



Action	Lead Dept.	Other Depts. involved	2014 Tasks	2015 Tasks	Policy Decisions	Expected cost
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Expand and enhance outreach and education programs provided by the Green Seattle Partnership through expanded participation by partner non-profits (Parks, SPU, OSE)</li> <li>- Develop internal strategies to continue to increase volunteer engagement in the GSP (Parks, SPU, OSE)</li> <li>- Develop metrics to assure RSJI goals are met in the Green Seattle Partnership (Parks, SPU, OSE)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Solidify engagement of return volunteers to the Green Seattle Partnership to assure long term sustainability of acreage brought into restoration. (Parks, SPU, OSE)</li> <li>- Implement volunteer incentives programs for the Green Seattle Partnership. (Parks, SPU, OSE)</li> </ul>		Costs included in current 2014 budget. 2015 funding will be contingent upon 2015 Parks Levy.
B. (R2) Update existing regulatory framework to promote the goals of the UFSP and mitigate the impacts of development, while providing flexibility for property owners to balance multiple goals and competing uses.	DPD	SDOT, SCL, OSE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop a third draft proposal of the tree protection on private property ordinance for discussion with the public. (DPD)</li> <li>- Transmit legislation for Council to consider. (DPD)</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SDOT to work on the ten-year update of the Right-of-way Improvements Manual. (SDOT)</li> </ul>	<p>Implement any resulting permit requirement. (DPD)</p> <p>To be determined. (SDOT)</p>	<p>Should a permit be required to cut trees on private property? If so, what mitigation or preservation standards should be required? What standards should be required during development? (DPD)</p> <p>To be determined. (SDOT)</p>	<p>\$50K - \$100K to implement permit requirement; additional funds would be necessary if Council wanted a free or reduced cost permit system. (DPD)</p> <p>Within existing budget. (SDOT)</p>
C. Provide initial recommendations to implement funding-related Action Agenda Items P24, P25, and P27 to explore coordinated approaches to seeking funding from private sources, possible creation of a tree donation account, and creative urban forestry financing mechanisms (such as the use of Parks Levy funds for tree maintenance on parklands).			SEE DETAILS BELOW	SEE DETAILS BELOW	SEE DETAILS BELOW	SEE DETAILS BELOW

Action	Lead Dept.	Other Depts. involved	2014 Tasks	2015 Tasks	Policy Decisions	Expected cost
(P24) Explore options for dedicated funding sources for street trees. Explore creative financing mechanisms to ensure alternative funding to supplement general fund revenues.	SDOT		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Partner with Seattle Management Association (SMA)</li> <li>- Develop questionnaire to identify other successful funding options.</li> <li>- Research feasibility of other funding sources such as opt-in fees.</li> <li>- Develop the final framework of BTG II levy.</li> <li>- SDOT special projects include Street Tree Master Maintenance Plan.</li> <li>- Canopy assessment specific to ROW.</li> <li>- Seek support for 2014 Parks Legacy Plan Initiative (Levy / Parks District) to fund street tree plantings along Parks and Parks Boulevards. (Parks)</li> </ul>	To be determined.	To be determined.	Within existing budget.
(P25) Develop a coordinated approach to seek funding from sources such as local and regional foundations, industry, and corporations.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Convene IDT group to explore potential funding opportunities.</li> <li>- Develop fundraising strategy and implementation plan.</li> <li>- Continue to work through Green Seattle Partnership to develop funding at regional and local level. (Parks)</li> </ul>	To be determined.	Grant writing and overall fundraising require a specific set of skills that might not be a part of UF staff. Will this fundraising effort justify hiring the fundraising role or will we use consultant help?	Within existing budget. Additional funding might be needed based on results from planning process.
(P27) Explore funding opportunities with the business community and with regional donors, particularly for special projects identified in a management plan.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Convene IDT group to explore potential funding opportunities.</li> <li>- Develop fundraising strategy and implementation plan.</li> <li>- Continue to utilize Green Seattle Partnership efforts to raise funding from donors and business community.</li> </ul>	To be determined.	Grant writing and overall fundraising require a specific set of skills that might not be a part of UF staff. Will this fundraising effort justify hiring the	Within existing budget. Additional funding might be needed based on results from planning process.

