplement #1 and minimum seismic performance criteria as noted in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Seismic Performance Criteria

Occupancy Category (Based on SBC Table 1604.5)	Performance Level for use with ASCE 31	Performance Level for use with ASCE 41
I	Life Safety (LS)	Life Safety (LS)
II	Life Safety (LS)	Life Safety (LS)
III	Note a, Note b	Note b
IV	Immediate Occupancy (IO)	Immediate Occupancy (IO)

a. For Occupancy Category III, the ASCE 31 Screening Phase checklists shall be based on the Life Safety performance level.

b. Acceptance criteria for Occupancy Category III shall be taken as 80 percent of the acceptance criteria specified for Occupancy Category II performance levels, but need not be less than the acceptance criteria specified for Occupancy Category IV performance levels.

For ASCE 31 and ASCE 41, the design professional is permitted to use the BSE-1 spectral response acceleration parameters as defined in Section 1.6.1.2 of ASCE 41-06.

Other alternate methodologies are permitted to be submitted for review and approval, provided adequate documentation is included to justify approval.

II. Report contents

The seismic survey and report shall be prepared by a structural engineer licensed in the state of Washington. The report shall, as a minimum, contain the following information:

- A. The street address of the building.
- B. A description of the building including the number of stories and the approximate floor area of each floor.
- C. The date the building was constructed and the dates of any significant additions, if known.
- D. A list of all occupancy types, both existing and proposed.
- E. Identification of all lateral force resisting systems with plans and elevations indicating locations, as appropriate.
- F. Condition of structural systems, such as identification of dry rot, deteriorated brick or mortar, cracked or spalled concrete, etc.
- G. Testing requirements needed to substantiate the conclusions of the structural report. Unless otherwise approved by the building official, test reports shall be submitted prior to permit issuance.
- H. Seismic response parameters and site class.
- I. Conclusions. The report shall include identification and prioritization of all significant deficiencies based on earthquake hazard. The report shall also include a conceptual remediation proposal for each deficiency. If the recommendations do not include full mitigation of identified deficiencies, then a request for a waiver or modification shall be submitted with justification of such waiver or modification. Justification may include cost benefit analysis, functional issues, total costs, testing, engineering judgment, redundancy, etc. The engineer shall also include a statement that indicates whether or not the intent of the recommendations is to meet the safety objective of this rule. If there are significant waivers or modifications such that the project will fail to meet the safety objective, then the engineer shall specify the level of risk reduction that is intended to be achieved. The level of risk reduction provided shall be included in the general notes on the structural drawings.

III. Test requirements for URMs

Where the shear stress check is non-compliant for an ASCE-31 Tier 1 Evaluation, unreinforced masonry walls used to carry vertical loads or resist lateral forces parallel and perpendicular to the wall plane shall be tested as specified in this subsection.

A. Mortar .

1. The quality of mortar in all masonry walls shall be determined by performing in-place shear tests in accordance with UBC Standard 21-6. Alternative methods of testing are permitted to be used for masonry walls other than brick, subject to approval by the building official.
2. The shear tests shall be taken at locations representative of the mortar conditions throughout the entire building, taking into account variations in workmanship at different building height levels, variations in weathering of the exterior surfaces, and variations in the condition of the interior surfaces due to deterioration caused by leaks and condensation of water and/or by the deleterious effects of other substances contained within the building. The exact test location shall be determined at the building site by the engineer responsible for the structural design work. An accurate record of all such tests and their location in the building shall be recorded and these results shall be submitted by the structural engineer to the Department of Planning and Development for approval as part of the structural survey and report.

B. Wall Ties

Existing URM wall ties shall be tested in accordance with ASCE 31-03 or 2006 IEBC.

IV. Special requirements for URMs

Roof-to-wall ties and floor-to-wall ties shall be provided around the entire perimeter of unreinforced masonry buildings. Existing anchors must meet, or shall be upgraded to meet, the minimum requirements of ASCE 31-03 or Appendix A-1 of the 2006 IEBC.

V. Requirements for parapets

Parapets which exceed the H/T thresholds of the standard used for analysis shall be braced in accordance with ASCE 31-03 or 2006 IEBC.

1. CERTIFICATE OF TITLE
2. DEED
3. MORTGAGE
4. EASEMENT
5. LEASE
6. LICENSE
7. ASSIGNMENT
8. RELEASE
9. SURRENDER
10. RESCINDED
11. REVERSED
12. REVOKED
13. REVOCATION
14. REVOCATION OF
15. REVOCATION OF
16. REVOCATION OF
17. REVOCATION OF
18. REVOCATION OF
19. REVOCATION OF
20. REVOCATION OF
21. REVOCATION OF
22. REVOCATION OF
23. REVOCATION OF
24. REVOCATION OF
25. REVOCATION OF
26. REVOCATION OF
27. REVOCATION OF
28. REVOCATION OF
29. REVOCATION OF
30. REVOCATION OF
31. REVOCATION OF
32. REVOCATION OF
33. REVOCATION OF
34. REVOCATION OF
35. REVOCATION OF
36. REVOCATION OF
37. REVOCATION OF
38. REVOCATION OF
39. REVOCATION OF
40. REVOCATION OF
41. REVOCATION OF
42. REVOCATION OF
43. REVOCATION OF
44. REVOCATION OF
45. REVOCATION OF
46. REVOCATION OF
47. REVOCATION OF
48. REVOCATION OF
49. REVOCATION OF
50. REVOCATION OF
51. REVOCATION OF
52. REVOCATION OF
53. REVOCATION OF
54. REVOCATION OF
55. REVOCATION OF
56. REVOCATION OF
57. REVOCATION OF
58. REVOCATION OF
59. REVOCATION OF
60. REVOCATION OF
61. REVOCATION OF
62. REVOCATION OF
63. REVOCATION OF
64. REVOCATION OF
65. REVOCATION OF
66. REVOCATION OF
67. REVOCATION OF
68. REVOCATION OF
69. REVOCATION OF
70. REVOCATION OF
71. REVOCATION OF
72. REVOCATION OF
73. REVOCATION OF
74. REVOCATION OF
75. REVOCATION OF
76. REVOCATION OF
77. REVOCATION OF
78. REVOCATION OF
79. REVOCATION OF
80. REVOCATION OF
81. REVOCATION OF
82. REVOCATION OF
83. REVOCATION OF
84. REVOCATION OF
85. REVOCATION OF
86. REVOCATION OF
87. REVOCATION OF
88. REVOCATION OF
89. REVOCATION OF
90. REVOCATION OF
91. REVOCATION OF
92. REVOCATION OF
93. REVOCATION OF
94. REVOCATION OF
95. REVOCATION OF
96. REVOCATION OF
97. REVOCATION OF
98. REVOCATION OF
99. REVOCATION OF
100. REVOCATION OF

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY that I am Custodian of the Records for the Seattle Department of Planning & Development and that I am the designated custodian of the records of that office; that this is a record kept by the Seattle Department of Planning & Development in the regular course of business of the Seattle Department of Planning & Development and that it is in the regular course of business of that Department that such records are maintained.

THAT this is a true and correct copy of a record maintained by the Seattle Department of Planning & Development pertaining to the property named.

Date

4/23/09

Jill Vanneman
Custodian of the Records
City of Seattle
Dept. of Planning & Development