HE SAPIE BE PLANED REL'ORT COMMUNICATION OF COMMITTEE REPORTED

## (GENERAL)

10

COMPTROLLER 273794

COMMUNICATION

OF

MAYOR DECLARING INTENT TO VETO ANY ENACT-MENT OF THE GRIMINAL CODE WHICH DOES NOT CLEARLY PRESERVE THE RIGHT OF THE HOUSE-HOLDER TO PROTECT HIS HOME.

9-18-72-7110

SEPTEMBER 13, 1972 FILED.

C. G. ERLANDSON

COMPTROLLER AND CITY CLESK

## **ACTION OF THE COUNCIL**

REFERRED

SEPTEMBER 18, 1972 REFERRED

C/W

REFERRED

TO

REPORTED

007 g

1972

DISPOSITION ON FILE

RE-REFERRED

TO

DISPOSITION

0 MAYOR DECLARING INTENT TO VETO ANY ENACTMENT OF THE CRIMINAL GODE WHICH DOES NOT
CLEARLY PRESERVE THE RIGHT OF THE HOUSEHOLDER TO PROTECT HIS HOME. ACTION OF THE COUNCIL C. G. ERLANDSON DEPUTY ON FILE DISPOSITION DISPOSITION 1M/0 SEPTEMBER 13, 1972 Flaters COMPTROLLER 978794 12 2 (GENERAL) COMMUNICATION SEPTEMBER 18, 1972, REFERRED 2251 8 200 RE-REFERRED REPORTED REFERRED FILED

## REPORT OF COMMITTEE

fr. President:	
Your HUMAN RESOURCES & JUD	100
o which was referred the within	
would respectfully report that we have considered the same of the SAME BE PLACE.	ED ON FILS
INC OHML DE I ENG	
	Granto Williams CHAIRMAN
	Junette Williams CHAIRMAN
CHAIRMAN	<u>/</u>

0008.1.60

72 SEP 12 AM 10:05

CW crimbale



## OFFICE OF THE MAYOR-CITY OF SEATTLE

Wes Uhlman, Mayor

September 12, 1972

The Honorable Liem Eng Tuai President, Seattle City Council City of Seattle

The Honorable Jeanette Williams Chairman, Human Resources and Judiciary Committee Seattle City Council City of Seattle

Dear Mr. Tuai and Mrs. Williams:

On Thursday, September 7, the City Council considered proposed revisions to the City's Criminal Code. As the proposed legislation has now been referred to the Council's Committee of the Whole, I feel it is appropriate for me to state a position. Specifically, I am concerned about the discussion of an amendment that would limit the rights of an individual to protect his family, himself and the security of his home and property against criminal intruders.

If one's home were broken into, it would be impossible to judge at that point the intention of the intruder. Any law that would require the home owner to wait until the criminal stated his intentions could also cause the home owner to be placed beyond the point in time when he would have the best opportunity to protect his home and family. When a criminal intruder is safely inside and in command, are not the home occupant and his family at the mercy of the intruder regardless of what his intent might be?

The citizen must assume that his family and the security of his home is in immediate danger when an intruder enters. In my opinion, he must assume the responsibility to protect himself and family, using such means as will best assure that purpose.

Arguments about "shooting a kid running down the back steps" miss the point. That situation can be handled by separate provisions.

Hon. Liem Eng Tuai Hon. Jeanette Williams -2-September 12, 1972 The householder should not be required, at his peril, to psychoanalyze accurately the intruder who is in his home and who is not clearly harmless. I think this point is of great importance to the citizen. Accordingly, I will veto any enactment of the proposed Criminal Code which does not clearly preserve the right of the householder to protect his home. Sincerely Wes Uhlman Mayor WU:ewl c.c. All Members