Action	Lead Dept.	Other Depts. involved	2014 Tasks	2015 Tasks	Policy Decisions	Expected cost
			(Parks) - Seek support for 2014 Parks Legacy Plan Initiative (Levy / Parks District) to fund Green Seattle Partnership efforts. (Parks)		fundraising role or will we use consultant help?	
D. (U6) Further explore the concept of eco-hoods: - analyze options for designating eco-hoods for units for urban forest management.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Review leading edge best management practices for geographic management of urban forests. One example may include the neighborhood urban forest management approach in Halifax, Canada.</li> <li>- Engage key stakeholder such as City Council, Mayor's Office, Urban Forestry Commission, Department leadership, and Interdepartmental Team members around the Ecohoods concept, key opportunities, and potential challenges.</li> <li>- Identify relationship between the Ecohoods concept and existing management structures such as Urban Forest Stewardship Plan (UFSP) Management Units and Green Seattle Partnership restoration methods.</li> <li>- Conduct analysis of Seattle's urban forest resource using the following data:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o New canopy cover assessment.</li> <li>o New GIS analysis of tree geographic distribution, ecosystems services analysis, and planting potential.</li> <li>o Green Cities Research Alliance's Seattle's Forest Ecosystem Values</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop strategy for additional programs and maintenance to rally resident engagement.</li> </ul>	Do we want to focus efforts on key eco-hoods?	Expected range between \$88K and \$140K to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consultant support: \$50,000 - \$75,000 (review best practices, engage stakeholders, summarize findings and next steps regarding the Ecohoods concept; support the development of an implementation strategy).</li> <li>- New canopy cover assessment: \$30,000 - \$50,000.</li> <li>- New GIS data layers: \$5,000 - \$10,000.</li> <li>- Research mission. with Halifax, Canada: \$3,000 - \$5,000.</li> </ul>

Action	Lead Dept.	Other Depts. involved	2014 Tasks	2015 Tasks	Policy Decisions	Expected cost
			<p>report.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Identify appropriate policies related to using an Ecohoods approach to advance the goals of the UFSP.</li> <li>- Develop an implementation strategy.</li> </ul>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inter-departmental team staff time: Included in existing budget.</li> </ul>
ALTERNATE Ecohoods approach without additional funding.	OSE	Parks, SCL, SDOT, SPU/reLeaf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Coordinate planting and restoration efforts between SDOT, SCL, reLeaf, and Parks into specific geographic regions to maximize outreach and community engagement.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Build upon 2014 work by focusing on a different geographic area and incorporating lessons learned from 2014 pilot program.</li> </ul>	Geographic area/neighborhood to focus on, considering departmental needs, equity issues, and ecological best practices.	Within existing budget for staff time (primarily reLeaf).
E. (U13) Develop methodology and decision tool to determine appropriate replacement of functional benefits over time lost due to mid-large sized tree removal by the City or residents.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- SDOT to set baseline of data collection for trees removed and planted.</li> <li>- Develop inventory tools for trees on developed parks and integrate with records kept for since 2003. (Parks)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Analyze trends in 2014 to determine net gain/loss over 10/15/20 years.</li> </ul>	To be determined.	To be determined.
F. (C4) Develop decision-making tools related to tree retention or removal decisions where infrastructure conflicts exist. Develop methods to allow removal and replacement with appropriate species in appropriate locations.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Toolkit of options to address conflicts between trees and sidewalks (and other infrastructure, such as overhead power lines, underground water and sewer lines, etc.) citywide. (SDOT)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Implement additional pilot projects/case studies as resources allow.</li> </ul>	Should solar panel conflicts be included?	\$30,000 for consulting services.

Action	Lead Dept.	Other Depts. involved	2014 Tasks	2015 Tasks	Policy Decisions	Expected cost
G. (C5) Develop a monitoring framework and robust set of indicators to track progress on achieving the Plan's goals.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	- Convene working group to develop monitoring framework and indicators.	To be determined.	To be determined.	- New canopy cover assessment: \$30,000 - \$50,000 - New tree survey: To be determined.
H. (I13) Work with local universities to pursue the research agenda.	OSE	UF IDT (DPD, FAS, Parks, SCL, S. Ctr., SDOT, SPU)	- Work with Green Cities Research Alliance to align existing tree data with Washington stormwater model.	- Work with Green Cities Research Alliance to design study to quantify stormwater benefits by land use.	To be determined.	\$50,000 - \$100,000 for individual, small-scale graduate intern projects.